| | | Page 1 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COMMISSION HEARING | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Report of proceedings had at the Legislative | |
| 4 | Audit Commission Hearing, held at the Bilandic Building, | |
| 5 | 160 North LaSalle Street, Room C-600, Chicago, Illinois, | |
| 6 | on the 9th day of October, 2014, commencing at the hour | |
| 7 | of 9:00 a.m. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 10 | SENATOR JASON A. BARICKMAN, Co-Chair | |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE FRANK J. MAUTINO, Co-Chair | |
| 12 | SENATOR BILL BRADY | |
| 13 | SENATOR ANDY MANAR | |
| 14 | SENATOR IRIS Y. MARTINEZ | |
| 15 | SENATOR JIM OBERWEIS | |
| 16 | SENATOR KWAME RAOUL | |
| 17 | REPRESENTATIVE FRED CRESPO | |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE DENNIS REBOLETTI | |
| 19 | REPRESENTATIVE DAVID REIS | |
| 20 | REPRESENTATIVE ROBERT RITA | |
| 21 | REPRESENTATIVE RON SANDACK | |
| 22 | | |
| | MS. JANE STRICKLIN, Executive Director | |
| 23 | | |
| | MR. WILLIAM G. HOLLAND, Auditor General | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| | | Page 2 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: The hour of 9:00 o'clock | |
| 2 | has arrived. I'd like to call the Legislative Audit | |
| 3 | Commission to order. | |
| 4 | Jane, would you take Excuse me. We do not | |
| 5 | take the roll again. | |
| 6 | (Brief pause.) | |
| 7 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: We do need to take the | |
| 8 | roll because yesterday we were adjourned until 9:00 a.m. | |
| 9 | this morning. So with that, our Executive Director Jane | |
| 10 | Stricklin will take the roll. | |
| 11 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Barickman. | |
| 12 | SENATOR BARICKMAN: Here. | |
| 13 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Brady. | |
| 14 | SENATOR BRADY: Here. | |
| 15 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Manar. | |
| 16 | SENATOR MANAR: Here. | |
| 17 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Martinez. | |
| 18 | SENATOR MARTINEZ: Barely here. | |
| 19 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Oberweis. | |
| 20 | (No response.) | |
| 21 | MS. STRICKLIN: Senator Raoul. | |
| 22 | (No response.) | |
| 23 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Crespo. | |
| 24 | (No response.) | |
| 25 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Mautino. | |

| | | Page 3 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Here. | |
| 2 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Reboletti. | |
| 3 | REPRESENTATIVE REBOLETTI: Present. | |
| 4 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Reis. | |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE REIS: Here. | |
| 6 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Rita. | |
| 7 | (No response.) | |
| 8 | MS. STRICKLIN: Representative Sandack. | |
| 9 | REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Here. | |
| 10 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: We have a quorum present | |
| 11 | and we have been notified that also Representatives | |
| 12 | Oberweis, Raoul and Rita are in traffic and Crespo are | |
| 13 | in traffic and will be here shortly. | |
| 14 | With no objections, we have one piece of | |
| 15 | housekeeping before we proceed; and that is I need a | |
| 16 | motion to approve the minutes from the previous | |
| 17 | meetings. They were in all of your packets. Seeing no | |
| 18 | changes or request for revisions, I would entertain a | |
| 19 | motion to accept them as presented. | |
| 20 | REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: So moved. | |
| 21 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Representative Sandack | |
| 22 | moves, seconded by Representative Reis. All in favor | |
| 23 | say aye. | |
| 24 | (Chorus of ayes.) | |
| 25 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Opposed no. | |

| | | Page 4 |
|----|---|--------|
| 1 | (No response.) | |
| 2 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: The ayes have it and the | |
| 3 | minutes are adopted. | |
| 4 | Thank you and welcome back. Our first witness | |
| 5 | today is Jack Lavin. He has joined us. | |
| 6 | Jack, please join us at the table. Former | |
| 7 | Chief of Staff to Governor Quinn. | |
| 8 | We do have a court reporter with us today. So | |
| 9 | when we go to the comments, if you would state your | |
| 10 | name, spell it out, also the attorney, and have him | |
| 11 | spell his name as well. Why don't we do that now. | |
| 12 | MR. LAVIN: My name is Jack Lavin, J A C K, | |
| 13 | L A V I N. | |
| 14 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Please raise your right | |
| 15 | hand. | |
| 16 | MR. MOORE: Wait a minute. My name is Thomas S. | |
| 17 | Moore, M O O R E. | |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you. | |
| 19 | Jack, please raise your hand to be sworn in. | |
| 20 | (Witness sworn.) | |
| 21 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: We shall begin. Welcome | |
| 22 | to the Audit Commission as we review the NRI audit. | |
| 23 | Would you like to make any opening comments? | |
| 24 | MR. LAVIN: Yes, I will make an opening statement. | |
| 25 | REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you, sir. | |

Page 5

- 1 MR. LAVIN: Members of the Legislative Audit
- 2 Commission and Auditor General, thank you for inviting
- 3 me today to discuss the performance audit of the
- 4 Illinois Violence Prevention Authority Neighborhood
- 5 Recovery Initiative.
- I have read the audit and was surprised at the
- 7 serious flaws in the management of the program.
- 8 Subsequent actions taken by the Governor and the General
- 9 Assembly to abolish the authority and the program as
- 10 well as to tighten the way grants are monitored and
- 11 conflicts of interest are addressed will go a long way
- 12 to prevent problems like this in the future.
- This program was developed due to the serious
- 14 violence epidemic that had gripped the City of Chicago
- in 2010. Over 430 murdered and hundreds more injured by
- 16 gun violence. At the time I was Chief Operating Officer
- of the State. There was a huge cry from across
- 18 Chicagoland to act on this serious issue. There were
- 19 calls for the National Guard. The faith-based community
- 20 asked the Governor to declare a state of emergency.
- 21 Five police officers were killed in 2010. Children were
- 22 being shot. A 13-year-old boy was shot 22 times while
- 23 riding his bicycle. Chicago was becoming known as
- 24 Chiraq. The level of violence was unacceptable. Along
- 25 with the great recession and the lack of jobs it was the

Page 6

- 1 No. 1 issue gripping the City of Chicago in the summer
- 2 of 2010. Action needed to be taken. The Governor
- 3 needed to take a leadership role. Taking no action was
- 4 unacceptable.
- 5 A young woman in Chicago named Krista
- 6 Wortendyke put this epidemic of violence in perspective
- 7 through a project called Killing Season Chicago. It
- 8 documents through her photo art a mural in Wicker Park
- 9 the 172 homicides in the City of Chicago from Memorial
- 10 Day to Labor Day in the summer of 2010. That was almost
- 11 two homicides per day. She took a picture of each site
- 12 and it's in this artwork. It was on the TV news every
- 13 night, in the newspapers every morning, Killing Season
- 14 Chicago: Summer of 2010. Put things in context. Puts
- 15 things in context.
- 16 The General Assembly for the fiscal year 2011
- 17 gave the Governor a lump sum budget. This provided the
- 18 flexibility to address this epidemic of violence
- 19 gripping the Chicagoland area, the No. 1 issue. The
- 20 violence prevention policy and framework developed for
- 21 this program was driven by the violent conditions on the
- 22 streets of Chicago and various townships of Cook County.
- 23 The best people to fight this violence with
- 24 this policy framework are the people on the front lines:
- 25 community organizations, local elected officials and the

Page 7

- 1 faith-based community. These were the folks on the
- 2 front lines in their community fighting violence. They
- 3 needed a framework and resources. Simply adding more
- 4 cops and calling out the National Guard, as someone
- 5 suggested, would not provide a long-term solution.
- The policy framework of the program was
- 7 developed for best practices by people such as Barbara
- 8 Shaw who spent much of her career on violence prevention
- 9 issues and programs. This framework was recommended by
- 10 the Governor's Antiviolence Commission who also told the
- 11 Governor that action needed to be taken immediately
- 12 without delay. The members of this commission all had
- 13 family members touched directly by gun violence
- 14 including two police officers' wives. This framework
- and program was approved by the Illinois Violence
- 16 Prevention Authority, an independent entity created in
- 17 State statute. It was done due to the epidemic of
- 18 violence and the lack of jobs for the right public
- 19 policy reasons with good intentions.
- 20 Over fiscal years 2011 and 2012 the
- 21 Neighborhood Recovery Program run by the Illinois
- 22 Violence Prevention Authority provided direct services
- 23 in the way of jobs and mentoring to about 1700 youth and
- jobs to 1600 adults per year, parenting skills to
- 25 roughly a thousand parents per year, counseling services

Page 8

- 1 to over 3600 youth over two years and reentry services
- 2 to almost 600 young people returning to the community
- 3 from correctional facilities in year one and almost
- 4 2,000 people over two years. These numbers are from the
- 5 audit. By my count, that is over 14,000 served by this
- 6 program. Thousands of lives touched by this program.
- 7 How many shootings did this prevent? How many
- 8 lives did this save? We may never know the answer to
- 9 these questions. I'm not sure a study can even tell us
- 10 the answer to these questions. I think we would all
- 11 agree that some lives were saved and some shootings were
- 12 prevented. Was it one, ten, a hundred, a thousand or
- 13 more? We don't know. But how much is one life worth?
- 14 The Sun Times recently ran an editorial about
- 15 40 state troopers being deployed to the City of Chicago
- 16 to reduce violence. They said, If 40 more cops can save
- 17 one more child's life, we don't see how anybody can
- 18 object. This program was designed to save lives, reduce
- 19 violence and address the lack of jobs. Mistakes were
- 20 made, but they were not intentional and this program did
- 21 save lives.
- 22 Auditor General Holland, thank you to you and
- 23 your staff for the hard work on this audit.
- 24 Improvements in State government have occurred. The
- 25 program developed for the right public policy reasons

Page 9

- 1 and with good intentions broke down due to insufficient
- 2 monitoring and program administration.
- 3 Having said that, there were some good
- 4 outcomes, over 14,000 served, thousands of lives and
- 5 their families touched by this program. Thank you and
- 6 I'd be glad to answer questions.
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Mr. Lavin, can you give us
- 8 a copy of that just for the record? That would be
- 9 included also with the documents that we place on our
- 10 site.
- 11 MR. LAVIN: We will give you the Killing Season
- 12 Chicago and the opening statement.
- 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Yes.
- 14 I also need to add to the roll Senator
- 15 Oberweis and Representative Crespo.
- We are prepared to go to questions.
- 17 Senator Oberweis.
- 18 WHEREUPON:
- 19 JACK LAVIN,
- 20 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 21 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY SENATOR OBERWEIS:
- Q. Mr. Lavin, I'd just like to make it clear, I
- 25 think all of us on this panel are 100 percent in

Page 10

- 1 agreement that we want to do everything we can to
- 2 protect every kid we possibly can. The reason we are
- 3 here is because of the incredible incompetence in the
- 4 program, and we wonder how many more kids could have
- 5 been saved that weren't saved had this program been
- 6 administered in a reasonable fashion.
- 7 A. Senator, I don't -- What I was trying to get
- 8 across is a lot of the coverage to date has covered a
- 9 lot of the negatives; and I think in my statement I
- 10 acknowledged there were some negatives. But I think we
- 11 should also acknowledge that 14,000 people benefited
- 12 from this program, and that's 14,000 people and their
- 13 families. So that was the point I was making.
- I know everybody here thinks it's important.
- 15 There's some different philosophies on how to handle
- 16 violence, and this was the way we thought it was best
- 17 for the long-term solution. There's a carrot and a
- 18 stick. The stick is to just add more cops. The carrot
- 19 is to kind of look at the long term and try to look at
- 20 the root of the cause of the violence. And the root of
- 21 the cause of the violence was lack of jobs, the great
- 22 recession, people not prepared for the workforce. So we
- 23 did job training, trying to get people small business
- 24 loans and access to credit to create jobs. So that was
- 25 the point I was trying to get across.

Page 11 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Representative Reboletti. 1 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY REPRESENTATIVE REBOLETTI: 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 5 Mr. Lavin, for being here and I appreciate your opening statement. I think you and I are both committed to that 6 7 same mission. 8 Having served as a State's Attorney and seeing the violence in Joliet and the Will County communities, 10 I can tell you firsthand how devastating it is to 11 families and to the community. And I understand that 12 there was a desire to do -- make something happen, fix 13 the situation. 14 So before we get into the meat and potatoes of the program, can you tell me a little bit about your 15 16 history with the State of Illinois, how you started, 17 where you -- during your time frame so the Commission is aware. Most of us are but not the public. 18 Sure. Well, my first appointment with the 19 Α. State was back in the early '90s, 1991 to '95. I worked 20 21 for the State Treasurer's office, State Treasurer Pat Quinn. I was the Director of Development Finance for 22 two years, and then I was Deputy Treasurer for two 23 24 years. 25 Then in 2003 I was appointed the Director of

Page 12

- 1 the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. We
- 2 accomplished a lot of things there. We reorganized the
- 3 agency. We took the focus away from what it had been to
- 4 more of a regionalized approach. We did an economic
- 5 development plan for every region of the state, ten
- 6 regions of the state. We worked with business
- 7 incentives. Over 500 businesses worked with them on
- 8 incentives. We did job training, international business
- 9 development, film office, coal development, energy
- 10 development, workforce development. We did all these
- 11 things. We accomplished a lot. We won FutureGen from
- 12 the state of Texas was one of the things we got. We got
- 13 a number of national lab facilities here.
- As I said, we helped over 500 businesses come
- 15 to Illinois. We refocussed the work development, so it
- 16 worked with economic development. We did a program
- 17 called Disability Works, which won a national award for
- 18 the Department of Labor, which is helping to employ
- 19 people with disabilities.
- 20 So we had a number of accomplishments during
- 21 my time at the Department of Commerce and Economic
- 22 Opportunity. And then in February 2009 --
- Q. With DCEO you would have served and presided
- 24 over a grant process in large grants and small grants
- 25 and administering those grants, both State and Federal?

Page 13

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 3 A. In February 2009 I became Chief Operating
- 4 Officer of the State working with Governor Quinn. My
- 5 primary responsibilities were of economic development,
- 6 economic recovery, help manage the Obama stimulus plan,
- 7 helped put together and pass and implement the first
- 8 capital bill the State had in ten years and worked with
- 9 a lot of the agencies that were involved in economic
- 10 development and building infrastructure.
- 11 Q. And your educational background?
- 12 A. I have a Bachelor of Science in Finance from
- 13 the University of Illinois. I have a Master's degree,
- 14 an MBA, and a Master's in international relations from
- 15 the University of Chicago and spent some time studying
- 16 over in Seoul South Korea at Yonsei University.
- 17 Q. Are you still employed by the State of
- 18 Illinois?
- 19 A. I am not. My last job at the State was as
- 20 Chief of Staff, which was from December 2010 till
- 21 October of 2013. And we did a lot of things while that
- 22 was going on. We passed a tax increase. We brightened
- 23 the fiscal shift of the State. We went from a
- 24 \$10 billion deficit down to less than half that when I
- 25 left certainly. We did Medicaid reform, workmen's

Page 14

- 1 compensation reform, unemployment insurance reform,
- 2 helped oversee the collective bargaining negotiations
- 3 which saved the State more than any other collective
- 4 bargaining in the history of Illinois and a number of
- 5 things like that as Chief of Staff.
- 6 Q. So obviously you have a lot of State
- 7 experience. You have a lot of experience administering
- 8 programs and working with different levels within the
- 9 State and the Federal government and local government so
- 10 that you have a lot of expertise. That would be fair to
- 11 say, right?
- 12 A. I would say I have expertise.
- 13 Q. So let me bring you to what has been commonly
- 14 known as the Neighborhood Recovery Initiative. Were you
- 15 the Chief Operating Officer of the State at that time
- 16 back in the summer of 2010?
- 17 A. Yes, I was.
- 18 Q. And when did you first hear about the NRI?
- 19 Because yesterday this Commission went O for 4 in
- 20 determining who was in charge of the NRI. And you being
- 21 the Chief Operating Officer, I was hoping that you can
- 22 shed some light as to when you first heard of NRI, came
- 23 up with NRI and then we will walk through what happened
- 24 after that.
- 25 A. Well, first of all, NRI is part of the

Page 15

- 1 Illinois Violence Prevention Authority. So that's where
- 2 it stood.
- 3 You know, over the summer of 2010 the epidemic
- 4 of violence kept bubbling up and it boiled over and --
- 5 Q. Now, you said it boiled over. So I've asked
- 6 the witnesses that I've inquired of: When was that
- 7 tipping point? So, Mr. Lavin, when would you submit the
- 8 tipping point was? Was there a particular date or month
- 9 that would have been where the human cry came from that
- 10 there was a call to action?
- 11 A. I don't remember specifically. I remember
- 12 seeing it in the news every day. 172 homicides in the
- 13 City between Labor Day -- between Memorial Day and Labor
- 14 Day. That's about two homicides per day. Countless
- 15 more shootings. And I think -- you know, early in July
- 16 I think at least one police officer was shot and killed.
- 17 The Governor appointed an Antiviolence Commission.
- 18 Every one of those Commission members --
- 19 Q. Who served on the Antiviolence Commission?
- 20 A. I don't know all the names. But every one of
- 21 those members had been directly impacted by gun
- 22 violence -- had a member of their family directly
- 23 impacted by gun violence.
- 24 That happened in late July. I think by not
- 25 quite August the Governor went and met with a number of

Page 16

- 1 ministers who -- You know, these ministers in their
- 2 congregation had people that were killed, people that
- 3 were shot. They were dealing with it every day, and
- 4 they asked the Governor to declare a state of emergency.
- 5 And so at that point the Governor, you know, gave
- 6 direction that we should develop a program.
- 7 Q. Were there any calls for action previous to
- 8 that meeting that the Governor had with the ministers?
- 9 A. I'm not -- I don't remember any other calls
- 10 for action from people prior to the ministers. I think
- 11 generally there was -- I mean, I wouldn't be able to use
- 12 specific names -- but on the news people calling for the
- 13 National Guard to be brought out.
- 14 Q. I can remember that. I know Representative
- 15 La Shawn Ford from Englewood to now Cook County
- 16 Commissioner, then State Representative Bob Pritchard.
- 17 That would have been April, end of April of 2010. So
- 18 obviously there was a human cry at least from some of my
- 19 colleagues at the time.
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. So the Governor had a meeting with the
- 22 ministers. And when would that have been and were you
- 23 there?
- A. I was not there. It was sometime early to mid
- 25 August.

Page 17

- Q. Do you know who he was with on his itinerary,
- 2 who would have been there?
- 3 A. I do not.
- Q. And so after that meeting with the ministers,
- 5 what happened?
- 6 A. After that meeting there was a meeting with
- 7 some people in the Governor's office, you know.
- 8 Q. Do you know who those people are? Because
- 9 it's helpful to us because we have seen four people
- 10 here. There is a couple of folks after you. So that we
- 11 can try to tie all these individuals together.
- 12 A. I think at the initial meeting probably
- 13 Barbara Shaw wasn't there, but I think that some of the
- 14 other folks you're talking to were. Malcolm Weems. I
- 15 think the budget director was there. I don't think
- 16 Warren was there.
- 17 Q. Billy Ocasio?
- 18 A. I'm not sure if Billy was there. He might
- 19 have been there. I think he was there, but I'm not
- 20 positive.
- Q. Toni Irving?
- 22 A. Toni Irving. Andy Ross.
- 23 And, you know, the discussion was, What can we
- 24 do? What are some ideas? Do we have the resources?
- 25 And that was the initial meeting.

Page 18

- Q. Was there any ever discussion -- I know that
- you talked about the desire to obviously stem the
- 3 violence and that police officers were appointed too.
- 4 Was there any discussion about helping the Chicago
- 5 police or adding Illinois state troopers into the mix so
- 6 there would be a blended program or was it all just
- 7 community efforts?
- 8 A. I think it was primarily community efforts.
- 9 Q. So after this meeting there was this desire to
- 10 help these communities afflicted with violence. So who
- 11 was then charged with organizing what would then be
- 12 called NRI? Was there one particular person that was
- 13 given that task?
- 14 A. I don't think there was one particular person.
- 15 First of all, remember NRI -- Now, this audit pertains
- 16 to the NRI that was at the Violence Prevention
- 17 Authority. NRI was more than that. NRI was also job
- 18 training, small business loans, micro loans. So there
- 19 were a few different things. And so we were trying to
- 20 look at what's the root cause of the violence and what's
- 21 the best long-term solution. And so we kind of saw
- 22 three different parts. We actually at the beginning
- 23 also looked at housing. Does housing fit into this?
- And so we had different people looking into
- 25 different areas. Malcolm and Toni kind of talked about

Page 19

- 1 the violence -- this stuff, the violence prevention
- 2 stuff with the mentoring clause, the reentering programs
- 3 and the like because Toni had a lot of experience in
- 4 that area. She had a Ph.D. in related issues. They
- 5 looked at that. Andy Ross looked at what are the
- 6 economic development things that can be done, the small
- 7 business loans, the job training, that kind of thing.
- 8 Q. So I'm getting at --
- 9 A. And then the budget office had to look at, Do
- 10 we have a budget? What do we have? What resources do
- 11 we have? So that would have been Malcolm and I believe
- 12 Dave Vaught, the budget director, was at the first
- 13 meeting.
- 14 Q. Sure, because obviously you want to have the
- 15 funding with which to move forward with implementing the
- 16 program.
- 17 So still there wasn't someone specifically
- 18 tasked with running NRI. It was kind of a loose
- 19 conglomerate. Is that your best understanding?
- 20 A. The Governor's office was a very collegial
- 21 atmosphere. It was a flat organization-type thing. And
- that's how we worked, and so that's how it was.
- Q. So after the Governor gave you the charge to
- 24 help these communities and this collegial group move
- 25 forward, what would have happened next? So what was the

Page 20

- 1 next step? Obviously there was a lot of violence in the
- 2 City. You've talked about the number of murders in that
- 3 short period of time. What happened next? Who was
- 4 supposed to do what?
- 5 A. Well, as far as I can recall, I think Malcolm
- 6 and Toni went and talked to Barbara Shaw. Do you have a
- 7 framework that you could give us to do this?
- 8 Q. What month would that have been? Would that
- 9 have been August of 2010?
- 10 A. August.
- 11 And Andy would have talked to DCEO Warren
- 12 Ribley. What can you do? What do you have the ability
- 13 to do within your budget?
- 14 Q. Was at any particular time a desired amount of
- money to be spent decided upon by those individuals,
- 16 yourself, the Governor, anybody that said, I want this
- 17 to be a program that spends, it turns out to be at
- 18 least, \$54 million? Who decided that number?
- 19 A. Well, I don't -- I don't -- I think the
- 20 original number was 50 million, if I recall correctly.
- 21 We had to wait for the budget office to say what
- 22 resources do we have. The budget office for the portion
- 23 that this audit was covering came back originally and
- 24 said we have \$20 million, and then later on they came
- 25 back and said we could get to 30 million. And that was

Page 21

- 1 desirable because you could cover more communities. We
- 2 wanted to cover as many communities as we could.
- 3 As far as the rest of the money, that was
- 4 money that was, I believe, within DCEO's budget. There
- 5 may have been a program that IDOT had also that was
- 6 under this umbrella Neighborhood Recovery. Neighborhood
- 7 Recovery was an umbrella of the different programs that
- 8 could be done to go at the root causes of violence. So
- 9 that's why it was an antiviolence and a jobs program.
- 10 Q. When did you first hear the term Neighborhood
- 11 Recovery Initiative?
- 12 A. I don't recall. It was probably --
- 13 Q. To the best of your recollection.
- 14 A. You know, later in August. I don't really
- 15 remember the exact time.
- 16 Q. So these individuals were tasked with
- 17 budgeting now for \$50 million and finding the dollars.
- 18 They then have to find the agency to do that; and it was
- determined that Barbara Shaw, the IVPA, would be that
- 20 instrument. Is that correct?
- 21 A. To run the program?
- 22 O. Correct.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Who decided that she was best suited to do
- 25 **that?**

Page 22

- 1 A. I think it was just -- it was a consensus
- 2 thing. They had been created in state statute as the
- 3 Violence Prevention Authority, and that's what they had
- 4 been doing albeit at a smaller level. And it was
- 5 thought, okay, you know, they are best equipped from a
- 6 policy standpoint, an understanding standpoint, to do
- 7 that.
- 8 I think there was a brief discussion about DHS
- 9 but thought that that was too big of an agency and this
- 10 would get lost in there. And this was an agency. This
- 11 is what they have been doing. So it should be there.
- 12 Q. Who would have been part of that conversation
- 13 to decide that IVPA was the best way to go?
- 14 A. Similar group to what I had mentioned earlier.
- 15 Q. Any particular meeting that you recall?
- 16 A. I don't particularly -- I don't remember the
- 17 exact meeting. I think we had a -- I don't remember the
- 18 exact meeting. Although in the first meeting, it must
- 19 have been discussed because they followed up with IVPA
- 20 and Barbara Shaw to get a framework.
- 21 Q. So Barbara Shaw is determined to be the person
- 22 that's going to carry out the program, her agency.
- 23 A. The Violence Prevention Authority.
- 24 Q. Under the IVPA. And obviously --
- 25 A. Yeah. They had an independent board. They

Page 23

- 1 had a lot of people with a lot of experience in violence
- 2 prevention --
- 3 Q. Sure. And I appreciate that.
- A. -- in running these programs for years.
- 5 Q. But here's one of the concerns that I think I
- 6 have and I think maybe the Auditor General had -- and I
- 7 will let him speak for himself -- is that you had an
- 8 agency with 9 to 11 employees that have been tasked with
- 9 administering an \$11 million budget, and now they were
- going to be tasked with managing this huge influx of
- 11 resources. With your professional and personal
- 12 experience with your background in government, were you
- ever concerned that that agency was not in a capacity to
- 14 hold accountable where those grants would go, who would
- get them, how effective they may be?
- 16 A. Yes. The answer is yes. I do not know if I
- 17 knew exactly how many employees they had or what their
- 18 budget had been. I knew it was a smaller agency. I
- 19 knew that this was going to be a big increase in what
- 20 they do. And at some point in time -- I don't remember
- 21 exactly when -- I said perhaps we should get DCEO
- 22 involved as far as the grant administration and grant
- 23 monitoring go. At minimum, maybe they should --
- 24 Q. You said that you thought that that might be a
- 25 better way to go. So who did you tell that to? Who did

Page 24

- 1 you share that with?
- 2 A. I think it was in one of those meetings. And
- 3 I said that -- I don't know that I said I thought that's
- 4 the way they should go. I said we should consider this
- 5 because this is a big growth in what IVPA would do, and
- 6 at minimum perhaps they should talk to DCEO about all
- 7 the processes that they have in place and how they
- 8 handle it. I mean, the assumption always was that IVPA
- 9 would add staff, would be able to manage the growth of
- 10 this program. But perhaps they needed some initial help
- 11 from DCEO to set those processes in place.
- 12 Q. Who decided that DCEO should not be an active
- 13 participant?
- 14 A. I don't think it was ever decided that. I
- just don't think the follow-up ever happened.
- 16 Q. So the program is put with IVPA and
- 17 \$50 million from what we've heard testimony --
- 18 A. Not 50 million for IVPA. It was 30 million
- 19 for IVPA and it was -- the rest of the money was coming
- 20 I think primarily from DCEO; and, like I said, maybe
- 21 IDOT had a small program.
- 22 Q. Sure. So we heard testimony from Barbara Shaw
- 23 yesterday that initially the program was supposed to be
- 24 \$10 million. Then it was \$20 million. Then it was
- 25 \$30 million. Do you recall how that number continued to

Page 25

- 1 move upwards?
- 2 A. My recollection is the initial number was 20
- 3 and then it went to 30.
- Q. So you had some concerns that IVPA may not be
- 5 in the best position suited to operate it based on their
- 6 number of employees, and you had made the assumption
- 7 that they would add a number of employees. Is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. No. The concern was this would be a large
- 10 increase in their programming. I'm not sure -- I don't
- 11 recall if I knew how many employees exactly they had.
- 12 But there was going to be a large increase in
- 13 programming and that they may need help and DCEO could
- 14 provide some of that help.
- 15 Q. So \$30 million comes to the IVPA, and they
- begin to figure out with a number of people how to best
- 17 administer this program, how to get money into the
- 18 communities most impacted by the violence. So to the
- 19 best of your knowledge, how was this programming moving
- 20 forward? Who was -- How were the grants decided, what
- 21 the amounts were and to whom it would go? Do you know
- 22 how that process went?
- 23 A. Well, my understanding is the 30 million was
- 24 going to be divided evenly among the 23 communities.
- Q. Let me stop you right there. It was \$30

Page 26

- 1 million, and there was 23 communities that were
- 2 selected.
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Do you know on the basis of how those
- 5 communities were selected?
- 6 A. My recollection is crime statistics.
- 7 Q. Do you know where those crime statistics came
- 8 from?
- 9 A. Barbara Shaw.
- 10 Q. Were you aware of any report that DHS may have
- 11 had that used some formula to determine how the
- 12 communities would be picked?
- 13 A. I don't recall a specific report. I was just
- 14 told it was based on crime statistics.
- 15 Q. Because Barbara Shaw testified yesterday,
- 16 Mr. Lavin, that there were a number of factors that went
- 17 into it and it wasn't just shootings. So do you know
- 18 anything else about what other factors may have been
- 19 used?
- 20 A. I mean, I saw yesterday that Barbara had
- 21 mentioned poverty and something about school dropouts or
- 22 something. But my recollection at the time was crime
- 23 statistics. Maybe at the time they said there's some
- 24 other factors. I just don't remember that.
- Q. Violence statistics or just murders?

Page 27

- 1 A. I don't remember.
- 2 Q. Okay. So the program moves forward. There
- 3 are 23 communities selected. How long did it take the
- 4 IVPA to determine what those 23 communities would be,
- 5 from the time of the inception of NRI to the decision of
- 6 who should get the money?
- 7 A. I think they identified the 23 -- Well, I
- 8 think they identified them fairly quickly. I think a
- 9 little bit later in the process there were some south
- 10 suburban areas added.
- 11 Q. Sure. And we will get there. So initially it
- 12 was 23 Chicago communities, right?
- 13 A. That's not my recollection.
- 14 Q. How many communities were in Chicago specific
- and how many were suburban communities, if you recall?
- 16 A. I don't remember exactly how the thing came
- 17 to, but I think there were 18 Chicago communities and 5
- 18 suburban communities. 18 neighborhoods or communities,
- 19 whatever. Communities, sure.
- 20 Q. So the 18 communities are selected. Do you
- 21 know who made those final determinations of who -- what
- 22 those communities -- which communities would get the
- 23 money or help?
- 24 A. The Violence Prevention Authority based on
- 25 crime statistics is my recollection.

Page 28

- 1 Q. It wouldn't be one person in general. It
- would be the entire group that represents the Illinois
- 3 Violence Prevention Association or the group?
- 4 A. You mean the whole board?
- 5 Q. Right, the whole board.
- 6 A. That I don't know.
- 7 Q. Do you know who made the determination --
- 8 A. I mean, at some point the Violence Prevention
- 9 Authority did vote on this program and the framework and
- 10 approved it. I don't know if they voted on -- early on
- 11 the specific communities and then later on in the
- 12 program. My recollection would be they voted on it one
- 13 time, the framework of the program, the communities and
- 14 that kind of thing.
- 15 Q. Who decided that the aldermen would be the
- 16 best facilitator of choosing the communities and groups
- 17 that would be involved; do you know?
- 18 A. Well, first of all, my recollection is that
- 19 recommendations were going to be pursued from local
- 20 elected officials and the faith-based community and that
- 21 from those recommendations there would be an RFP process
- 22 and they would be vetted. In some communities there
- 23 would be multiple people recommended, and the IVPA would
- 24 have an RFP process to implement decisions.
- 25 Q. That would be a pretty quick RFP process,

Page 29

- 1 right? Because now we have an emergency. And we have
- 2 heard testimony yesterday that we don't know what's
- 3 (inaudible) in an RFP process because in a special
- 4 emergency situation we take care of the emergency first
- 5 and we fill out paperwork later. So was there a time
- 6 frame in which the RFP was open and then people were
- 7 able to file applications and then it was closed and
- 8 then a determination would be made as to who would get
- 9 it?
- 10 A. Yeah. I think the audit points that out.
- 11 Q. Do you know?
- 12 A. I don't remember the exact dates, but I know
- 13 that there was an RFP sent out; and then the RFP -- the
- 14 responses were due, and then there was a decision
- 15 process by the Violence Prevention Authority.
- 16 Q. Do you know who personally selected the
- 17 communities? Do you know of any particular individual,
- 18 either in the Governor's office or in the IVPA, who
- 19 said, These are those communities? We are going with
- 20 these communities?
- 21 A. I think we just went over that when we said it
- 22 was based on crime statistics.
- Q. That was it. Nothing else. No other
- 24 influence whatsoever?
- 25 A. As far as I know.

Page 30

- Q. Who made the final determination as to what
- 2 the funding levels should be?
- 3 A. As I said, the 30 million was divided by 23
- 4 communities; and that's what was to go to each
- 5 community.
- 6 Q. And you've had a chance to read the audit; is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. I read it a couple months ago, yes.
- 9 Q. So in your professional experience, were you
- 10 concerned that with this large influx of money moving
- 11 out that there may be some concern about waste, fraud or
- 12 abuse?
- 13 A. No. I mean, they were putting the processes
- 14 in place. They had their own board. You know, they had
- 15 two co-chairs, one of which was the Attorney General.
- 16 They had their own grant processes in place. You know,
- 17 they had set up the processes for quarterly reporting
- 18 and annual reporting like other agencies do.
- 19 Q. So the money begins to move out and begins to
- 20 go to these communities. There was an expansion of
- 21 additional communities being added. Do you remember
- 22 what time frame that was?
- 23 A. There were three -- The south suburban
- 24 communities had gone to the Governor and said, We have
- 25 communities with high crime and we should -- we want to

Page 31

- 1 be part of this. And my recollection was IDPA did an
- 2 analysis on some of the areas of the south suburbs and
- 3 said they met whatever crime statistics and that they
- 4 qualified to be part of it.
- 5 Q. Do you remember who from the south suburban
- 6 communities -- which communities contacted, I guess it
- 7 would be, the violence prevention folks? Would it be
- 8 the Governor's office; do you recall?
- 9 A. I don't recall. My recollection would be some
- 10 south suburban ministers, but I really don't know and I
- 11 don't know the ministers.
- 12 Q. And then moving forward, what was your
- 13 involvement then with NRI? What was your continuing
- 14 role? Were you being updated as to how the processes
- 15 were flowing?
- 16 A. Moving forward ... What time frame are we
- 17 talking about?
- 18 Q. You're the COO, right? That would be 2010.
- 19 So the process begins. The communities are selected.
- 20 In August were you advised as to how things were going?
- 21 A. I think that we had the initial meeting.
- 22 There was a follow-up meeting -- I don't know if it was
- 23 in August or early September -- to see what the progress
- 24 was, and so I would have been in that meeting.
- 25 Q. Who would have been in that meeting, if you

Page 32

- 1 recall?
- 2 A. I think a similar group. Although I think
- 3 Barbara Shaw started coming to the meetings -- started
- 4 coming to those meetings.
- 5 Q. And then was there an update in the month --
- 6 Were there weekly updates to you? Monthly updates?
- 7 What was your role? That's what I'm trying to figure
- 8 out.
- 9 A. Sure, sure, sure. I don't know that there
- 10 were weekly meetings. I think periodically I might see
- 11 somebody and say, How's things going? It's moving
- 12 along. You know, that kind of thing.
- 13 Q. And then you would have had conversations with
- 14 the Governor informing him of how things were going?
- 15 A. I would have briefed the Governor. I would
- 16 have briefed him on hundreds of things. We had a lot of
- 17 things going on in State government.
- 18 Q. No doubt.
- 19 A. This would probably have been one of them.
- 20 Q. And the process continued to roll out. And
- 21 what was your continued involvement in October, if you
- 22 recall?
- 23 A. Well, the program was announced in early
- 24 October. I probably read the press release. Maybe I
- 25 had some comments on that. Went to the press

Page 33

- 1 conference. You know, that was it.
- 2 Q. Thank you, Mr. Lavin.
- 3 Mr. Chairman, I'm going to reserve the right
- 4 to come back to this witness if it's necessary. I'm
- 5 going to turn the time back over to the chair.
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Representative Sandack.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK:
- 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lavin.
- 10 A. Good morning.
- 11 Q. Did you watch Barbara Shaw's testimony
- 12 yesterday?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you listen to it?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. Did you listen or watch anyone else's
- 17 testimony from yesterday's event?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Mr. Moore was there obviously because I got to
- 20 tell you, there's a stark contrast in the inception and
- 21 rollout of NRI. As I understand it from your testimony,
- 22 was this initiative of the IVPA or a Governor's office
- 23 initiative? Who gave what to whom and when, if you
- 24 wouldn't mind, sir?
- 25 A. Sure. Well, the Governor's office was

Page 34

- 1 involved initially; and then we tasked the IVPA to come
- 2 up with a framework and we tasked DCEO what they could
- 3 do. And sure, the Governor's office or people in the
- 4 Governor's office were involved as the framework was
- 5 built and that kind of thing. The RFP process was done
- 6 by the IVPA. There was some meetings with local elected
- 7 officials. There was some meetings with ministers. The
- 8 Governor's office people were in those. So I don't want
- 9 to say it suddenly all became the IVPA. The Governor's
- 10 office was involved, sure.
- 11 Q. Certainly, because you testified it was
- 12 involved. I want to focus on when it was -- when this
- 13 was rolled out. Was this originally an IVPA initiative
- 14 or originally a Governor's office initiative?
- 15 A. Well, the initiative of addressing the
- 16 epidemic of violence was the Governor's office.
- 17 O. When?
- 18 A. As I said, after the Governor met with the
- 19 ministers, we had a meeting to talk about what can we do
- 20 to address the epidemic of violence and do we have the
- 21 resources.
- Q. We had Mr. Weems in front of us yesterday, and
- 23 there was a quarterly meeting of IVPA in September; and
- 24 they meet quarterly. So I'm not aware of any special
- 25 meeting called by the IVPA to address anything about

Page 35

- 1 Neighborhood Recovery Initiative. Do you have any
- 2 information about a special meeting or any meeting
- 3 undocumented and heretofore never spoken of other than
- 4 the September 30 meeting?
- 5 A. I do not know the schedule of the IVPA
- 6 meetings.
- 7 Q. All right. Well, I want you to assume that
- 8 they meet quarterly because that's what the website says
- 9 and that's what everything in this evolving situation
- says. So IVPA as a board meets on September 30, but we
- 11 know lots of stuff happened before that. And the
- 12 ministers meeting I think is the Rosewood community
- 13 meeting, is it not?
- 14 A. For the Governor?
- 15 **Q.** Yes.
- 16 A. I believe that was it.
- 17 Q. I want you to assume that happened in early to
- 18 mid August, maybe August 10th. How's that? Using that
- 19 as a marker, is there a definitive date prior to
- 20 August 10th that you were involved in with respect to
- 21 the Governor's office deciding they needed to address
- 22 this violence -- this epidemic of violence?
- 23 A. There were discussions in the Governor's
- 24 office prior to the ministers meeting. I mean, clearly
- 25 the Governor had appointed an Antiviolence Commission.

Page 36

- 1 So there was discussions about the epidemic of violence
- 2 and what's going on and that kind of thing.
- 3 Q. But that Commission you speak of that
- 4 Mr. Weems was a member of, they became obviously a group
- 5 of people deeply and profoundly affected by horrific
- 6 acts of violence. They didn't come up with any
- 7 proposals, at least as far as this program is concerned;
- 8 isn't that correct?
- 9 A. I'm sorry. Say that question again.
- 10 Q. That group, that well-meaning group of
- 11 personally affected victims of violence, they had
- 12 nothing to do with this program NRI, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So using August 10 again as the line of
- demarcation, Representative Reboletti asked you the
- 16 tipping point question: Is it fair to say that that may
- 17 have been the tipping point as far as how NRI evolved
- 18 thereafter?
- 19 A. Well, that was the point where the Governor I
- 20 think wanted to move forward and do something. Were
- 21 there discussions or meetings before that? There may
- 22 have been. They may have been part of a weekly staff
- 23 meeting. There may have been a meeting to discuss if
- they had the epidemic of violence before August 10th.
- 25 But that was the point where it became, you know, we

Page 37

- 1 should do something.
- Q. And, Mr. Lavin, I will tell you we start
- 3 seeing e-mails from Barbara Shaw not very far
- 4 thereafter, in mid to late August, about the program.
- 5 So I'm trying to find out who told what to whom because
- 6 Miss Shaw came here and she isn't the inventor of this
- 7 program. At least she didn't take ownership of it. She
- 8 certainly was the person principally responsible for its
- 9 operation and administration. But I couldn't get anyone
- 10 to tell me whose idea this was, who was the principal
- 11 person. And so I'm going to ask you, Mr. Lavin, do you
- 12 know who the principal person was responsible for the
- 13 Neighborhood Recovery Initiative?
- 14 A. I think I said this before; that we had a very
- 15 collegial office and people met and people all had their
- 16 input. And we had a meeting in the Governor's office,
- 17 not Barbara Shaw, not IVPA, to discuss we need to do
- 18 something about the epidemic of violence. And so there
- 19 was discussion about parameters of what we should do,
- 20 some ideas of what we should do, and the ideas were not
- 21 add more cops. They were let's try to get to the root
- 22 of it. Let's do --
- Q. Let me stop you right there because that's
- 24 something that Miss Shaw said I was struck by.
- 25 Obviously a good-hearted person who dedicated her entire

Page 38

- 1 life to fighting violence --
- 2 A. Sure.
- 3 Q. -- and helping people. She kept saying, This
- 4 isn't something you can do with the snap of your
- 5 fingers. This is something that takes a long time.
- 6 There is no quick efficacy in these programs. It's
- 7 really preventative in nature. And when we got to the
- 8 measurement component -- because you know from the audit
- 9 we don't have any measurements of the efficacy of this
- 10 program -- I was struck by Mr. Weems saying we have to
- 11 do something. This is happening right now. But no more
- 12 police were put on the street to try and quell violence.
- 13 Instead, money for jobs, resources and long-term
- 14 preventative action. But you will know one of the main
- 15 criticisms of the Auditor General is how quickly this
- 16 program was put together. Almost lightning speed.
- 17 From August -- we will say August 10 through October,
- 18 that announcement you mentioned -- I think you were
- 19 there -- we've got a program of 54 or \$50 million.
- 20 Mr. Lavin, in your extensive involvement in
- 21 State government, is there another instructive
- 22 illustration of that type of speed and rapidity from
- 23 inception to rollout that you can think of that mirrors
- 24 the NRI experience we're talking about today?
- 25 A. Well, I mean, there was a crisis, you know.

Page 39

- 1 When there's a tornado or a flood or a storm in
- 2 downstate, the Governor goes down there and visits with
- 3 them and visits with the families and immediately, you
- 4 know, comes up with disaster relief. Well, this was a
- 5 state of emergency as the ministers told the Governor;
- 6 and so there was a sense of urgency to get something
- 7 done.
- 8 Some of the pieces of the program, what was in
- 9 DCEO, were already programs that were there. It was
- 10 just having the resources to go out and do them with
- 11 these communities. So those programs were there. That
- 12 doesn't take long to do.
- As far as the IVPA's piece, Barbara Shaw and
- 14 people like Toni Irving had been looking at these issues
- 15 for years and years; and I think they had looked at best
- 16 practices in other places. And they came up with the
- 17 kind of four categories that they did with mentoring
- 18 plus jobs, reenter, school-based counseling. I'm
- 19 forgetting the fourth one. Safety networks that had
- 20 already been out there and had some similar features.
- 21 So there was people that had been thinking about this
- 22 for a while and then had the opportunity to put it into
- 23 practice.
- Q. I asked you about another instructive
- 25 experience, and you said the very same thing that

Page 40

- 1 Miss Shaw and Mr. Weems said. They equated it to a
- 2 crisis, which I certainly think is a legitimate analogy.
- 3 The analogy is always weather related. And so a
- 4 hurricane, a tornado, a flood, a natural disaster, which
- 5 certainly is a bad thing. But whenever that happens,
- 6 the Governor sets a state of emergency and employs
- 7 resources to help people. I don't recall anyone saying
- 8 other than the African-American ministers out of
- 9 Roseland and other communities talking about that state
- 10 of emergency.
- 11 But if there's a state of emergency in a
- 12 neighborhood, why wouldn't the police -- why wouldn't we
- 13 address the immediate harm, not unlike a tornado victim,
- 14 with more police? Because Miss Shaw was talking
- 15 prevention, not policing. How is that state of
- 16 emergency?
- 17 A. Well, that's an issue for the Chicago police
- 18 and the Mayor of Chicago. And I'm sure they were doing
- 19 everything they could to prevent the violence, and there
- 20 are -- there is some work that the State police does
- 21 with the Chicago police as far as drug issues and drug
- 22 whatever that they do work with them. But some of what
- 23 you're saying, that's an issue for the Chicago Police
- 24 Department --
- 25 **Q.** Okay.

Page 41

- 1 A. -- and the Mayor of Chicago.
- 2 Q. Apart from a natural disaster, is there any
- 3 other instruction -- other example you could point to
- 4 that shows a concept to a program in two months for
- 5 \$50 million?
- 6 A. You know, off the top of my head right now, I
- 7 can't think of one.
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 A. I'm sure there is one though, but I can't
- 10 think of it right at this moment.
- 11 Q. Okay. You also had other duties though.
- 12 While you were Chief of Staff, you were the -- By the
- 13 way, how often did you interact with the Governor?
- 14 A. First of all, I was Chief Operating Officer.
- 15 **Q.** Sorry.
- 16 A. Later on, I was Chief of Staff.
- 17 Q. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 18 A. I don't know how often as Chief Operating
- 19 Officer. Less than Chief of Staff, but I don't recall
- 20 exactly how often we met. And at the time he was in a
- 21 campaign, you, know and --
- Q. We will get to that in a second.
- 23 A. And so he was out of the office a lot. So I
- 24 can't -- I don't recall specifically how many times, how
- 25 often we met.

Page 42

- 1 Q. But you contacted him. You were in
- 2 communication daily. Yes?
- 3 A. I wouldn't say every day but, you know, often.
- 4 Q. And how was that communication usually? Was
- 5 it face to face, phone, text, e-mails?
- A. Primarily face to face.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. Some phone calls.
- 9 Q. Some phone calls?
- 10 A. But primarily face to face.
- Q. Did you e-mail the Governor from time to time?
- 12 A. Not often.
- 13 Q. Text him?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. You mentioned just a minute -- not even
- a minute ago that he was also on the campaign trail.
- 17 You also had a campaign duties off State time as well;
- 18 did you not?
- 19 A. I did not have an official role in the
- 20 campaign, no.
- 21 Q. I didn't ask you if you had an official role.
- 22 But did you have campaign-related duties that you lent
- 23 yourself to for the Quinn reelection effort in 2010?
- 24 A. I don't know that I would call them campaign
- 25 duties. There was periodic meetings, usually once --

Page 43

- 1 they were once a week. And, you know, I would do this
- 2 certainly in my personal time. I was very careful to
- 3 keep personal time separate from State time. And in
- 4 those phone call meetings, I would in my capacity help
- 5 educate the people on the campaign what was happening
- 6 with the State that week, you know, what announcements
- 7 were happening, any new programs, any, you know,
- 8 significant issues happening with the State.
- 9 Q. Your attorney gave to the Legislative Audit
- 10 Commission two nights ago, I don't know, ten pages of
- 11 e-mails. I'm going to have copies made so you can look
- 12 at them. This was brand new to us. Obviously Mr. Moore
- 13 can show you them as well.
- 14 Starting at I think the bottom of page 5,
- 15 Mr. Lavin, and it goes on to the next page. So it's an
- e-mail ostensibly sent by you that's dated September 5,
- 17 **2010** at 2:53 p.m. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. What day was September 5; do you know?
- 20 A. It was a Sunday.
- Q. It was a Sunday. So it was off regular work
- 22 hours.
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. This e-mail that we're looking at, you and I,
- is a campaign-related e-mail, is it not?

| | | Page 44 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | A. It is. | |
| 2 | Q. It was sent from your personal e-mail, not | |
| 3 | affiliated with the State of Illinois? | |
| 4 | A. Correct. | |
| 5 | Q. I want to go through it with you, if you don't | |
| 6 | mind. By the way, who is this e-mail sent to? | |
| 7 | A. This e-mail was sent to Ben Nuckels, Andy | |
| 8 | Ross, Mica Matsoff and Tom Quinn. | |
| 9 | Q. So Ben Nuckels was the Governor's campaign | |
| 10 | manager at the time, right? | |
| 11 | A. That is correct. | |
| 12 | Q. He had no State affiliations at that time? | |
| 13 | A. That is correct. | |
| 14 | Q. Andy Ross, however, did have State | |
| 15 | affiliations and we talked a little bit about it. At | |
| 16 | this time was he at DCEO? | |
| 17 | A. No. He was a Deputy Chief of Staff at the | |
| 18 | Governor's office. | |
| 19 | Q. Mica Matsoff. | |
| 20 | A. She was on the campaign. | |
| 21 | Q. Solely on the campaign? | |
| 22 | A. Correct. | |

Fax: 314.644.1334

All right. Your e-mail says, "If we are

Thomas Quinn, solely on the campaign?

Q.

Α.

Q.

(Nodding.)

23

24

25

Page 45

- 1 trying to get the base out and that's the key to our
- victory, we better prioritize correctly. Do you see
- 3 that sentence, sir?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. You're totally in campaign mode; isn't that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. I am talking on the campaign side, yes.
- 8 Q. "We spent a lot of money in the AA community."
- 9 That's the African-American community, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. "We did a lot of robocalls utilizing
- 12 Congressmen David, Rush and Jackson. We also did radio
- 13 with the same people. Obama can be positive and get
- 14 more people -- get people to be more excited about PQ,"
- 15 Pat Quinn, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So let me stop right there. The purpose of
- 18 this is, as I read it at least -- you can correct me --
- 19 is that you're trying to get people excited about Pat
- 20 Quinn's reelection effort.
- 21 A. Sure. That's what campaigns are all about.
- 22 Q. They are. No doubt about it.
- 23 "The Congressmen and ad like Andy suggests
- 24 (from the typical African-American family, particularly
- women) can say why Brady would be a disaster. The

Page 46

- 1 African-American community tends to break late so we
- 2 have some time."
- Now, the next sentence, the last sentence, is
- 4 what I want to hone in on. "The Governor's Neighborhood
- 5 Recovery Initiative will also help on the jobs and
- 6 antiviolence messages." That's decidedly a
- 7 policy-related issue, is it not?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Explain that for me.
- 10 A. Sure. Well, elections are about referendums
- on incumbents, what have they done, what have they
- 12 accomplished, have they shown leadership, what have they
- 13 done for our town or community. Campaigns are about
- 14 messaging and educating various constituencies about the
- 15 incumbent.
- 16 Here myself on State time -- on personal time,
- 17 on my personal computer -- I was very careful to keep
- 18 those separate -- I was helping educate the campaign
- 19 about an accomplishment of the Governor, an
- 20 accomplishment --
- Q. What accomplishment? What had he done by
- 22 September 5, sir?
- 23 A. Well, he hadn't done anything; but it was
- 24 within a few weeks it was going to be announced.
- Q. It was announced a month later, was it not?

Page 47

- 1 On October 6th.
- 2 A. It was announced early October. That's
- 3 correct.
- 4 Q. This wasn't -- You mentioned the term
- 5 framework to a lot of questions that Representative
- 6 Reboletti made. On September 5, that Sunday, of 2010,
- 7 what framework existed? Can you show me or point to me
- 8 a framework that existed to demonstrate anything done or
- 9 accomplished by the Governor with respect to the
- 10 Governor's Neighborhood Recovery Initiative?
- 11 A. Well, the framework was set up. The pieces of
- 12 it were there. They were being followed up on. The RFF
- 13 was happening, that kind of thing. This is just
- 14 educating the campaign that we are addressing the two
- 15 number -- the No. 1 and No. 2 issues in the Chicagoland
- 16 area, jobs and antiviolence, and that this initiative
- 17 will help us on that messaging. And that was simply
- 18 educating the campaign. That's what this would do.
- 19 Just like we did for other constituencies.
- 20 For downstate when we talked about the capital bill and
- 21 roads and bridges and building new schools and building
- 22 new university buildings and disaster relief and coal
- 23 development and FutureGen. And just like we would do
- 24 with suburbia and suburban women. What did we
- 25 accomplish there? I mean, that's what the State does.

Page 48

- 1 What the State does is there's accomplishments and
- 2 there's things that happen. The campaign is trying to
- 3 educate people in different constituencies on what the
- 4 incumbent, in this case the Governor, has done in his
- 5 leadership as Governor.
- 6 Q. But he didn't do anything.
- 7 A. That's how it's always worked.
- 8 Q. Mr. Lavin, come on. I'm going to show you a
- 9 document. I mean, things get accomplished. Things get
- 10 done. There's ribbon cuttings left and right. And
- 11 politicians want to take credit for things actually
- 12 accomplished. But that's not what happened here. I'm
- 13 showing you an e-mail from you, from your State
- 14 computer, of September 2, so just three days earlier, on
- 15 a Thursday.
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. All right? Would you be read that aloud,
- 18 please?
- 19 A. "We need to get someone to write up the
- 20 Neighborhood Recovery Initiative and get a draft with
- 21 components. Can Jason and Sohar do this? If they get
- 22 materials by tomorrow, then they work on it over the
- 23 weekend. Time is of the essence. We also need to meet
- 24 with Warren tomorrow. Let me know. Thanks -- or
- 25 tanks."

Page 49

- 1 Q. Yeah, typo.
- 2 So this e-mail you're drafting to hurry up and
- 3 get a framework going and over the weekend. You're
- 4 telling me this was an accomplishment? Come on. This
- 5 was something that was coming down the line. You were
- 6 trying to capitalize on something that may happen.
- 7 Hurry up, guys. Get it done. And now you're going to
- 8 sell it as something to get votes out in the communities
- 9 that you needed, on your campaign time, with your
- 10 campaign hat on, to vote, to get out. Isn't that
- 11 correct, sir?
- 12 A. No, that is correct, sir.
- 13 Q. How could that possibly not be correct?
- 14 A. Because we had looked at this program. We had
- 15 a framework set up. It still needed -- some of it
- 16 needed to be written up. We knew we were going to do
- 17 the program because the epidemic of violence, the
- 18 Killing Season in Chicago, was happening. And this was
- 19 the No. 1 issue in the Chicago area, and so we had to
- 20 get a program up and running and do it. And we were
- 21 doing it and the messages that would be from it were
- 22 jobs and antiviolence.
- 23 Q. Who is Mark Harris?
- 24 A. Mark Harris is a Deputy Chief of Staff in the
- 25 Governor's office.

Page 50

- 1 Q. Who is Joey Mack?
- 2 A. He is my assistant -- He was my assistant in
- 3 the Governor's office.
- Q. So this e-mail of September 2 at, looks like,
- 5 8:40 p.m. to -- on a Thursday night, pretty late
- 6 Thursday night, says, "We need to get someone to write
- 7 up the Neighborhood Recovery Initiative." So if there
- 8 was a draft, sir, it looks like you're asking someone to
- 9 write it up again -- oh, and hold on -- and get a draft
- 10 with components. What are you saying in that sentence?
- 11 A. There were different components to the
- 12 program, and we needed to tie it all together.
- Q. Okay. "Can Jason and Sohar do this?" Who is
- 14 Jason?
- 15 A. Jason and Sohar work in the Governor's office.
- 16 Q. Who is Jason?
- 17 A. He was -- I don't know what his title was. He
- 18 was a policy analyst.
- 19 Q. So you're asking a policy analyst. And is
- 20 Sohar a policy analyst too?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they working for IVPA?
- 23 A. They were working in the Governor's office.
- Q. So that's a no. They weren't working for
- 25 **IVPA**.

Page 51

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. I don't see Barbara Shaw copied on this. I
- 3 thought she was working on this framework. I thought
- 4 she and her board at the IVPA had the framework or were
- 5 developing a framework. This sure looks like you're
- 6 asking someone to draft it now on September 2, doesn't
- 7 it?
- 8 A. She had -- As far as I knew, she had the
- 9 framework, the four components, mentoring plus jobs, the
- 10 reentry, school-based counseling. As far as I knew,
- 11 that came from IVPA.
- 12 Q. So is this something totally different? Is
- 13 this a different neighborhood initiative?
- 14 A. No. It's pulling all the pieces together.
- 15 There were different pieces, not just IVPA. There was
- 16 an economic development piece to it.
- Q. Okay. But again, Warren is not copied on
- 18 this. Barbara is not copied on this. No one is copied
- 19 on this. This looks like this is you to a couple of
- 20 policy analysts.
- 21 A. Sure. They would be working with those
- 22 agencies to get the information.
- Q. Okay. Back to your campaign e-mail, please.
- 24 The last sentence of this first paragraph, "The
- 25 Governor's Neighborhood Recovery Initiative will also

Page 52

- 1 help on the jobs and antiviolence messages."
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. This was an accomplishment of the Governor
- 4 that already happened in three days, between the 2nd and
- 5 the 5th?
- 6 A. No. This was a program that was going to be
- 7 announced. It was going to happen, and it would be an
- 8 accomplishment of the Governor. It would help with the
- 9 jobs and antiviolence messages.
- 10 **Q.** Okay.
- 11 A. The No. 1 issues in the Chicagoland area and
- 12 the Governor as the Governor of the State of Illinois
- 13 was addressing those issues because there needed to be
- 14 leadership on these issues, and he was doing that.
- 15 Q. Did you have a conversation with the Governor
- 16 at any time between September 2 and September 5 about
- your e-mail that you're looking at and/or the
- 18 campaign-related e-mail to the recipients of that
- 19 document?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. Because yesterday, again, from the four people
- 22 that testified, no one really took ownership of the
- 23 program in its inception. Malcolm Weems seemed to be
- 24 confused over what budget year we were talking about and
- 25 how it was to be financed. Great for a budget guy.

Page 53

- 1 Ms. Shaw was absolutely dedicated to the documents and
- 2 the whole theory behind prevention and finally dealing
- 3 with violence prevention. But what was -- And
- 4 Mr. Ocasio, I'm surprised he knew what room he was in.
- 5 But this is going on not in a vacuum, right,
- 6 Mr. Lavin? Put your campaign hat on. Again, this is
- 7 going on with a pending election a mere two months --
- 8 well, this is less than two months away. You were --
- 9 you wanted this to move along, and your memo of
- 10 September 2 wants a weekend draft because you wanted to
- 11 get these messages out to look like something was
- 12 happening even if it wasn't happening yet. Isn't that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. No, that is not. We wanted to get this done
- 15 because there was a -- there was a violence epidemic in
- 16 the City of Chicago. There was a great recession and
- 17 there were no jobs, and so we wanted to get this program
- 18 up and running as quickly as possible.
- 19 Q. Absolutely.
- 20 A. Killing Season Chicago. That's what was
- 21 happening. There was murders every day, two homicides
- 22 every day between Memorial Day and Labor Day.
- Q. Can you hold that up one more time, please?
- 24 Because I don't think the cameras got enough pictures.
- 25 But I don't remember seeing any e-mails or anything from

Page 54

- 1 your -- with your name on it about that I'm sure very
- 2 profound and heart-wrenching --
- 3 A. No. This came later. This woman did this and
- 4 this came out later, but it gives context to what was
- 5 happening in the City of Chicago at that time. I live
- 6 in the City of Chicago. I raise a family in the City of
- 7 Chicago. Every night there was on the news the
- 8 homicides, shootings. Every morning in the newspaper,
- 9 homicides, shootings.
- 10 Q. Today too.
- 11 A. My wife reminds me she told me we should call
- 12 out the National Guard. So that's how parents and
- 13 mothers were thinking about what was happening in the
- 14 City of Chicago, this particular what we're calling
- 15 Killing Season Chicago, what was happening that summer
- 16 in Chicago. And so something needed to be done and
- 17 needed to be done quickly, and that's what was
- 18 happening.
- 19 And I was very careful, Representative, to
- 20 keep what I do on State business and State time away
- 21 from campaign time. I did give this e-mail about an
- 22 accomplishment that we -- an accomplishment that we had
- or was coming very soon, would be announced very soon.
- 24 I don't know what they ever did with this. I don't know
- 25 if it was ever used because I wasn't on the campaign,

Page 55

- 1 and I didn't do the campaign messaging and writing of
- 2 commercials and all that. I didn't do that. I didn't
- 3 do the campaign.
- 4 Q. You didn't. So this was strict policy and
- 5 gave it to the campaign and called it an accomplishment
- 6 a month before the thing was rolled out.
- 7 A. This was a program and this would help with
- 8 messaging on jobs and antiviolence.
- 9 Q. Of course, it helped with messaging.
- 10 Messaging to come about a program that had not yet been
- 11 rolled out, announced or done one thing because you
- wanted to energize a base, just as this e-mail says,
- 13 sir. This was all about getting people to the polls on
- or before November 4. Isn't that right?
- 15 A. That is incorrect.
- 16 Q. How is there any other reasonable reading of
- 17 this memo, this e-mail?
- 18 A. As I said before, elections are referendums on
- 19 the incumbent and have they shown leadership, do they
- 20 have accomplishments, what have they done for my towns
- 21 and communities. This was a program that was going to
- 22 be coming out and it was going to happen.
- 23 SENATOR RAOUL: Can we just answer questions
- 24 uninterrupted? I'm having trouble following. There's
- 25 been multiple questions where the witness was

Page 56

- 1 interrupted in the midst of answering the question.
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: And actually the
- 3 court reporter is also giving me signals down there. So
- 4 please.
- 5 SENATOR MARTINEZ: We are going to be here all day.
- 6 Take it easy.
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Senator, I'm asking a
- 8 question. I'm getting colloquy and narrative back.
- 9 Yes, you're right. Sometimes I interrupt because the
- 10 colloquy will make us be here all day. I will try and
- 11 ask shorter questions, and I would be really
- 12 appreciative if you answered only what I asked. Okay?
- MR. LAVIN: I will answer the questions the best I
- 14 can, Representative.
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Fantastic.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Proceed.
- 17 BY REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK:
- 18 Q. Was this an accomplishment on September 5 in
- 19 this memo?
- 20 A. This was an accomplishment that the decision
- 21 had been made we were going to move forward with the
- 22 program that addressed jobs and antiviolence.
- Q. So it was an accomplishment.
- A. It was about to be an accomplishment.
- Q. And because you were interested, not in an

Page 57

- 1 official way, of making sure the base got out,
- 2 particularly in the African-American community. This is
- just, hey, this is coming down the line, right?
- 4 A. Representative, campaigns -- elections are
- 5 referendums on the leadership of the incumbent, in this
- 6 case the Governor. And what does the Governor do in a
- 7 campaign? The campaign is about you have different
- 8 constituencies and you have different accomplishments
- 9 that you had during your time as Governor. And so
- 10 campaigns are about talking to your constituencies and
- 11 letting them know what you've done, how you've shown
- 12 leadership.
- 13 Q. Have you seen the board minutes of the IVPA of
- 14 September 30, 2010?
- 15 A. I have not.
- 16 Q. I'm going to read to you the second sentence
- of the last paragraph and ask for your reaction.
- 18 "Malcolm explained that the Governor's office is
- 19 committed to allocating some of the funds for this
- 20 initiative immediately and will allocate the rest after
- 21 the election." Any reaction to that statement?
- 22 A. No, because I don't know the context of that
- 23 statement. I don't know what was happening with the
- 24 meeting. I believe this was discussed yesterday with
- 25 Barbara Shaw and Malcolm, and I believe -- my

Page 58

- 1 understanding is it was clarified. But I was not at
- 2 that meeting and I don't know the context of it.
- 3 Q. Were you listening to yesterday's testimony or
- 4 not?
- 5 A. I was not listening.
- 6 Q. Then how do you know it was brought up or
- 7 clarified?
- 8 A. I had people, you know, summarize some of the
- 9 things that happened at the --
- 10 **Q. Who?**
- 11 A. My lawyer.
- 12 Q. Other than your lawyer. Anyone else? You
- 13 said people. Anyone else?
- 14 A. My lawyer.
- 15 Q. Oh, okay. Did you talk to the Governor at all
- 16 about your testimony today?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- 18 Q. When was the last time you talked to
- 19 Governor Quinn?
- 20 A. I saw him on the streets several weeks ago and
- 21 just said hello.
- 22 Q. Any conversations about your testimony before
- 23 the Legislative Audit Commission with respect to the
- 24 Neighborhood Recovery Initiative?
- 25 A. With the Governor?

Page 59

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. I'm going to move to the selection or the
- 4 rollout of NRI. Representative Reboletti talked about
- 5 the neighborhoods selected, and you said I think twice
- 6 that it was based on crime statistics.
- 7 A. That was my recollection.
- 8 Q. Had you ever seen the crime statistics?
- 9 A. No, not that I recall.
- 10 Q. I think you said you read the audit or you saw
- 11 a part of the audit. You know that some communities
- 12 within the Chicago -- the City of Chicago that have high
- crime statistics were excluded or were not part of NRI.
- 14 Do you know why?
- 15 A. I don't know why.
- 16 Q. Do you know how it was that aldermen were
- 17 selected? I know everyone wants to be close to the
- 18 community. But how was it that RFPs didn't get --
- 19 weren't really used for the selection of lead agencies
- 20 but, rather, aldermen and aldermanic suggestions were
- 21 utilized to determine lead agencies?
- 22 A. There was an RFP process that the IVPA did.
- 23 **Q. Right.**
- A. And that's what they did to come to their
- 25 selections.

Page 60

- Q. Do you think that was a request for proposal
- 2 that went out to the market for bids?
- 3 A. There was an RFP process that the IVPA did.
- Q. Okay. What's your understanding of what that
- 5 RFP process really was?
- 6 A. That they sent out an RFP to various community
- 7 groups, and they got responses back and they vetted them
- 8 and reviewed them.
- 9 Q. Do you understand what the aldermen -- what
- 10 the role of aldermen was with respect to picking lead
- 11 agencies for disbursement of NRI funds and actually
- 12 acting as fiscal agent?
- 13 A. I'm sorry. Say that again?
- 14 Q. What was the role to your understanding of
- 15 Chicago aldermen with respect to finding out or
- determining lead agencies for NRI?
- 17 A. As I said before, my recollection was there
- 18 were going to be recommendations from the aldermen and
- 19 the faith-based community. Then there would be an RFP
- 20 process, and through that RFP process there would be
- 21 community organizations chosen to be the lead agencies
- 22 in the communities.
- Q. And do you know how many times the suggested
- 24 lead agency was the same -- I'm sorry, how many times
- 25 the ultimate lead agency was the same as the suggested

Page 61

- 1 lead agencies from the aldermen?
- 2 A. I don't. I know I read something from the
- 3 audit, but that's -- other than that, I don't know.
- Q. Did you play any role in how this program was
- 5 to be administered and managed?
- 6 A. What do you mean? I mean, I was there for
- 7 the --
- 8 Q. Responsibilities.
- 9 A. I was there for the initial framework and
- 10 setting it up. But once the program was up and running,
- 11 I did not have a role. I mean, as Chief of Staff, you
- 12 know, there were things that came up on budget issues.
- 13 There may have been, you know, an issue where I might do
- 14 some issue resolution; but I did not have a big role. I
- 15 mean, I was as Chief of Staff, you know, managing many
- 16 things that we were doing: the tax increase, the
- 17 workers' comp reform, the unemployment insurance reform,
- 18 Medicaid reform, collective bargaining negotiations. I
- 19 had a hundred other things I was doing.
- 20 Q. Those sound like accomplishments, not things
- 21 that were inchoate, that you could brag about on the
- 22 campaign trail versus things that were yet to come. I
- 23 suspect that may have been --
- A. I don't know if he is bragging about them on
- 25 the campaign trail at this time.

Page 62 1 Q. He's not? 2 Α. He should be. 3 Q. Who did Barbara Shaw report to? Her board chairs. Α. Okay. Who was her supervisor? Q. Her board. She is hired by her board. Α. 7 Did she have any responsibility to report to 8 the Governor's office in any way, shape or form? 9 She worked with Toni Irving. Toni was the Deputy Chief of Staff. And, you know, issues that might 10 come up, she would work with Toni, issues that related 11 12 to the Governor's office or needed Governor's office help. 13 14 There's been obviously some conversation in Q. this process about the effectiveness and you in your 15 16 opening comments said -- and we heard from others -- we 17 don't really know if it saved one life. Certainly that's a wonderful thing. It got people working. 18 19 That's a wonderful thing. But there was -- because 20 these are tax dollars. There was some end game to look 21 into the propriety and effectiveness of spending 22 \$55 million of taxpayer dollars, not just getting it on 23 the street and calling it an accomplishment. But it also wasn't really an accomplishment because that's --24 25 you want to use best practices.

Page 63

| 1 | What involvement did you have with respect to |
|----|--|
| 2 | measuring the propriety of this foretold accomplishment? |
| 3 | A. I had no role in that. I mean, there was a |
| 4 | time when the General Assembly was going to cut the |
| 5 | budget of the IVPA. This would have been a couple years |
| 6 | later. And it came to my attention, you know, What are |
| 7 | we going to do? I said, Well, first of all, we have |
| 8 | been doing this for a year and a half or two years or |
| 9 | whatever it was. What's worked? What hasn't? And |
| 10 | based on that, you can say, okay, we've got to cut our |
| 11 | budget. That didn't work. Let's cut that out. That |
| 12 | worked. Let's keep doing it. And I told that and they |
| 13 | went back and someone else came back and I didn't |
| 14 | look at the analysis; I wasn't shown the analysis and |
| 15 | said these are the things that worked and these are the |
| 16 | things that didn't. And then the budget got cut and I |
| 17 | think at that point I think it got sent to CJIA or |
| 18 | something. I don't know if that's the right timing, but |
| 19 | I think that was the time. |
| 20 | Q. What document are you referring to as to what |
| 21 | worked and what didn't work? |
| 22 | A. Like I said, I never saw a document. I asked |
| 23 | them to go back if the budget was going to be cut, you |
| 24 | know, what worked and what didn't work. I at that point |
| 25 | assumed they had some measurement or analysis of what |

Page 64

- 1 was working and what wasn't and how it worked. And I
- 2 asked them to go back and look at that to say, okay, if
- 3 we are going to cut the budget, this wasn't working;
- 4 this was working. So do this; don't do this.
- 5 Q. And you said you don't remember seeing
- 6 anything. Who did you talk to about it?
- 7 A. I assumed that they were -- had some tracking
- 8 measurements of all of this.
- 9 Q. But the framework, as you say, of NRI was
- 10 being talked about or drafted. Are you aware of any
- 11 measurements that were supposed to occur pursuant to the
- 12 program's rollout or mission?
- 13 A. I was not aware of any specific measurements.
- 14 I quess I just assumed they would set up measurements.
- 15 Q. Because obviously it's in the audit. There
- 16 was intention to have some measurables and a result or
- 17 analysis from the University of Illinois at Chicago. Do
- 18 you remember anything about that?
- 19 A. I do not. I was not involved in that. I saw
- 20 it was in the audit.
- Q. So if I'm understanding it, you were involved
- 22 in the initial framework and then this is a program. We
- 23 will look at it come budget time.
- A. Yeah, that's what I just said. I mean, as
- 25 Chief of Staff, it would come up periodically when the

Page 65

- 1 budget time came around, you know. Maybe -- and I don't
- 2 recall specifics -- there might have been an issue that
- 3 had to be resolved and it might make it up to me and I
- 4 would participate in that. But other than that, no. I
- 5 mean, this was a program -- an important program, but it
- 6 was in the big picture -- You know, we started out 2011
- 7 in the new term with a \$10 billion deficit, a tax
- 8 increase that needed to happen, Medicaid program.
- 9 Q. Workers comp, unemployment.
- 10 A. There was a lot of big issues affecting the
- 11 fiscal status of the State, and that was my focus
- 12 because that was the Governor's focus.
- 13 Q. Have you had any conversations with the
- 14 Governor at any time about propriety of NRI?
- 15 A. What does propriety mean?
- 16 Q. If it worked.
- 17 A. You know, I don't recall specific
- 18 conversations. I'm sure at the point in time when it
- 19 was moved to CJIA and then the General Assembly chose to
- 20 abolish the IVPA and the Governor signed the bill, I'm
- 21 sure at some point I had a conversation with him about
- 22 the program.
- Q. Well, the Governor has been quoted in many
- 24 media outlets as saying when he learned about the
- 25 problems with NRI, he shut it down. You were Chief of

Page 66

- 1 Staff then, right?
- 2 A. Well, the program -- my understanding is the
- 3 program got shut down this past budget year.
- Q. That's my understanding sort of too, but
- 5 that's a different concept. The Governor has been
- 6 reported as saying he shut it down I think in 2012.
- 7 A. You know, you have to talk to him. I don't
- 8 know. I know that --
- 9 Q. You were Chief of Staff, right?
- 10 A. I know that the IVPA was abolished, and maybe
- 11 that's what he's referring to. And that happened I
- 12 think in the veto session of 2012; and he signed the
- 13 bill I think sometime in January, if I recall correctly.
- Q. You know there was a House resolution -- my
- 15 colleague David Reis was the sponsor -- calling for the
- 16 performance audit of this program. You were Chief of
- 17 Staff at that time. Did you have an opinion about the
- 18 request for a performance audit over the NRI program?
- 19 A. You know, having been at DCEO and know that
- 20 there's performance audits and having been behind one
- 21 that didn't go so well even though we had a professional
- 22 disagreement on some of that, you know, performance
- 23 audits often are difficult.
- Q. Earlier I was struck by something you said
- 25 about the emergency nature of the killing season, the

Page 67

- violent epidemic. When did that not become an emergency
- 2 anymore?
- 3 A. You know, I don't know. I mean, there's still
- 4 a lot of killings.
- 5 Q. It's happening right now, right?
- 6 A. I think the murder homicide rate is down
- 7 but -- you know.
- 8 Q. Well, the reason I ask is because everyone
- 9 talks about the emergent circumstances in August -- or
- 10 July, August, September of 2010 and this need to act
- 11 quickly. And there's plenty of documents to show this
- 12 was done quickly, but it had nothing to do with the
- 13 election. So when did the emergency circumstances seem
- 14 to go away? Because I don't see a piece of paper after
- 15 November on this program that says this is an emergency
- still.
- 17 A. After November when?
- 18 Q. 4 of 2010.
- 19 A. You don't see a -- I'm not following your
- 20 question.
- 21 Q. The concept of this being an emergency -- I
- 22 mean, you have seen the audit, right? This wasn't a
- 23 well-run program from -- well-intended, yes.
- 24 Aspirationally, yes. Operationally, there were a lot of
- 25 problems and the audit points them out; and if you want

Page 68

- 1 to go through them, we can go through them.
- Every person that sat in your chair,
- 3 Mr. Lavin, has said basically you're saying, look it,
- 4 this is a big deal. We've got to do something. We will
- 5 worry about the paperwork later. We will make things
- 6 tie together neatly later. It didn't happen. So I'm
- 7 asking you when it became less of an emergency so that
- 8 we finally address -- When do we finally address the
- 9 programmatic problems of NRI?
- 10 A. Well, first of all, as I said in my opening
- 11 statement, I was not aware of the significant problems
- 12 that were there as far as the grant administration and
- 13 grant monitoring. I was simply not aware of them. So
- 14 from that perspective, I don't know if that answers your
- 15 question or partially answers your question. But I was
- 16 not aware of that.
- 17 And then I would -- Let me just continue on.
- 18 At some point in the spring session of 2012, the General
- 19 Assembly decided to move the budget from IVPA to CJIA.
- 20 So, you know, I don't know why that was. I mean, but
- 21 there continued to be a need for the program. There
- 22 continued to be the need for a program that addresses
- 23 antiviolence or violence issues and jobs. So, you
- 24 know ...
- 25 Q. To your point, I think there were some media

Page 69

- 1 reports. CNN did a rather interesting report on some of
- 2 the programmatic problems, some of the problems on the
- 3 ground with NRI. So that may have had something to do
- 4 with the General Assembly's actions. You didn't have
- 5 any knowledge about the operational problems. Whose
- 6 fault is NRI and its operational failures?
- 7 A. Well, you know, I think that -- as I said, I
- 8 was not aware of the significant issues. Sometimes you
- 9 see reports such as CNN and they hone in on one small
- 10 problem -- or one problem in a bigger program. So I was
- 11 not aware that there was problems beyond that, but I
- 12 think that -- and if I had been aware of the significant
- 13 problems, I probably would have done something
- 14 different. I think that there's enough responsibility
- 15 to go around. I think IDPA --
- 16 Q. Did you have any?
- 17 A. Pardon?
- 18 Q. Do you accept any? You said three times, I
- 19 didn't know any of this was going on. Should you have
- 20 known? You were Chief Operating Officer.
- 21 A. I was Chief of Staff at that time.
- 22 O. Oh. Even more.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 **Q.** Sorry.
- 25 A. Well, you know, in the respect that I was

Page 70

- 1 Chief of Staff, I guess I do have some responsibility
- 2 along with the Governor because ultimately it is. But
- 3 if you're not aware of the problems, it's hard to do
- 4 anything about them.
- 5 Q. But if you're wearing blinders and not paying
- 6 attention, that's self-imposed, right? So doesn't --
- 7 A. Representative, I'm not wearing blinders. I
- 8 had a lot of duties as the Chief of Staff. You want me
- 9 to go through the list again?
- 10 Q. I don't want to hear the accomplishments
- 11 again.
- 12 A. Okay. We had a lot of things in pension
- 13 reform. I forgot pension reform.
- 14 Q. Yeah.
- 15 A. We spent two years fighting to get pension
- 16 reform. That was another thing. That took up a lot of
- 17 time --
- 18 **Q. Yeah.**
- 19 A. -- you know, Representative. Not to mention I
- 20 have a family, a wife, three kids. I've got a lot of
- 21 things going on. So --
- 22 Q. You're a busy guy.
- 23 A. As Chief of Staff, you're tugged in a thousand
- 24 different directions and you have a thousand different
- 25 priorities and you have to manage that. And if you're

Page 71

- 1 not aware of a problem -- You know, it's not like you go
- 2 looking for problems because you already got enough
- 3 problems that are on your plate as it is.
- Q. For the majority of NRI funds in its first
- 5 year, in the fiscal year 2011, where did that money come
- 6 from?
- 7 A. Initially?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Well, the General Assembly gave the Governor a
- 10 lump sum budget; and some of that money was used from
- 11 the lump sum budget.
- 12 Q. Whose idea was it to use money from the
- 13 discretionary funds of the Governor essentially?
- 14 A. You say discretionary funds of the Governor,
- 15 but the General Assembly gives the Governor a lump sum.
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. Because the General Assembly at the time,
- 18 there was a \$10 billion deficit, there was a need for a
- 19 tax increase; and the General Assembly didn't want to
- 20 make the decisions on where cuts would happen and how it
- 21 would be spent. So they gave the Governor a lump sum
- 22 budget.
- Q. Representative Reboletti asked you how the
- 24 program went from 20 million to 50 million. But I think
- you said your recollection was it was always a

Page 72

- \$50 million endeavor?
- 2 A. No. I said it went from 20 to 30 million on
- 3 the piece that pertains to this audit.
- Q. The antiviolence problem.
- 5 A. Then there was another piece that was an
- 6 economic development piece with small business loans and
- 7 micro loans and job training. That money came from
- 8 DCEO. I'm not sure exactly what the rest of the 20
- 9 million was made up of. I think most it was from DCEO
- 10 and Economic Development. There might have been a small
- 11 piece in IDOT. I don't know if Safety Networks was
- 12 counted in that 50 million or not. I just don't know.
- 13 But the piece for the audit, it went from 20 to 30
- 14 million.
- 15 Q. Whose idea was it to go from 20 to 30?
- 16 A. I think when we heard we had the ability to go
- 17 to 30 million, we thought we should do that because it
- 18 will help more communities.
- 19 Q. Who told you -- or from where did the
- 20 information come that we have another 10 million; we
- 21 have 30 million?
- 22 A. The budget office.
- Q. Mr. Vaught or Mr. Weems?
- 24 A. Dave Vaught or Malcolm Weems. I don't
- 25 remember who, but it came from the budget office.

Page 73

- 1 Q. There's been -- In the audit it's been
- developed/proven that there's been -- there was no
- 3 documentation to support, you said, the crime
- 4 statistics. But there was no documentation developed in
- 5 this matter and our continuing review of the audit to
- 6 show the source of how communities were picked and how
- 7 others were not. Look at that now. Is that best
- 8 practices just to say we have crime stats and then go
- 9 from there?
- 10 A. Well, I'm telling you what my recollection is.
- 11 I think Barbara Shaw testified yesterday exactly what
- 12 happened in that area; and I would leave it to her how
- 13 that happened.
- 14 Q. There were -- Did you hear any complaints as
- 15 Chief Operating Officer at that time from communities
- 16 that felt like they had a violence problem -- a
- 17 prevailing violence problem but they were off the list
- 18 **of NRI?**
- 19 A. I don't recall specifics. There may have been
- 20 some complaints, but I don't recall specifics.
- 21 Q. I think you did testify when Representative
- 22 Reboletti was questioning about some south suburban
- 23 communities that went to the Governor?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you part of those discussions?

Page 74

- 1 A. I was not part of the discussions when they
- 2 came to the Governor. When it came to us can we add
- 3 three more communities in the south suburbs, I was in
- 4 that meeting when that was discussed and said that yes,
- 5 we can add those based on crime statistics.
- 6 Q. We talked a little about the lead agencies and
- 7 the RFPs. And you're right, there were RFPs; but they
- 8 weren't competitively bid. Is that best practices not
- 9 to have RFPs actually be competitively bid?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. If it was determined that the RFPs only went
- 12 to agencies recommended by Chicago aldermen, would that
- 13 be best practices to you?
- 14 A. I don't know. You're asking me to speculate.
- 15 My recollection was what I mentioned before.
- 16 Q. If it came to me that the Governor's office
- 17 made the selections, not the IVPA or Miss Shaw, would
- 18 that be in your mind a good use of IVPA or Miss Shaw's
- 19 professional discretion?
- 20 A. I'm not going to speculate.
- Q. Did you have anything to do with selecting the
- 22 lead agencies under NRI?
- 23 A. I did not.
- Q. Do you know who did?
- 25 A. It was based on crime statistics and whatever

Page 75

- 1 else Barbara Shaw said yesterday and it was the IVPA.
- 2 Q. With respect to the documentation of the lead
- 3 agencies and the subagencies, are you aware of any
- 4 contractual problems with those groups with respect to
- 5 the use -- to the acceptance and use of state tax dollar
- 6 money?
- 7 A. I'm not aware.
- 8 Q. Were you ever interested in following up with
- 9 Miss Shaw regarding the implementation and the
- 10 administration of NRI after the inception, after the
- 11 announcement? Did you check in with her as to how the
- 12 program was actually running?
- 13 A. I had very little contact with Miss Shaw.
- 14 Q. So after it was out the door, your involvement
- 15 with her and this program --
- 16 A. Well, in December, a couple months later, I
- 17 was appointed Chief of Staff. My duties significantly
- 18 grew. And as I mentioned -- I won't go through the list
- 19 all again -- there were a lot of big issues the State
- 20 was dealing with to get the fiscal shape of the State
- 21 back in good standing.
- 22 O. You mentioned at least three instances that
- 23 the Governor's office was a collegial, flat
- 24 organization. So your change from Chief Operating
- 25 Officer to Chief of Staff, you're still working with the

Page 76

- 1 same people though, right?
- 2 A. There were some new people that came in after
- 3 the election.
- Q. But you were still working with Malcolm Weems,
- 5 Toni Irving, Andy Ross.
- 6 A. Still working with Toni Irving. Still working
- 7 with Andy Ross. Malcolm, I worked with him. He was the
- 8 budget office and then eventually became director of
- 9 CMS. And I wouldn't have a lot of contact on a regular
- 10 basis with agency directors. I would have some contact
- 11 with Malcolm just because it's his agency that does the
- 12 collective bargaining and things like that.
- 13 Q. I asked the other witnesses yesterday kind of
- 14 a conclusory question. You read the audit or at least
- 15 you perused the audit. Do you have any disagreements
- 16 with the Auditor General and the audit itself with
- 17 respect to its findings?
- 18 A. Well, I mean, I was not involved in the
- 19 day-to-day operations of this program. So it would be
- 20 hard for me to opine on everything in the audit because
- 21 I just wasn't involved in that level of detail or at
- 22 that level of specificity. My main -- And this isn't
- 23 necessarily the Auditor General. I just think that it
- 24 has not been out there the good this program has done;
- 25 that over 14,000 people were -- did benefit from this.

Page 77

- 1 That is in the audit. That's where I got that number
- 2 from. But I think there should be more attention paid
- 3 to the good things that came out of this and the success
- 4 that came out of this because violence isn't going away,
- 5 and we need to continue to fight violence. And so we
- 6 should be looking at the good things that came out of it
- 7 and try to build upon that.
- 8 Q. I think you testified earlier that you thought
- 9 it was the right decision to shut down this program.
- 10 A. I don't think I testified to that.
- 11 Q. Oh, you didn't in your opening statement?
- 12 A. No. I said that "Subsequent actions taken by
- 13 the Governor and General Assembly to abolish the
- 14 authority and the program as well as to tighten the way
- 15 grants are monitored and conflicts of interest are
- 16 addressed will go a long way to prevent problems like
- 17 this in the future."
- 18 So sure. I mean, a lot of stuff that's
- 19 happened, the legislation that's passed, that will go a
- 20 long way to prevent problems like this in the future.
- 21 It doesn't mean we shouldn't be addressing violence
- 22 issues and addressing them in a long-term way. Not, you
- 23 know, going against the root causes. The best end to a
- 24 violence problem is a job, right? So we should be
- 25 looking at how can we help more people get jobs. And so

Page 78

- 1 I think that we should be looking at the good things
- 2 that this program did to help people get jobs, prepare
- 3 themselves for jobs, you know, keep families stable when
- 4 violence happens. That's what part of the school-based
- 5 counseling and the parent mentoring and all that was
- 6 about so that if something happens to a family and
- 7 there's a victim, that family needs counseling. That
- 8 family needs help. Otherwise, the family disintegrates.
- 9 Somebody loses a job or economically, whatever, and that
- 10 causes problems.
- 11 So I think all the good things that came out
- 12 of this program or that were part of this program, we
- 13 should be looking at that and continuing to try and do
- 14 that. Put State resources towards it. I mean, this is
- 15 a big issue still with the City of Chicago and other
- 16 parts of the State.
- 17 Q. Would you characterize it as in a state of
- 18 emergency now? We see every day in the Sun Times,
- 19 Tribune that there's killings going on, in certain
- 20 neighborhoods particularly, usually economically
- 21 disadvantaged communities where jobs --
- 22 A. I think it's still a big issue, yes.
- 23 Q. Is it an emergency to you? Should the
- 24 Governor right now be doing something towards it, not
- 25 like NRI?

Page 79 1 Α. Well --2 In a two-month timeframe? Q. 3 Α. We had 40 state troopers help the Chicago police. 5 Q. Well, we got a month still. Maybe a new program can be put together. 6 7 The last question, Mr. Lavin: Who was 8 ultimately responsible for NRI? Who was the person that -- The successes you mentioned that aren't 10 necessarily within this audit and the challenges and failures that are in the audit, who owns this audit? 11 12 Who owns the audit? Well, as I said, I think 13 there's some responsibility to go around. I think that the IVPA was a board -- a separate board in and of 14 15 itself created by State statute. They ran the program. They were running it day to day. So I think a lot of 16 17 responsibility goes there. But, as I said, I think there's some responsibility to go all around. 18 19 IVPA as a board had a day-to-day Q. 20 responsibility? 21 Well, the staff at IVPA; and then they reported to the board. They were hired by the board. 22

Fax: 314.644.1334

REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Further questions?

Thank you, Mr. Lavin.

Q.

Representative Crespo.

23

2.4

25

Page 80

- 1 REPRESENTATIVE CRESPO: Thank you.
- 2 EXAMINATION
- 3 BY REPRESENTATIVE CRESPO:
- 4 Q. Mr. Lavin, how are you?
- 5 A. Good. How are you doing?
- 6 Q. You know, it's funny. I always say when I see
- 7 people outside of Springfield, they look happier and
- 8 healthier. So ...
- 9 A. You're dragging me back in.
- 10 Q. Maybe because you left State government. I'm
- 11 not sure. But you look great.
- 12 A. Thank you.
- Q. So listen. I'm trying to make sense of what's
- 14 going on these past few days. We spent close to
- 15 12 hours talking to some witnesses. And I understand
- 16 what's going on here. There's an attempt to connect the
- 17 dots to show that there was an ulterior motive behind
- 18 the NRI. As of right now, I'm not convinced there is.
- 19 Maybe there is. And I'm not sure this committee is
- 20 going to be able to do that. I know the Feds are
- 21 looking into the program. Attorneys are looking into
- 22 the program. I think they will let us know. And when
- 23 that happens, if that's the case, then that's fine.
- 24 So what I heard yesterday, we had some good
- 25 testimony; and I heard that there was the need out there

Page 81

- 1 in Chicago and the community. We heard from Mr. Weems a
- very compelling story about how his dad died, and we
- 3 heard from Billy Ocasio who lives in Chicago. He's
- 4 concerned about his kids. We have heard from some of
- 5 the members here on the Audit Commission that this is a
- 6 real issue. And for many of us, we might have
- 7 experienced that, might not.
- 8 But what I heard yesterday was -- put things
- 9 in perspective for me that as we read about these
- 10 things, when we hear people talk about what they are
- 11 going through, I can see how they look at these things.
- 12 I get that. And I also understand that the
- administration wants to respond to that, as they should.
- 14 And I think there were good intentions, and I think they
- brought in good subject matter experts in to deal with
- 16 the issue.
- 17 But then there's the whole perspective -- and
- 18 I'm hearing this back and forth -- about
- 19 accomplishments. Well, you know, as Chair of the
- 20 Appropriations Committee of the House that serves as
- 21 someone who works closely with the Auditor, I fail to
- 22 see the accomplishments. However, if I were to put
- 23 myself in Mr. Weems' shoes or Billy Ocasio or some of
- 24 the folks who live in the city, I think they would say,
- 25 hey, there was an accomplishment there. So it's a

Page 82

- 1 matter of perspective or matter of opinion. Don't you
- 2 agree?
- 3 A. Absolutely. As I said, over 14,000 people
- 4 were helped. I live in the City of Chicago. I raise my
- 5 kids here, and it's concerning when you see every night
- 6 on the TV homicides and shootings. I don't live in some
- 7 of those neighborhoods that were in it, but it doesn't
- 8 mean it can't happen and doesn't mean as it is in the
- 9 city of Chicago a couple communities over. You know,
- 10 that's where things are happening.
- 11 Q. And so as frustrated as I get when I see
- dollars being used to invest in things that are not
- 13 working, again, I can see where people feel, Wait a
- 14 second. It's more than dollars. How much is a life
- worth? I get that. And I think that that's one of the
- issues here, and I'm hoping that this Commission will
- 17 learn from the testimony and have a better understanding
- 18 of some of the concerns and things that people are going
- 19 through.
- 20 But herein lies the problem for me. We also
- 21 have responsibilities to taxpayers; and I have to go
- 22 back to Hoffman Estates and Schaumburg to my district
- 23 folks and say, Fred, \$50 million? What came out of
- 24 that? I'm not sure they are going to understand me. So
- 25 I think that goes to the problem that we are talking

- 1 about money that was not spent wisely. And I'm going
- 2 to show you -- point you to an e-mail that Jerry
- 3 Stermer -- I think you submitted this e-mail, Mr. Lavin?
- 4 It's dated January 27th. It's a Thursday. From Jerry
- 5 Stermer to David Vaught, Jack Lavin, Lindsay Hansen
- 6 Anderson and the subject matter is budget decisions.
- 7 And I want to point to the second -- to the last page,
- 8 second page, and this is what Jerry Stermer was saying
- 9 in the e-mail. "They and many others will also start
- 10 talking." Again, the topic here is budget issues.
- 11 "They and many others will start talking immediately
- 12 about the Neighborhood Recovery as being funded by
- dramatic reductions in existing programs that are
- 14 clearly accountable and successful. As you know, I am
- 15 not at all persuaded that any of the ideas in
- 16 Neighborhood Recovery have any evidence to show they
- achieved their goals."
- 18 One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Weems
- 19 yesterday is that this is a game. There is only so much
- 20 money out there. So to take money to invest in a
- 21 program that based on the audit did not work, it was at
- 22 the expense of something else; and I think Jerry Stermer
- 23 is saying that as well.
- 24 Let me also read part of the audit, and this
- is the audit on page 29 -- sorry, page 30. Because I

Page 84

- 1 understand when you implement something, there's some
- 2 growing pains. You go through that process. Let me
- 3 just read these to you because I really think this was
- 4 just more oversight. I said yesterday gross
- 5 mismanagement. I'm going to go back to gross
- 6 mismanagement. And there is a part of the audit report
- 7 that says: Telpochcalli Community Education Project,
- 8 which is a not-for-profit that delivers services to the
- 9 Latino community. And they stated that another
- 10 challenge faced with the program was MEE or MEE
- 11 Productions was inability to provide Spanish material.
- 12 MEE Productions was not prepared to work in the Latino
- 13 community. None of the MEE Productions material was
- 14 Spanish or addressed Latino-related issues.
- 15 There's one on the Black United Fund of
- 16 Illinois. And they state that the overhead project cost
- 17 box on the second page of the budget, so each of the NRI
- 18 project components was extremely frustrating due to the
- 19 absence of written instructions and/or guidelines. Some
- 20 of the information provided by IVPA staff during the
- 21 budget preparation and (inaudible) process was incorrect
- 22 and/or inconsistent.
- 23 Proviso Council community actions, they
- 24 stated: As of 6-20-11 we have not received outreach
- 25 materials. This was eight days after the contract began

Page 85

- and 72 days before the end of the contract.
- 2 Association Housing of Chicago. Plan for
- 3 service delivery had been challenging due to the
- 4 changing timeline for the start of the program and the
- 5 NRI IVPA program design.
- 6 So let me ask you: Based on your academic
- 7 background and professional experience, what do you have
- 8 to say about Jerry Stermer's e-mail? And this was like
- 9 a year before the program ended. Obviously that was out
- 10 there. I'm not sure what Jerry's title was at the time,
- 11 but I know he's very close to the Governor's
- 12 administration and he has vast experience with different
- 13 not-for-profits.
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. And I tie that in with what I just read which
- 16 really goes to oversight. This is not mismanagement.
- 17 This is just like, come on. You're going to deal with
- 18 Latino not-for-profit. The first thing you're going to
- 19 ask any provider, any person coming in, Can you do this
- 20 in Spanish?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. So again, I know they are trying to connect
- 23 the dots to see if there is an ulterior motive out
- 24 there. I think this program was grossly mismanaged.
- 25 But I need to also point out this is not the only

Page 86

- 1 program in this administration -- the previous
- 2 administrations, this State government has a problem
- 3 with RFPs. They have a problem with all these grants
- 4 that were put out there. That's why we passed the Grant
- 5 Accountability and Transparency Act this past year. I
- 6 think that's going to address a lot of these issues that
- 7 we are discussing today in addition to the
- 8 recommendations from the Auditor General.
- 9 But in your professional opinion based on that
- 10 e-mail that you received from Jerry Stermer, based on
- 11 what I just read from the audit report, would you agree
- 12 with me that this was grossly mismanaged?
- 13 A. I don't think that I can say whether it was
- 14 gross mismanagement. Clearly there were a lot of
- 15 problems in the audit. The Auditor General pointed them
- 16 out. I think some of the issues you pointed out are
- 17 significant issues, but I keep going back to over 14,000
- 18 people were helped. And so I can't say it's grossly
- 19 mismanagement because I think over 14,000 people were
- 20 helped. I think there were obviously issues and there
- 21 were problems. You pointed out some of them. The audit
- 22 points out others. But I think over 14,000 people were
- 23 helped by this program, and that's multiplied by the
- 24 families of each of those people that were helped.
- 25 Q. I do believe, Mr. Lavin, in your opening

Page 87

- 1 remarks you did state there were a lot of problems. I
- 2 take that to mean that we are saying the same thing. It
- 3 might be a matter of semantics. But I think, again,
- 4 this program was really mismanaged. And, again, it's
- 5 not only you. We have had other programs in the State
- 6 where we have encountered the same thing. And I'm
- 7 really interested because of your background and your
- 8 experience with DCEO and the grant-making process and
- 9 University of Chicago and your MBA.
- 10 A. Yeah. At DCEO, early in my tenure there, we
- 11 passed the Corporate Accountability Act through the
- 12 legislature which holds any company who got a business
- 13 incentive their feet to the fire that they have to
- 14 create the jobs or the money would go back.
- 15 A couple years later, I created the chief
- 16 accountability officer position within DCEO so they
- 17 could look at these grants that weren't business
- 18 incentives but other grants and have an office within
- 19 the agency that looked at these types of problems. So
- 20 yes, I think my background does address these issues.
- 21 You used the word grossly. I didn't like the
- 22 word grossly. Do I think there were management
- 23 problems? Absolutely.
- Q. I think you and I are going to end up the same
- 25 way I did with Mr. Weems. He objected to the gross

Page 88

- 1 mismanagement, so he agreed to mismanagement.
- 2 Let me ask you this: How do we go back to our
- 3 constituents or taxpayers of Illinois and explain to
- 4 them, you know, there's a lot of -- there are millions
- 5 of dollars. There is some pressure points out there
- 6 that we need to address. They are probably going to
- 7 feel the same way Jerry Stermer did that, you know, he's
- 8 not convinced that this is a well-run program,
- 9 especially when you consider that it comes at the
- 10 expense of other programs that have demonstrated over
- 11 and over again to produce good results. What do we tell
- 12 those people?
- 13 A. Well, first of all, Jerry's e-mail is about
- 14 two or three months after the program started up. So
- 15 I'm not sure at that point he could opine on the
- 16 effectiveness of the program. He has a history of
- 17 working in not-for-profits, so he can opine about that.
- 18 What do we tell our constituents? I think we
- 19 tell our constituents that over 14,000 people were
- 20 assisted by this program. There were problems with the
- 21 program. The program is now no longer in existence.
- 22 New State statutes were passed based on the audit of
- 23 this program that will hopefully improve in the future
- 24 the accountability that there is for these grants so
- 25 that in the future we will never eliminate all the

Page 89

- 1 problems but that we can prevent some of these problems
- 2 in the future.
- 3 Q. Okay. Sir, thank you again.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Next questions will be
- 5 Senator Raoul followed by Representative Rita.
- 6 Senator Raoul.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY SENATOR RAOUL:
- 9 Q. Thank you, Mr. Lavin. I'm going to thank you
- 10 as I thanked the other witnesses for the fact that you
- 11 come in here and subject yourself to questioning and in
- 12 some cases badgering, which is unfortunate. And I'm
- going to ask questions, and I'm going to allow you to
- 14 answer the questions since I'm not out for a witch hunt.
- 15 First off, some mention was made earlier about
- 16 you being familiar with the audit process and you kind
- of jokingly said that you had some professional
- 18 disagreements. But you're accustomed to the fact that a
- 19 lot of different programs in State agencies go under
- 20 audit and often there are findings that -- where there
- 21 are deficiencies. But do we in those cases say, well,
- 22 then because there's findings -- in your case you were
- 23 head of DCEO -- findings against DCEO, that everything
- 24 that DCEO is doing is bad and we ought to get rid of
- 25 **DCEO?**

Page 90

- 1 A. Well, first of all, I've never seen an audit
- 2 that doesn't have some problems because our Auditor
- 3 General does a great job.
- In fact, the audit I was referring to with the
- 5 Auditor General had to do with some of our job training
- 6 programs several years ago and how we define a job
- 7 created or retained when we do job training. And we had
- 8 a professional disagreement, but we essentially changed
- 9 our measurements in job training and how we measure
- 10 effectiveness in job training and I think it improved
- 11 our program.
- 12 So you're correct. Just because there is a
- 13 finding in an audit doesn't mean the whole program is
- 14 bad. I look at audits -- and I have always looked at it
- 15 when Auditor General Holland looked at DCEO -- as it's
- 16 an outside person looking in and finding ways that you
- 17 can improve what you're doing.
- 18 Q. Absolutely. And mention was made to -- of
- 19 Jerry Stermer, and you're familiar with Jerry Stermer's
- 20 background. He used to be head of, I think, the Voices
- 21 for Illinois Children, which is a major non-for-profit
- 22 that has a stellar reputation. But there's a variety of
- 23 non-for-profits throughout the State that are recipients
- 24 of both State grants and local grants as well as money
- 25 from the foundation community, and they do work in a

Page 91

- 1 variety of different ways.
- I'm sure as head of DCEO you engage a variety
- 3 of different groups with diversity in terms of their
- 4 capacity to serve. Can you talk about -- just generally
- 5 about your experience with dealing with some of those
- 6 not-for-profits and the varying differences and need for
- 7 capacity building from different groups?
- 8 A. Sure. You always have different groups with
- 9 different capacity, and often back at DCEO sometimes we
- 10 would try to bring in new groups who maybe hadn't gotten
- 11 grants in the past because we think they would bring
- 12 fresh ideas and new ideas; and sometimes they would need
- 13 a little extra help on how to set up the grant process
- 14 and the monitoring and doing quarterly reports. So we
- 15 would try to work with those agencies because then we
- 16 got a more diversified group of not-for-profits coming
- 17 forward, and you might get new ideas and creative ideas
- 18 to accomplish whatever program that you were working
- 19 with at the time. So we would try to work with
- 20 different ones, and some maybe needed a little more help
- 21 than others as far as quarterly reports and grant
- 22 monitoring and those kinds of things.
- 23 O. I've kind of had this kind of side
- 24 conversation with the Auditor General and with others,
- and I think one of the greatest things that this audit

Page 92

- 1 highlights is the desperate need for capacity building
- with some non-for-profits that are well attended and do
- 3 good work particularly. And they are more concentrated
- 4 in poor communities of the ones that have less
- 5 personnel, may not have an accountant on staff or
- 6 compliance personnel on staff. But does that mean that
- 7 we reject all of these organizations and the work that
- 8 they do because they're on the frontline in the
- 9 community?
- 10 A. Absolutely not. We have to work with those
- 11 communities. And, like you said, they don't always have
- 12 all the resources to have that capacity. But they are
- on the front lines, and they understand what's happening
- on the front lines. And so we need to figure out a way
- 15 to bring them along because they are going to help us
- 16 address the problems and the issues in those
- 17 communities, and it's especially true in urban areas
- 18 that this is an issue.
- 19 Q. I sort of -- I serve on a couple of boards in
- 20 my private sector experience, and I serve as kind of an
- 21 outside general counsel to a not-for-profit educational
- 22 institution. And so I sit through reports of audits,
- 23 and in my experience audits don't tend to be a great
- 24 cheerleading experience. You know, what you tend to
- 25 feel great about when you get an audit is that they

Page 93

- don't say much wrong about what you're doing; but they
- 2 don't generally applaud what good you are doing. Is
- 3 that your experience?
- 4 A. Generally I would say audits don't applaud you
- 5 for the good things that happen. They are focussing on
- 6 the problems and the areas you need to improve. So
- 7 generally that's how they tend to focus and be.
- 8 Q. So might you say that when people -- In the
- 9 questioning from my friend Representative Crespo, there
- 10 was a conclusion that this money was spent and nothing
- 11 came out of it; that there were problems highlighted.
- 12 Can you conclude that nothing came out of this
- 13 investment -- that was an investment as you said -- in
- 14 response to the violence that was happening in the
- 15 communities in the Chicago area?
- 16 A. Absolutely. You can't say that nothing
- 17 happened. I mean, as I said, over 14,000 people were
- 18 helped by this program. And that's 14,000 people --
- 19 Like I said, I don't know if you can measure a life
- 20 saved or that. But I think if 14,000 people are helped,
- 21 I think you can count on that good things happened. And
- 22 is it perfect? No. We're dealing with an area of
- 23 violence in communities where there's a lot of violence.
- 24 Nothing is perfect. But helping over 14,000 people I
- 25 think is something that should be built upon.

Page 94

- 1 Q. I want to talk about politics because there
- 2 tends to be a thought that you don't talk about policy
- 3 when you're engaged in politics. I guess you're
- 4 supposed to be talking about how much your watch costs
- 5 or what kind of van you drive or, to be fair, your lawn
- 6 mower.
- 7 But is it -- On the way here I was listening
- 8 to a black talk radio, and they were talking about an
- 9 upcoming debate. And they were saying, well, we want to
- 10 know in the Governor's case what he's doing, what he has
- done and what he plans to do and in the challenger's
- 12 case what he plans to do.
- Now, much has been made of an e-mail that you
- 14 sent on a Sunday from -- I heard your testimony from
- 15 your home, from your personal computer and your personal
- 16 e-mail address talking about the NRI initiative in
- 17 response to communicating to the African-American
- 18 community. Whether the NRI was something of the past,
- 19 something that was in the process of being planned or
- 20 something that was going to come into the future but
- 21 that was part of an incumbent Governor's plan, is there
- 22 something wrong about talking about that in a campaign
- 23 contest or suggesting that it shouldn't be talked about
- in a campaign context?
- 25 A. Well, I don't think so. I mean, as I said,

Page 95

- 1 these elections are referendums on incumbents. So
- 2 people/constituencies want to know what have you done
- 3 for my town, my community, how have you shown
- 4 leadership. And in this case this was one of the top,
- 5 if not -- this was the top issue. Antiviolence and jobs
- 6 are the top issues in Chicagoland, particularly in the
- 7 African-American community in 2010. And so this was
- 8 something as a leader -- as a State government leader
- 9 the Governor was addressing. And that's what campaigns
- 10 do. They go out and they talk to various constituencies
- 11 and talk about what is the Governor doing, how is he
- 12 leading, how is he thinking about your community and
- 13 helping you? And that's simply what that e-mail was
- 14 about.
- 15 Q. Now, all of us up here as members of the
- 16 Commission, we've all been engaged in campaigning. And
- 17 when we were running for office, we have to certainly do
- 18 petitions; and I like engaging myself in circulating
- 19 petitions because it gives me an opportunity to engage
- 20 my constituency. And often what I get when I engage in
- 21 constituency is sometimes I get, Oh, you only come
- 22 around when it's time for you to get elected. You're
- 23 not doing anything about this, that or the other. And
- 24 in recent years it's been, What are you doing about jobs
- and what are you doing about the crime? What are you

Page 96

- 1 doing about our babies dying? And I imagine that an
- 2 incumbent Governor in the process of retail politics, of
- 3 engaging various constituencies, one of which is the
- 4 African-American community, another of which is the
- 5 Latino community, which those respective communities
- 6 have high rates of crime and low rates of employment,
- 7 that was probably something that the Governor was
- 8 hearing about more than usual because it was, in fact, a
- 9 campaign year in 2010.
- 10 And yesterday I went through some of the
- details and Officer Wortham being shot, young kids being
- 12 shot and killed. I read through about maybe a fifth of
- 13 the different headlines that were coming about at the
- 14 time. Is it unusual for an incumbent Governor or
- 15 incumbent State senator who is hearing about that with
- 16 the higher intensity because they are engaging the
- 17 community a little bit more intensely to want to do
- 18 something about that or want to do something about it
- 19 immediately?
- 20 A. Absolutely. I think that's what leadership is
- 21 all about.
- 22 Q. Does the Governor stop being Governor because
- 23 it's campaign season?
- 24 A. No. He's Governor 24/7, 365 days a year.
- 25 Q. I'm not going to go on. You know, I think I

Page 97

- 1 have kind of made the point. But you did say you live
- in the City of Chicago, right?
- 3 A. I do.
- Q. And you've been around the various communities
- 5 of the City of Chicago, and I think you get a different
- 6 perspective on the real underlying issue when you've
- 7 been there and when you've spoken to some of the -- I
- got one last question because you did say there was
- 9 input that was received from the faith-based community
- 10 as well as aldermen. Why was input sought from the
- 11 faith-based community and aldermen?
- 12 A. Well, I think you look at who's on the front
- 13 lines. When you watch the news at night and you see
- 14 somebody's been picked among a shooting or murder or
- 15 homicide, who did you see? You see the minister
- 16 standing next to the family comforting them. You see
- 17 the aldermen standing there. That's because they are on
- 18 the front lines of what's happening in their community
- 19 and the violence. They know where the violence is
- 20 coming from. They know the community organizations and
- 21 who's out there on the front lines trying to battle
- 22 every day to control the violence in their community.
- 23 And so those are the two groups that really are there on
- 24 the front lines. If you're trying to do something
- 25 that's grass roots from the bottom up, that's who you go

Page 98

- 1 to to try and get those recommendations and find out who
- 2 can really make a difference in our community.
- 3 Q. Last two questions, and you alluded to it
- 4 earlier. Was the NRI policy mentioned -- violence
- 5 prevention policy the only initiative that you may have
- 6 communicated to the campaign side about what the
- 7 Governor was doing? I'm not talking about just for the
- 8 black community or the Latino community. For the entire
- 9 state. Did you ever have occasion to communicate to
- anybody on the campaign side about anything else?
- 11 A. Well, we had a program called Put Illinois to
- 12 Work; and it employed tens of thousands of people at
- 13 times during the great recession. And, you know, that
- 14 was a program that was talked about in the fall of 2010.
- 15 And that was a key initiative of the Governor on the
- 16 State side, but it was an accomplishment that on the
- 17 campaign side they needed to understand and put in their
- 18 messaging. That's an example.
- 19 Q. And it's not wrong for an elected official to
- 20 talk on the campaign side about what they're doing on
- 21 the State side, is it?
- 22 A. I don't know how you can't. That's what
- 23 campaigns are about. You go out and talk about the
- 24 leadership that you have provided and accomplishments
- 25 that you had over the last four years or three years or

Page 99

- 1 what have you. That's what you need to do. You need to
- 2 talk to the people. People on a day-to-day basis aren't
- 3 always paying attention to what we're doing in
- 4 government, and so that's part of what campaigns are to
- 5 let people know what you have done and how you have
- 6 helped them in their community.
- 7 Q. In fact, members of this Commission talk about
- 8 this process on the campaign side. They send out
- 9 e-mails talking about the Audit Commission with links to
- 10 their campaign accounts.
- 11 A. I'm not aware of that, but I will believe what
- 12 you say.
- 13 Q. Thank you for your testimony.
- 14 A. Thank you.
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Further questions?
- 16 Representative Rita.
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY REPRESENTATIVE RITA:
- 19 Q. Mr. Lavin.
- 20 A. Representative Rita.
- Q. We have sat for over 12 hours of testimony and
- 22 listened to the same questions after questions about the
- 23 need -- or what was the need, what was the priority of
- 24 this program.
- 25 In Barb Shaw's opening statement, she read a

Page 100

- 1 statement and said, "The Neighborhood Recovery
- 2 Initiative was a serious and comprehensive violence
- 3 prevention program addressing a serious problem in
- 4 Chicago area communities. Kids were dying. In 2010,
- 5 nearly 700 children were shot and 66 of them died." She
- 6 referenced also a Chicago community -- that Chicago
- 7 communities had just come through a summer of horrific
- 8 violence including the video beating, a death -- the
- 9 video beating to death a Fenger High School student.
- 10 That happened in the Roseland community, which I
- 11 represent.
- 12 There was another article. And I don't know
- 13 the exact date when that happened, whether it was
- June -- May, June, July. But there was an article in
- 15 July 2010 in the Tribune. The headline read
- 16 "13-year-old Killed Execution-Type Shooting," which
- 17 happened at 115th and Perry, which was down the street
- 18 from this beating. And in that article it said that the
- boy's name that was shot, Robert, was the fourth teen
- 20 shot in the area in a week in the Roseland neighborhood.
- 21 15-year-old was shot Tuesday. 17-year-old shot Monday.
- 22 14-year-old shot Sunday.
- 23 Is this part of what -- when you were saying
- 24 there was a serious problem, maybe an epidemic, when you
- were referencing that?

- 1 A. Absolutely. This was what was in the news
- 2 every day. You know, the Governor who cares about
- 3 people and we know what he's done for our fallen
- 4 soldiers, well, he met with some of the parents of these
- 5 children with violence and he met with the wives of the
- 6 slain police officers and he met with the ministers who
- 7 deal with this every day. I mean, if all that doesn't
- 8 motivate you to do something and take some leadership,
- 9 I'm not sure what will.
- 10 Q. So in another part of that article, which I
- 11 was going to get to, it said, "A neighbor" -- Earlier
- 12 they said they didn't want to be identified for
- 13 retaliation. "A neighbor who said they watched the
- 14 horror as a gun man pumped bullet after bullet into that
- 15 13-year-old boy who was already down on the street later
- 16 found it was 22 bullet holes in that young man." Would
- 17 that be the connection that you mentioned that the
- 18 Roseland ministers came out to meet and discuss this?
- 19 Could this be all part of what was going on?
- 20 A. I think that is all part of what was going on,
- 21 and that's what was happening in the summer of 2010.
- 22 Q. I also represent the south suburbs, and
- 23 reference to the south suburbs have been mentioned that
- 24 all of a sudden they come out of the sky. Would it be
- 25 safe to say that the south suburbs is basically in

Page 102

- proximity to the Roseland community?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And would it be safe to say that the ministers
- 4 and elected officials when you are sort of intertwined
- 5 and put together or come together in groups?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And in some of them communities, now that you
- 8 said the south suburbs, it's made up of a number of
- 9 cities. It's not just one. It's sort of a geographic
- 10 area. Would you say that some of these communities in
- 11 the south suburbs are going through some of the same
- 12 communities that were going on in Chicago?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Because they had a list here. I don't know
- 15 where we got this list. And they were outlining violent
- 16 crimes. And that's not just limited to shootings, I
- 17 would imagine. I would imagine violent crimes is a
- 18 number of different type of crimes. And within a
- 19 six-year period -- and Roseland is on here -- 7,054.
- 20 And it goes down with all these thousands and thousands.
- 21 They don't have highlighted which communities
- 22 are areas in the south suburbs; but I would assume, and
- 23 me representing and knowing the community and working
- 24 with the faith-based organizations and the elected
- officials in the communities out in the south suburbs,

- there's probably some numbers that are higher than some
- of the communities that are on this list here, or very,
- 3 very close. Is that what some of the meetings and
- 4 coming together of putting this program together and the
- 5 need for this program?
- 6 A. That's all part of the need, Representative.
- 7 Q. And what I find troubling is that we are going
- 8 question after question after question and what's the
- 9 need, what's the urgency. But when you listen to what I
- just read, it's pretty horrifying as a witness that's
- 11 living on a block watching a 13-year-old boy getting
- 12 shot. I think there is a need for violence prevention.
- 13 Whether the audit findings -- And this is
- 14 supposed to be an audit committee. We are not looking
- 15 at what can we change for future grants. The questions
- aren't being asked what may have maybe been an
- 17 unintended consequence that happened working with some
- 18 local community groups, and that's where we don't have a
- 19 focus. We just keep going to the same question after
- 20 the same question after the same question.
- Thank you.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: At this time I need to
- 24 also add to the roll Representative Rita and Senator
- 25 Raoul.

- 1 We are going to go to -- continue on the line
- 2 of questions. But just very briefly, as I've watched
- 3 through the process of the audit -- And I've read the
- 4 audit, and I've read the e-mails and they are endless.
- 5 I have gone through the structure. And although I have
- 6 not read every one -- we have got some new ones I'm
- 7 looking through -- in a lot of those what I have seen
- 8 from additional testimony, from additional e-mails, they
- 9 support the Audit General did a pretty good job. They
- 10 support the 19 findings.
- 11 Members are very, very passionate on this
- 12 issue and on the issue of violence and the need. The
- 13 audit itself does not show the good things, but audits
- 14 are not designed for that. It is to show the
- 15 deficiencies that we can try and correct. In this there
- 16 are a number, and at the end I will have some questions
- 17 for you when we get to those; but if we can kind of
- 18 focus in on those.
- 19 The needs for the program are great. I have
- 20 an odd way of doing things. So as opposed to reading
- 21 these -- or while reading these, I actually went to
- 22 these programs and they are still in existence. I went
- 23 to the Instituto -- Say that for me. The Instituto
- 24 of -- the Latin institute where 485 kids who had dropped
- out of school graduated and started in this program,

- 1 which is actually the size of one of my high schools,
- 2 just to give you a little perspective there. I went and
- 3 sat in with the mentors over on Laramie -- I stand out
- 4 on Laramie -- and walked down and talked to some of the
- 5 people who hired some of the kids. So, I mean, those
- 6 programs are out there and you get an idea of what the
- 7 needs are. So those are there.
- 8 Where we're focussing today very simply is the
- 9 dollars, the accounting processes, the follow-through in
- 10 management was poor in this. I've seen -- I've read 500
- 11 audits. I have been here -- I could be the senior
- 12 member because I have been around for a while. I've
- 13 seen a thousand of these assemblies. This was very bad
- 14 because a lot of money, a big program, was placed into
- 15 an agency with a comprehensive structure, pieces here
- 16 and there. Maybe at best it should have been at that
- 17 where you have grant monitoring.
- 18 So I want to kind of focus back in a little
- 19 bit on the audit. I understand the need. It is there.
- 20 As I said, I sat with the mentors. I actually signed
- 21 up. I walked around and talked to employers who hired
- 22 the kids. Some did a good job; some didn't. I watched
- 23 the mentors actually sit and talk to kids about showing
- 24 up to work on time, being dressed right, look you in the
- 25 eyes when you talk to someone. So, I mean, those are

Page 106

- 1 kind of life skills --
- 2 MR. LAVIN: Sure.
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: -- that maybe we don't
- 4 have as classes at Spring Valley.
- 5 So with that, I would kind of like to draw
- 6 everybody back in to where we're at. The programs are
- 7 there. They are dated. Everybody knows the need.
- 8 Management style is kind of what we're here for.
- 9 With that, we are going to go to Senator
- 10 Martinez.
- 11 SENATOR MARTINEZ: Thank you.
- 12 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: And then we are going to
- 13 take a little break for the court reporter who probably
- 14 needs a break.
- 15 SENATOR MARTINEZ: Thank you.
- 16 I'm going to be short. First and foremost, I
- 17 just want to be on record on a comment that my good
- 18 colleague former senator, but now he's a rep, made about
- 19 Mr. Ocasio not being in the room. I'm very offended by
- 20 the fact that you would make a comment of that nature
- 21 especially because you know what? As you might not know
- 22 Mr. Ocasio, he was my former alderman. He's been
- 23 someone who for the past 30 years has dedicated his
- 24 time, his life to my community. And I just felt that
- 25 that comment was a little bit out of line as far as he

Page 107

- 1 wasn't even in the room. He was in the room. He was
- 2 asked the questions. So I just would like to make sure
- 3 that people respect -- every witness that has come in
- 4 front of us should be respected, and I don't think that
- 5 Mr. Ocasio should be any less respected. So I just want
- 6 to put that comment on record.
- 7 Second of all, I just want to just -- And I
- 8 know we talked about this, Chairman, about that we are
- 9 trying to bring everything back. But, you know, for the
- 10 past -- yesterday, today already, we are talking about
- 11 the same thing, same questions over and over. Who
- 12 really came up with the NRI? You know, and I think --
- 13 I'm just -- based on what I have heard over and over, it
- 14 was a combination of folks coming together, which I
- 15 think everyone in this room, my colleagues, know we are
- 16 trying to put together a strategy. Let it be in your
- 17 community. Let it be in a township, in one of the
- 18 cities, downstate. People come together and talk about
- 19 what is around them, what are the resources that are
- 20 there. It's not any different.
- 21 When you talk about the Violence Prevention
- 22 Authority and you look at their track record, their
- 23 track record over time, when you talk about that they
- 24 have been able to create over 3300 jobs, that you have
- 25 been able to engage 1100 parents, when you talk about

Page 108

- 1 155 community providers that were funded, when you talk
- 2 about 51 youth served, when you talk about 9800 people
- 3 trained on family violence prevention issues, about
- 4 18,000 youth reached by a program, we're talking about
- 5 programs that really do work, that are out there. But
- 6 somehow when it comes to the black and brown community,
- 7 that doesn't seem to be an issue for anyone else.
- 8 You know what? We deal with it especially in
- 9 the city, in the city where we see every single day
- 10 something going on. But not too much attention and
- 11 resources are put into the black and brown communities.
- 12 That's why many of us -- our caucuses have to fight to
- 13 make sure that it's very across the board when it comes
- 14 to the budget and making sure that those dollars are
- 15 coming back into our community. Maybe this violence
- 16 wouldn't be going on and maybe 20 years ago we started
- investing in the black and brown neighborhoods.
- So you know what? I have to say this because,
- 19 you know, when you talk about what happened in those two
- 20 years that the Neighborhood Recovery Initiative was put
- 21 in place, you know, yes, like any program, like every
- 22 finding that I've sat through the last almost 12 years
- 23 being on the Audit Commission, we have findings over and
- over and over on every agency that's out there.
- 25 Sometimes I hear the repeated ones every single time

Page 109

- 1 that agency comes back that they have repeated audit
- 2 findings that they have not taken care of. I get that
- 3 because sometimes paperwork and everything that has to
- 4 do with an audit, I can understand that sometimes it's
- 5 just not that easy. We have a program that we put in
- 6 place hastily and we can agree because we're trying to
- 7 deal with an issue of violence in our community,
- 8 violence that wasn't affecting other people outside
- 9 Chicago as much as it was affecting our neighborhoods.
- 10 So, you know, when you talk about, yeah, the
- 11 findings, the findings are things that we learn from and
- 12 we are continuing to learn from. You know what? I
- 13 talked about this yesterday about the education going
- 14 back to our non-for-profits, our community-based
- 15 organizations, about making sure that the State, you
- 16 know, when they audit, they audit. There's no doubt --
- 17 and you have to be careful because you've got to make
- 18 sure that you comply with everything -- we've got to do
- 19 a better education on that.
- 20 When you talk about a two-year program, while
- 21 I've seen audits that have been in place for the past
- 22 ten years where findings are still going on and they
- 23 have been members of this -- You know what? Point this
- 24 out. You know, continue to just harp on how -- And I
- 25 know that, Jack, you sat in some of these meetings where

Page 110

- 1 people who had the expertise like the Violence
- 2 Prevention Authority. When you talk about sitting down
- 3 with ministers and aldermen about what was going on in
- 4 their communities, we took action. Yes, it was hastily
- 5 implemented. You know, maybe some processes were not
- 6 going on. But at the same time, something had to be
- 7 addressed and the Governor was trying to do that.
- 8 We still have -- I have here a chronological
- 9 order of back in 2009, 2009, already we were talking
- 10 about public safety and situations going on in our
- 11 community with violence. Back in 2009. Based on this.
- 12 You know, we talk about September 24, 2009 where this
- 13 young student was beaten and murdered. That was in
- 14 2009. And that kept escalating until February of 2010
- 15 when there was a drive-by shooting. March 15th, a man
- 16 shot in the Roseland community. March 20th, another
- 17 resident. Residents hold vigils. There was a lot going
- 18 on. They were trying to make sure that the world saw
- 19 there was a problem with Chicago, and the Governor
- 20 reacted to it as quickly as he could before and even
- 21 after the election. Whether he would have won or not,
- 22 the responsibility of the violence prevention program
- 23 was to make sure we can address it because they had the
- 24 expertise, the data, because not only was just one
- 25 Barbara Shaw in the room. You had people from DHS,

Page 111

- 1 DCFS. The Commission that was put there were people who
- 2 experienced it. Who more than them to give -- you know,
- 3 to give really fruit to a project, at least to a -- to
- 4 address the situation that was going on.
- 5 So to say who was responsible for the NRI, it
- 6 was a combination of many people that have been part of
- 7 working towards solutions and addressing the issue. It
- 8 was just not one person. It was everybody coming
- 9 together. And we can't say that Jack did or
- 10 Governor Quinn did or Jerry Stermer did it or whoever.
- 11 There was a combination of people that had been working
- 12 on violence prevention programs for the longest time;
- 13 and they were sitting in the room trying to put together
- 14 a plan to address the issue, the critical issue.
- 15 Ladies and gentlemen, when we're talking about
- 16 critical issues, we're talking about from 2010 to the
- 17 present time. And I'm going to give you this number. I
- 18 don't know if people really know what this number really
- 19 is. We're talking about 19 -- Here. Again, from
- 20 January 10th -- January 1st of 2010 till today, till
- 21 today, we have had 9,851 shootings. I don't know if
- 22 this is what everybody else says is just another
- 23 community social issue. It is not. It is an issue that
- 24 we through a very maybe quick program that we're trying
- 25 to put in place to address it to the fact that we have

Page 112

- 1 an audit that was done that is pointing out our
- 2 deficiencies. That's what they are there to do, to make
- 3 us do a better job.
- 4 To shut down a program that right now we're
- 5 going to have to find, you know, other ways and budget
- 6 funding to continue to address an issue that as of last
- 7 weekend we still had 23 shootings in the community,
- 8 again. And I just want to make sure that -- I have to
- 9 say this because we just keep going around and around
- 10 the same questions. You know, who did this? Who did
- 11 that? People sat down together and came up with a plan.
- 12 I just don't know what part they are not understanding.
- 13 And, finally, one thing that I did learn from
- 14 these hearings, you know, I'm going to stop writing
- 15 e-mails for sure. I will end on that note.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Chairman Barickman for a
- 17 final comment, and then we are going to take a short
- 18 break.
- 19 SENATOR BARICKMAN: You know, and I just want to
- 20 keep this in context before the bathroom break, which I
- 21 presume will be shortly and then we will go into some
- 22 questions. I don't think -- You know, there's a lot of
- 23 discussion about the violence that exists in Chicago;
- 24 and hopefully we address the violence that exists
- 25 throughout the State of Illinois. That's an important

Page 113

- 1 thing that we need to remember here is that -- and I
- 2 think Mr. Lavin made the point in his opening
- 3 comments -- that there is a mechanism for Chicago
- 4 through the CPD, through the Mayor's office. And we are
- 5 a statewide body that is to address statewide issues.
- 6 That would also include the City of Chicago along with
- 7 others.
- 8 I just want to reiterate what I said
- 9 yesterday -- I'm sure I will get into it a little bit
- 10 later -- that I'm not questioning the merit of violence
- 11 prevention initiatives. We're here because we're faced
- 12 with what has been called a scathing audit of not only
- 13 the management of this program but the planning of it.
- 14 Members of this panel were not Republicans. Democrats
- 15 have called this the worst audit that they have seen in
- 16 the history of the legislature.
- 17 There's an important function here that if we
- 18 as a body want to talk about the ways in which we combat
- 19 violence, whether in Chicago or elsewhere in this state,
- 20 that we learn from the failure that existed here. And
- 21 the way we will learn is to understand how these
- 22 decisions came about and make sure we fix them.
- 23 So with all due respect to the commentary
- 24 about violence, I understand that. No one is
- 25 questioning the needs. What we're trying to focus on in

Page 114

- 1 the audit is how do you repair the damage that's been
- 2 done through this failed program of the Governor's. It
- 3 was meant to address what has been characterized as an
- 4 emergency but was clearly a failure.
- 5 And so with that -- you know, I want to
- 6 acknowledge our break; but I thought it's important to
- 7 acknowledge that before we did. So thanks.
- 8 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: And with that we will take
- 9 a break for 15 minutes and we will return. When we
- 10 return, we have final questions from Chairman Barickman
- 11 and Senator Brady. So we will be at ease for
- 12 15 minutes.
- 13 (A short break was had.)
- 14 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: The hour of 12:18 having
- 15 arrived, I call the Audit Commission back to order. The
- 16 line of questioning of our witness before us is Jack
- 17 Lavin, and to continue with questioning is Chairman
- 18 Barickman.
- 19 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And
- 20 thank you, Mr. Lavin, for being with us today.
- 21 Mr. Chairman, just a housekeeping matter
- 22 before we proceed. Just so the other members know, I'm
- of the understanding now that we've received both
- 24 yesterday and today sounds like some 200 e-mails serving
- 25 our next witness in response to the subpoena,

Page 115

- 1 Ms. Irving. Can we get a status of those?
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: I was just going to
- 3 suggest that. I'd like to ask our Executive Director
- 4 Jane Stricklin to give us a status on the e-mails that
- 5 were received yesterday and find out if those are
- 6 available and online and if the four caucuses all have
- 7 them.
- 8 MS. STRICKLIN: We received some e-mails last night
- 9 from Dr. Irving. Those are not online yet and we're
- 10 working on those. We received about 25 pages, mostly
- 11 documents, this morning from Dr. Irving. Those -- I
- 12 believe if they are not online this minute, they will be
- in the next few minutes. Other than that, everything
- 14 else is online that we've received.
- SENATOR BRADY: Can we receive a hard copy?
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Yes. All four staffs have
- 17 received hard copy.
- 18 All right. Housekeeping finished.
- 19 Senator Barickman.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. BARICKMAN:
- O. Over the last 24 hours there has been an
- 23 abundant amount of discussion about politics, the
- 24 importance of the issue, of the antiviolence measures
- and beyond. You will remember again from the Audit

Page 116

- 1 Commission's perspective, we landed here out of an
- 2 abundance of cooperation among the political parties as
- 3 a result of an audit of this troubled failed program.
- 4 Back in FY '12 I think there was an agreement
- 5 of the legislature in the budget to reduce the funding
- 6 for this program. I think that was a result of the
- 7 concerns that many legislators had at the time about the
- 8 program. I think you look to ultimately the vote we
- 9 took on whether or not to perform this audit was a
- 10 significant bipartisan roll call on that matter. I was
- in the House at the time. I believe there were 100 yes
- votes out of 118 in favor of Representative Reis's
- 13 motion to perform this audit.
- 14 And so with all due respect to the violence
- 15 that existed, and arguably continues to exist throughout
- 16 this state, none of the data that has been continually
- alluded to, whether it's been the witness at the moment,
- 18 members, witnesses yesterday -- You know, Auditor
- 19 General, I don't think your audit in any way measured
- 20 the rates of violent crime at the time this program was
- 21 audited. There's nothing in the audit regarding crime
- 22 rates or the audit doesn't draw a conclusion on whether
- or not this program was necessary in any way, does it?
- 24 Auditor General HOLLAND: No.
- 25 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Page 117

- 1 Q. So just to keep us together on focus here of
- what we are talking about, it's the audit findings,
- 3 which I believe have troubled everyone who has read
- 4 them. It was a struggle to get here. We had to
- 5 subpoena witnesses. But along that way, you know, we
- 6 took votes. Every vote, generally we all agreed on
- 7 them. And so here we are. And so again, just to clear
- 8 the air a bit about all that's been said from both sides
- 9 about why we're here, it's important to remember some of
- 10 this.
- 11 At significance yesterday from the witnesses,
- 12 we heard about the necessity of this program; and
- 13 there's something that I'm not -- that's confusing as
- 14 someone who has listened to hours of testimony yesterday
- and listened to yours today, Mr. Lavin. You suggested
- 16 that this was an emergency. And again, we talked about
- 17 all the things that were happening then that I think are
- 18 troubling to every member of this panel. But what
- doesn't make sense to me is that if there was such an
- 20 emergency -- You know, you think about what Barbara Shaw
- 21 told us. You told us that you told IDPA and/or Barb
- 22 Shaw implement this program to address this emergency.
- 23 But Barb Shaw told us yesterday, her quote, this was not
- 24 go out and stop the shooting. That's not what this
- 25 program was. She says this was prevention services,

Page 118

- 1 reach young children, to reduce risk, kids on track.
- 2 And she went at length -- and I know you weren't here --
- 3 but she went at length of what the intended effect of
- 4 this program was to be. And I'm not here to question it
- 5 at all.
- 6 The question I have is: How was this an
- 7 emergency response when the person charged with
- 8 implementing the program admits herself that if you
- 9 wanted to stop the shooting in the streets today, then
- 10 I'm not the one to do it because I'm focussed on
- 11 tomorrow, which arguably -- not even arguably -- which
- is a very credible goal.
- 13 Added to that is this hastily implemented
- 14 nature that the auditors have pointed us at. Riddled
- 15 throughout the audit is their assessment that this
- 16 thing, this new program, was hurriedly rushed. And the
- 17 arguments presented yesterday and by you today is that
- 18 we wanted to -- this was an emergency. We wanted to do
- 19 something about it now. But again, the program director
- 20 says, That's not what I was charged to do. I was
- 21 charged to work on this issue for the future. How do I
- 22 resolve that?
- 23 A. Well, I'm certainly not an expert at all this
- 24 relative to Barbara Shaw and her years of experience.
- 25 But at the time, you know, my view was get this thing up

Page 119

- 1 and running as quickly as possible and start seeing
- 2 results. And you know, yes, it is a long-term process.
- 3 But I would think as soon as the program starts running,
- 4 you're going to start seeing results. People are going
- 5 to see hope. You're going to have programs where you
- 6 get youth off the street. You get them into the
- 7 mentoring programs. Representative Mautino mentioned
- 8 some of those programs where there was immediate
- 9 results. 485 kids get their high school degree. They
- 10 have mentoring.
- To me, those results can happen immediately.
- 12 I mean, I'm not sure what Barbara Shaw is saying, what
- 13 results she's talking about taking long-term. But, to
- 14 me, as soon as you see programs like that, you're going
- 15 to see immediate results.
- 16 Q. But again, you know, that's not your world but
- 17 that's hers. Yesterday what we heard from her is no
- 18 immediate results. In fact, there was significant
- 19 discussion yesterday about the fact that there were no
- 20 efforts to measure the results because she didn't think
- 21 that any assessment of them would be useful because the
- 22 program was too new.
- 23 So I'm trying to understand, though -- You
- 24 know, so this was a solution to an emergency problem
- 25 that the person who was tasked with doing it knew that

Page 120

- 1 it was not going to have the desired result that
- evidently the Governor's Office wanted to have, which
- 3 was an immediate effect.
- 4 A. Well, the Governor's Office wanted an
- 5 immediate and a long-term effect. And, you know, I sat
- 6 in a couple meetings with Barbara. I don't remember her
- 7 ever saying it in the words that you just said it. I
- 8 was not hear yesterday, so I don't know the context of
- 9 everything that she was saying. I would think -- I
- 10 don't want to put words in her mouth -- Barbara would
- 11 agree if you start a mentoring program up with 485 kids
- 12 and help them get a high school degree, you're going to
- 13 see some results immediately. Some results are going to
- 14 be longer term. Some are going to be immediate.
- 15 From my viewpoint at the time, the sooner we
- 16 got this up and running, the sooner we were having
- 17 reentry programs, mentoring programs, parent mentoring
- 18 and all that, you're going to see results. Some of it
- 19 at the beginning may be hope that just the Governor
- 20 cares about us and he's coming to our community to help
- 21 us. Somebody's here so that, you know, a 13-year-old
- 22 boy doesn't get shot 22 times on the street. And he was
- 23 not the intended target, but he was killed. And so the
- 24 people in that community want to see some hope and they
- 25 want to see that something is happening so that they can

Page 121

- 1 feel safe walking out in their community.
- 2 To me, those things happen immediately when
- 3 the program starts up. Some of the things may take a
- 4 longer term. Absolutely. The whole thing is you aren't
- 5 just out there with four police arresting them, throwing
- 6 them in a jail cell. Does that get some short-term
- 7 results? It will, but it will get you a lot of
- 8 long-term problems.
- 9 So my view is -- I'm not an expert -- Barbara
- 10 never said that stuff when we were talking about it.
- 11 There is going to be short-term and long-term. So
- 12 that's how I would have viewed it. The sooner we got it
- 13 up and running, the sooner we would start seeing
- 14 results.
- 15 Q. But some of those results are hope. I mean,
- 16 hope is a good thing.
- 17 A. Well --
- 18 Q. It's a good thing to have.
- 19 A. Hope is part of it. If you have a program and
- 20 you're mentoring 50 youths, are you going to see a
- 21 result? Yeah, I think you're going to see a result from
- 22 day 1 and day 2 and day 3. Does it accumulate? Does it
- 23 get more? Yeah.
- 24 School-based counseling. If a student just
- 25 witnessed a shooting and needs to get school-based

Page 122

- 1 counseling because then that's going to prevent them
- 2 from going out and doing some even violence to somebody
- 3 else, does that have an immediate result? That's an
- 4 immediate result.
- 5 Is helping someone train for a job or get a
- 6 job, a little bit longer term? Yeah, that's a little
- 7 bit longer term. That may take a little bit more time.
- 8 You may not get everybody a job right away.
- 9 So I do think there's short-term results, more
- 10 than just hope. Hope is part of it. And there's
- 11 long-term results.
- 12 Q. To the extent there's long-term results and
- 13 there's not, the Governor's answer to this audit I
- 14 believe is that he shut down the program, the agency.
- 15 So to the extent this was designed, whether it be for
- 16 hope or for quantifiable results, the end result here is
- 17 that this Governor's solution to this audit is to shut
- 18 down the program.
- 19 It's interesting that for all the discussion
- 20 that there has been about the fact that this may have
- just been limited to bad management, I don't think
- 22 anyone was fired over this. I don't think any employees
- 23 were told you really did a bad job and you need to go.
- 24 What happened is the entire program, the statewide
- 25 solutions to preventing violence throughout the State of

Page 123

- Illinois, is disbanded because of arguably this audit?
- 2 So again, to all the characterizations that
- 3 this audit is limited to some bad management, I think
- 4 someone yesterday said, well, we could have done better.
- 5 Come on. I mean, this thing is brutal, so brutal that
- 6 the Governor shut down the very solution that this State
- 7 adopted 20 years ago to prevent violence. My dialect.
- 8 I want to talk through some of what you
- 9 addressed early on, and I appreciate your willingness to
- 10 be with us for several hours here today. But I think to
- 11 refresh kind of some of our memory, you talked about
- 12 both in your opening comments and in response to
- 13 questions your involvement and the Governor's
- 14 involvement in the creation of this program.
- 15 And maybe the start of -- let's sort this
- 16 piece out, and it comes from your opening statement. In
- 17 your opening statement, you said that this framework --
- 18 I presume this is the framework that you suggested
- 19 was -- I'm not sure. I'm confused. You said, "This
- 20 framework was recommended by the Governor's Antiviolence
- 21 Commission who also told the Governor that action needed
- 22 to be taken immediately without delay." Is that
- 23 accurate that this came -- that this NRI program was a
- 24 result of the Antiviolence Commission?
- 25 A. No. But they did write a letter to the

Page 124

- 1 Governor at some point saying please take action
- 2 urgently.
- 3 Q. But this is your opening statement that I
- 4 presume you wrote and prepared?
- 5 A. But I didn't say they started it. I said that
- 6 they wrote a letter recommending the Governor move
- 7 forward and take actions.
- 8 Q. Well, you actually said, "This framework was
- 9 recommended by the Governor's Antiviolence Commission."
- 10 A. Yeah. After the framework was developed, they
- 11 wrote a letter to the Governor urging him to take
- 12 immediate action. And my recollection of the letter is
- 13 they also said move forward with a framework, with this
- 14 framework.
- 15 Q. Okay. The decisions again about the creation
- of this program early on, it was an idea (inaudible) NRI
- 17 at some point. But you have placed in your earlier
- 18 testimony a lot of responsibility on Miss Shaw for
- 19 moving forward with this program. What was your role or
- 20 the -- Let me even back up. Someone developed the
- 21 notion that the aldermen ought to be involved in the
- 22 selection of communities and providers. Where did that
- 23 come from?
- A. As I said before, it came from a meeting in
- 25 the Governor's Office, people talking about a framework

Page 125

- 1 and what we should do and how we should build it.
- 2 O. But did it come from the Governor or the
- 3 Governor's senior staff members who are not violence
- 4 prevention experts or did it come out of a decision that
- 5 the Violence Prevention Authority itself had made? In
- 6 other words, all right, we are going to do this program,
- 7 put tens of millions of dollars through it. We are
- 8 going to try to combat violence at a local level in the
- 9 communities. Choose the aldermen. Where did that come
- 10 **from?**
- 11 A. I don't recall specifics except that there was
- 12 a group of us meeting and we felt the need to have the
- 13 people on the front lines, both the local elected
- 14 officials and the faith-based community, be part of
- 15 this. And part of the reason for that is this was kind
- 16 of a grass roots effort; and in order for a grass roots
- 17 effort to work, you have to have the people on the front
- 18 lines part of it and buy into it. And so that was the
- 19 thinking behind doing that.
- 20 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Auditor General Holland, I
- 21 think the involvement of the aldermen is discussed
- 22 within your audit findings; and I wonder if we couldn't
- 23 have you or your team members refresh us on what the
- 24 audit found about the role of the aldermen in the
- 25 selection process.

Page 126

Fax: 314.644.1334

1 Auditor General HOLLAND: Jim, you want to come up? 2 While they are coming up, I would like to 3 deviate just for one second on a statement that was made earlier and has been made several times. It's unrelated 4 5 to this audit, but it is something that it's important and needs to be clarified; and that is somebody made the 6 7 statement that all audits have findings. That's not true. Many audits do not have any findings. And I will 8 tell you that that is a tribute to the many hard-working men and women in State government who don't get the 10 11 accolades they probably deserve when there are no 12 findings. There are no hearings for agencies that have 13 no findings. But I'm here to tell you that there are many people in State government who work very hard, who 14 perform without a lot of fanfare. And for them I would 15 say, You know what? To all of you who are out there, I 16 17 recognize the hard work. I think many people here do also. But unfortunately, the cameras don't roll when 18 there are discussions about frailties in government --19 20 or the successes in government. 21 So anyhow, with that, Mike, you want to talk about how our discussions --22 Senator Barickman, do you have a specific 23 24 question? 25 SENATOR BARICKMAN: I'd like to -- Again, let's

Page 127

- 1 come back to the audit and let's come back to the audit
- 2 and refresh us on what your findings -- You guys -- You
- 3 and your team have spent significant amounts of your
- 4 lives on this -- ultimately on this document, the
- 5 recommendations that were made. And I'd like you just
- 6 to talk to us about your experiences, what you found in
- 7 regards to how these aldermen were chosen to be
- 8 involved, selected and what their role was in the
- 9 selection process.
- 10 Auditor General HOLLAND: Mike, before you do that,
- 11 I want to make sure you understand that go to our
- 12 recommendations and that will be a good place to
- 13 understand what the problem was. The recommendation was
- 14 a real simple recommendation. It was not complex. It
- 15 said, "The Illinois Criminal Justice Authority should
- 16 ensure the decisions regarding the NRI program are
- 17 adequately documented." Why did we say that? That's a
- 18 requirement of the State Records Act.
- Now, there are two ways to resolve these
- 20 problems. No. 1, we can repeal the State Records Act
- 21 or, No. 2, the agency could accept the recommendation
- 22 and move forward. And that's what they did. They said
- 23 we agreed. But our -- we didn't quarrel with Chicago
- 24 aldermen. We quarreled with good documents.
- 25 Mike.

Page 128

- 1 MR. MAZIARZ: Okay. The first step in this before
- 2 you would get the aldermen involved would be the
- 3 selection of the communities. As we discussed
- 4 yesterday, the Violence Prevention Authority, Barbara
- 5 Shaw, informed us that they utilized that DHS study. I
- 6 believe Mr. Lavin talked about the crime statistics this
- 7 morning.
- 8 As I stated yesterday, we never got any kind
- 9 of analysis on that. We looked through boxes at DHS.
- 10 We asked DHS staff. We reviewed DHS e-mail accounts for
- 11 the document. We couldn't find anything. We also asked
- 12 Barbara Shaw and IVPA once the south suburbs were added,
- 13 and it's not -- You know, as Representative Rita talked
- 14 about, the idea that -- you know, crime rates there are
- 15 bad too. But from a documentation standpoint, did they
- 16 have something to show that the communities that they
- 17 were providing there also had those similar crimes rates
- 18 and poverty rates and everything that she was mentioning
- 19 the DHS study had. And we had nothing on that. So we
- 20 go with the list. Then that gets us to the next step in
- 21 the planning process is the selection of the lead
- 22 agencies in each of the 23 communities.
- During our conversations, and starting on
- 24 page 34 in the audit where we lay out what we found
- 25 through the documentation that was available and through

Page 129

- 1 the testimony that we got from IVPA officials, many of
- 2 these came from documentation that was contained in the
- 3 Barbara Shaw e-mail account that we looked at during the
- 4 course of the audit after she had turned in her
- 5 retirement papers and left State service.
- So on page 35, we lay out both arguments on
- 7 page 35. Some people have suggested that the aldermen
- 8 selected the communities and some others say that they
- 9 recommended somebody as a lead agency. Okay? That is
- 10 what we found. And in Exhibit 210 on page 35, we put
- 11 quotes in from documentation that we gathered during the
- 12 audit. On page 358, like I said, both sides of the
- 13 argument. Some people are saying in the very last point
- 14 that for an alderman from the North Lawndale community I
- 15 have designated Better Boys as the lead agency for the
- 16 North Lawndale's Neighborhood Recovery Initiative.
- 17 We were looking for documentation to support
- 18 that. Because then as they got this list, as it has
- 19 been pointed out during the two days of testimony, an
- 20 RFP did go out, an RFP that had criteria for scoring;
- 21 but that RFP only went to certain agencies. It was the
- 22 list of lead agencies, whether they be recommended or
- 23 selected by the aldermen. The responses to those came
- 24 in and they -- the scoring was a problem as we noted in
- 25 the audit.

Page 130

- 1 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- Q. So, Mr. Lavin, let's talk about some of these
- 3 things that we've identified. First of all, this DHS
- 4 analysis, evidently this is the grand mystery of this
- 5 audit. Where's the analysis? Did you ever see this?
- 6 Is this a document? Do you have any recollection?
- 7 A. I don't recall seeing that document.
- 8 Q. But you think it existed?
- 9 A. I don't know. I mean, I was told this is
- 10 based on crime statistics. That's all I was told. I
- 11 was never shown a study or anything like that. It was
- 12 never said -- I shouldn't say never. I don't remember
- 13 them saying this was a study done at DHS, just that this
- 14 is based on crime statistics.
- 15 Q. But "this" -- when you're saying this is based
- on crime statistics, "this" is referring to a list of
- 17 communities --
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. -- based on some statistics?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So you never saw the list. But
- 22 evidently --
- 23 A. Study.
- 24 Q. The study. Okay. But that is the basis for
- 25 the communities that were selected, right?

Page 131

- 1 A. Crime statistics. I don't recall anyone
- 2 saying it was this study or that study, just that this
- 3 is based on crime statistics.
- Q. Okay. And I understand from the Auditor's
- 5 perspective and from our perspective why this is
- 6 concerning because out of the gate the methodology in
- 7 which we are going to implement this program is based on
- 8 something that today we have no record of.
- 9 MR. MAZIARZ: Exactly. I'm sure that -- as the
- 10 analysis that we did later on because HR 1110 asked us
- 11 to determine whether Chicago communities with similar
- 12 violence rates were excluded from the list. We had to
- do some type of analysis because no type of analysis was
- 14 presented to us. So that's what we utilized was the
- 15 crime statistics from the Chicago Police Department.
- 16 SENATOR BARICKMAN: And so what did that tell us?
- 17 MR. MAZIARZ: Well, as we state on page 73, that's
- 18 the list of the -- based on violence rates. While
- 19 Miss Shaw said that the DHS analysis took into account
- 20 poverty rates and so forth, without that document we
- 21 wouldn't know. We wouldn't know if these were the 23
- 22 agencies that were more deserving than any other. And
- 23 the Safety Networks program was created back under the
- 24 Blajojevich administration.
- 25 So we examined the violence rates in Chicago

Page 132

- 1 between 2005 and 2010, and there were a number of
- 2 communities based on definitions from the Chicago Police
- 3 Department that weren't some of the most violent
- 4 communities.
- 5 Auditor General HOLLAND: Let me point out that it
- 6 was not for lack of effort in this. We would have been
- 7 very happy to rely upon whatever the data was in the
- 8 Department of Human Services analysis. That was from
- 9 where this sprang forth. It was somewhere -- some study
- 10 out there, and that's the origin of this. It wasn't
- 11 there. So we went to what we thought was a pretty
- 12 reliable, good source, the Chicago Police Department, to
- 13 give us that information -- give us the information.
- 14 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 15 Q. So, Mr. Lavin, in all of the -- There's been
- 16 all this discussion about all these planning meetings
- 17 that occurred, whether in the summer of 2010 or the fall
- 18 of 2010. But everyone that's appeared before us has
- 19 said to us that there were all -- there were many, many
- 20 communications, whether they were phone calls or
- 21 e-mails, whether they were meetings, that all the senior
- 22 appointed officials were at. Barb Shaw, the expert on
- 23 all of this, was at some of these meetings. You were at
- 24 some of them. But the -- Tell me. Did anyone in any of
- 25 those meetings that were designed to talk about this

Page 133

- 1 brand-new State program, designed to address an
- 2 emergency, did anyone ask the question of, Once we
- develop this, are we going to put the money and the
- 4 resources into the communities that need them the most,
- 5 or was that question just skipped?
- 6 A. Well, I mean, as I've said, I don't think the
- 7 question was skipped because from my recollection we
- 8 were basing it on crime statistics. And so, you know, I
- 9 didn't question it further than that because that was
- 10 what was, you know, presented to me.
- 11 Q. Right. The troubling part of this is that we
- 12 get the same response from every witness that's here,
- 13 which is there was some analysis somewhere that showed
- 14 us something of which none of us remember what it was,
- 15 how it was based or could produce the document that
- 16 exists -- that supposedly existed that was created back
- 17 under Blajojevich.
- 18 A. I'm not sure that everything you just said is
- 19 quite right because Barbara Shaw said there was a study
- 20 and that's what she based it on.
- 21 Now, the fact that you can't find it, I can't
- 22 answer that because I don't -- I don't know. That's a
- 23 question for Barbara Shaw, right?
- Q. Oh, yeah. We asked her. It's the mystery
- 25 study and ultimately the mystery list.

Page 134

- 1 At some point the decision was made to add the
- 2 south suburbs into the program. From -- I guess to come
- 3 back to the audit here, the audit found what about this
- 4 decision? How was it made?
- 5 MR. MAZIARZ: I believe that Barbara told us that
- 6 after they developed the framework for the 20 -- what
- 7 eventually was the 20 Chicago area communities, that the
- 8 south suburbs approached the Governor's Office; and it
- 9 ended up being three south suburb areas that were
- 10 included as communities: Thornton Township, Rich
- 11 township, Berlin Township. And they were kind of
- 12 splitting somebody up too out there. But there were
- 13 during the first two years of the program three south
- 14 suburbs.
- 15 SENATOR BARICKMAN: And Barb Shaw yesterday
- 16 suggested she was told -- I mean, just to your point, I
- 17 think Barbara reiterated that at some point she was told
- 18 that the south suburbs should be added to the mix.
- 19 MR. MAZIARZ: Sure.
- 20 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 21 Q. So, Mr. Lavin, do you remember the context of
- 22 how the south suburbs came to be added to the NRI
- 23 program?
- A. I don't remember the full context, but I think
- 25 a request was made to the Governor. But my recollection

Page 135

- 1 is then that there was supposed to be a look at the
- 2 crime statistics there; and I think I said this earlier
- 3 that, you know, do they meet the requirements.
- 4 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Right. And Barb Shaw talked I
- 5 think a bit about this yesterday, and I think -- And
- 6 somebody shake their head yes or no if I remember this
- 7 correctly. But I think Miss Shaw said after I was --
- 8 I'm going to paraphrase greatly. But she said, you
- 9 know, the Governor's Office said add the south suburbs.
- 10 I looked. The south suburbs qualified and so they were
- 11 added. Does that sound right to what you heard either
- 12 yesterday or in your review?
- 13 MR. MAZIARZ: No. That's what I heard in the
- 14 review during the audit process. She looked at it to
- 15 see if they qualified. Our problem with that is that
- 16 she's comparing that to what? She's comparing it to the
- 17 report -- excuse me, the study that they couldn't
- 18 produce to determine how the other 20 were selected. So
- 19 that was a problem for us.
- 20 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Right. So kind of expanding on
- 21 that, so there's a list that no one has today; and the
- 22 list says here's who qualified. I don't know how big
- 23 that list is. It could be a hundred communities for all
- 24 I know.
- 25 Auditor General HOLLAND: We don't know. It's a

Page 136

- 1 document that's not been produced. We can't speculate
- 2 on what is or is not.
- 3 MR. MAZIARZ: The list of communities that were
- 4 Safety Networks communities, as we talked about
- 5 yesterday, there were -- the list had about 20 -- I
- 6 think it had 20 communities in Chicago on it. As we
- 7 talked about yesterday, some of those while they were
- 8 identified in this study that we've never seen, not all
- 9 of those communities were funded for Safety Networks.
- 10 And so then during the same time that NRI rolled out,
- 11 these other communities had a chance to get Safety
- 12 Networks funding also.
- 13 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Right. And the list included
- 14 communities outside of Chicago that were identified as
- 15 highly violent communities, communities with high levels
- 16 of violence.
- 17 MR. MAZIARZ: Sure, around the state. Decatur was
- 18 in it. Senator Manar asked about it yesterday.
- 19 East St. Louis was in it. I believe Peoria was on the
- 20 list.
- 21 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 22 Q. And so to -- I think we are trying to get to
- 23 so what is the criteria of whether a community was
- 24 selected and while there are -- there has been assertion
- 25 after assertion that the Governor's Office relied on the

Page 137

- 1 Safety Networks-identified communities, clearly all of
- 2 those communities did not benefit from NRI. So there
- 3 was a decision made and the decision made appears not to
- 4 have come from Barb Shaw but from the Governor's Office
- 5 about the communities that qualified that actually
- 6 received the funding, and I'm trying to understand how
- 7 that decision was made.
- 8 Mr. Lavin?
- 9 A. I'm not sure what you just said. I'm going to
- 10 give you back my recollection that I've said time and
- 11 time again. It was based on crime statistics. I don't
- 12 recall ever looking at a list and saying you're in,
- 13 you're out or anything like that. It was the crime
- 14 statistics and here's a list. That's my recollection.
- 15 Q. All right. So no one said you're in/you're
- out, but that is what happened.
- 17 A. I don't know because you're talking about a
- 18 study that I never saw. So, I mean, you know, you're
- 19 asking me questions that you asked Barbara Shaw; and
- 20 she's the one that would know these details, and she
- 21 gave you an answer.
- Q. Her answer, though, is that the decision from
- 23 the communities that should be funded came from the
- 24 Governor's Office. Add the south suburbs to the mix
- 25 came from the Governor's Office.

Page 138

- 1 MR. MOORE: I disagree with that. She said that
- 2 she was told the Chicagoland area plus the south
- 3 suburbs, and then she looked at a map and she
- 4 determined --
- 5 SENATOR BARICKMAN: They qualified.
- 6 MR. MOORE: Well, she talked about a map and
- 7 sometimes there were lines on the map and they had to --
- 8 And she didn't say that came from the Governor's Office.
- 9 She said she did that.
- 10 SENATOR BARICKMAN: She said there was a list of
- 11 communities that qualified, and she determined -- when
- 12 the Governor's Office said they wanted to add the south
- 13 suburbs, she decided -- she drew the conclusion that
- 14 they qualified. The Governor's Office chose for some
- 15 reason not to fund other communities that apparently
- 16 qualified. Do you know why that was -- that decision
- 17 was made?
- 18 MR. MOORE: She said -- That's not -- She said the
- 19 Governor's Office did not tell her not to include
- 20 anybody. She said she went to maps and she decided and
- 21 she had this DHS list.
- 22 SENATOR BARICKMAN: She actually made requests of
- 23 the Governor's Office to consider communities that
- 24 evidently were approved on the list: East St. Louis,
- 25 Peoria, Rockford and otherwise. The Governor's

Page 139

- 1 Office -- We don't know the response. All we know is
- 2 what the audit said, which is they didn't get any
- 3 funding. So that was a decision that went up. Barb
- 4 Shaw said, Here is a qualifying community, Peoria. I
- 5 live near Peoria.
- 6 So the decision went up to the Governor's
- 7 Office, What about Peoria? What's the answer? I mean,
- 8 the answer is they didn't get funding. But why were
- 9 they excluded?
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A. I think we've gone over this. The focus at
- 12 the time was based on the violence happening in the
- 13 Chicagoland area. And so at that time that was the
- 14 focus because of the Killing Season. The homicides were
- 15 happening, the shootings that were happening. And it
- 16 was in the Chicagoland area that it was so egregious at
- 17 the time, and so that's where the violence epidemic was
- 18 and that's what we focussed on.
- 19 Q. We talked about the lead agency selection, and
- 20 there's this unresolved question of whether the aldermen
- 21 made selections or recommendations. What's your
- 22 understanding of the aldermen's role?
- 23 A. As I said before, my recollection on this was
- 24 that we were going to get recommendations both from
- 25 local elected officials and the faith-based community;

Page 140

- 1 and then there would be an RFP process to decide who
- 2 would get it.
- 3 Q. Did someone -- There were evidently meetings
- 4 with aldermen, certain aldermen. Again, the audit I
- 5 think looked to that point. We don't know -- We know
- 6 there were a series of meetings, some of which certain
- 7 aldermen attended. Some clergy members attended. But
- 8 we don't know -- We don't have any idea as to who was
- 9 invited to or present at any of those meetings.
- 10 MR. MAZIARZ: We asked right at the beginning of
- 11 the audit. And as far as the aldermen at the meeting,
- 12 we asked if there were any meeting minutes. We were
- 13 told no. We asked if there was any sign-in sheet to
- 14 determine who had participated. We were told no; that
- 15 that didn't -- by our audit liaison at IVPA that that
- 16 didn't exist. That was back on September the 12th, 2012
- 17 when we asked this initially.
- 18 We did finally get an actual sign-in sheet
- 19 from the aldermen at that first meeting on I believe it
- 20 was September the 3rd. We didn't get it until January
- 21 the 5th of 2014. So they did find the list and there
- 22 were aldermen listed on there, not a lot of them. But
- 23 then the documentation from Barbara's e-mail account
- 24 shows they did reach out to additional aldermen who had
- not been able to make the meeting on 9-3.

Page 141

- 1 SENATOR BARICKMAN: So Were all the Chicago City
- 2 aldermen invited in?
- 3 MR. MAZIARZ: No, I don't believe so.
- 4 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 5 Q. So again -- And look at the optics of this,
- 6 Mr. Lavin. It just looks like there was a set of
- 7 arbitrary decisions made by those who were implementing
- 8 this program. I mean, do you have any idea how the
- 9 aldermen were chosen to become involved in this?
- 10 A. Well, first of all, you say the word
- 11 arbitrary. We've been back and forth on this. There
- 12 was a study, something, that they worked off of to
- 13 identify the communities based on crime statistics; and
- 14 that's my recollection. Barbara added a couple other
- 15 things. So it's not arbitrary. So I --
- 16 Q. Well, it is arbitrary because we are dealing
- 17 with an audit that deals with hard facts, documents,
- 18 testimony, interviews. I mean, the auditors talk to
- 19 people and these are their conclusions. I think
- 20 their -- I don't want to speak for them. But while you
- 21 and others have said, look, we used something. We're
- 22 here in an audit that deals with facts, not
- 23 recollections true or false. And we just don't have
- 24 any. So the optics are poor, and the optics suggest
- 25 that there was some arbitrary process because we don't

Page 142

- have any evidence to the contrary.
- 2 A. Okay. And I'm disagreeing with you.
- 3 Q. That's the way it works, right?
- 4 A. Right. So ...
- 5 Q. How were the aldermen chosen?
- 6 A. I was not involved in organizing those
- 7 meetings.
- 8 Q. Who was?
- 9 A. You know, I don't know exactly who was. I
- 10 think Barbara Shaw was one. I think Malcolm Weems was
- 11 one. I'm not sure who else. But I was not involved in
- 12 organizing those meetings.
- 13 Q. Someone along the way said, Let's get the
- 14 aldermen involved and specifically certain ones. I
- 15 mean, there were aldermen who were excluded from this
- 16 process who raised complaints about being excluded. So
- again, the optics to all those who stood to potentially
- 18 benefit from this, I think if you weren't a recipient,
- 19 it left a bad taste in your mouth is probably an
- 20 understatement. But we don't know how those aldermen
- 21 were chosen. We just know eventually there were some
- 22 meetings with them.
- 23 MR. MOORE: I have to -- There was testimony
- 24 yesterday from Barbara that she worked with a map, and
- 25 that's how the aldermen were chosen. That's what she

Page 143

- 1 said.
- 2 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 3 Q. So the aldermen made either recommendations or
- 4 selections of certain agencies. Those agencies then
- 5 received a request for proposal to provide the services
- 6 which are part of the NRI program. Was that process --
- 7 In all these planning meetings, was that process
- 8 discussed in any of the meetings that you were in?
- 9 A. As I said before, the process by my
- 10 recollection from recommendations from the aldermen, the
- 11 recommendations from the faith-based community, you have
- 12 a number of recommendations of different agencies; and
- 13 there would be an RFP process where the IDPA would
- 14 evaluate them and vet them and come to a selection.
- 15 Q. And so the decision on which agency to choose
- 16 to provide the service, that was a decision of whom, the
- 17 Governor's Office or the IVPA?
- 18 A. IVPA.
- 19 SENATOR BARICKMAN: I guess back to our auditors.
- 20 I mean, is that consistent with your findings?
- 21 MR. MAZIARZ: Our work papers in the audit address
- 22 the idea that once the aldermen provided whether you
- 23 call it recommendation or selection and then there were
- 24 a number of Barb Shaw e-mails where you went into the
- 25 same verbiage, you know, we have selected coming from

Page 144

- 1 aldermen to Barbara. And our understanding was that the
- 2 RFP went out to only those agencies.
- 3 And there were, as stated on page 35, on
- 4 September the 13th of 2010 apparently some other
- 5 organizations wanted in on the program and submitted
- 6 a -- they got a copy of the lead agency profile sheet
- 7 and sent that to IVPA. IVPA notified them on September
- 8 the 13th that, "The aldermen in your community chose a
- 9 different lead organization to be the lead applicant.
- 10 Your attendance at the September 16th lead agency
- 11 meeting is not required. Please do not attend this
- 12 meeting as it is just for the lead applicants."
- So that's our understanding is that there was
- 14 input from the aldermen, and then the RFPs were sent out
- 15 by IVPA to these selected agencies.
- 16 SENATOR BARICKMAN: So what's wrong with that?
- 17 MR. MAZIARZ: Well, from our perspective, we look
- 18 at the idea that it looks -- with the RFP it looks kind
- 19 of like a competitive process when you have the criteria
- 20 and scoring; but you're only sending it out for scoring
- 21 from one of the agencies.
- 22 Barbara mentioned yesterday that they did vet
- 23 and eliminated three of the recommendations for the
- 24 leads. I don't remember that being the vetting of the
- 25 scoring of the RFPs though. I believe that was more in

Page 145

- 1 line with, as she mentioned, a chamber of commerce who
- 2 was only a \$50,000 a year organization was recommended
- 3 and actually couldn't perform the program. There was a
- 4 spinoff of a church in East Garfield Park. Again, a
- 5 small organization that had never run anything like
- 6 that. And then there's documentation to show, well,
- 7 okay, we will utilize the church that it spun off from
- 8 even though both of them were in the same building. So,
- 9 I mean, it wasn't like they went through an additional
- 10 vetting process in that case. But we didn't see where
- 11 the numbers were evaluated.
- 12 SENATOR BARICKMAN: So I'm thinking through this
- 13 vetting process. I mean, that's -- the concerns that
- 14 you just raised are quite different than the
- 15 reassurances that I've heard from witnesses over the
- 16 last day and a half that IVPA was the best place to
- 17 house these activities, the Violence Prevention
- 18 Initiatives, because everyone had confidence in their
- 19 ability to administer this NRI program. I've heard that
- 20 repeatedly throughout the last day and a half; but I
- 21 think what I'm hearing from you is while those might be
- 22 the opinions that people have, the results of the audit
- 23 suggest a very failed and flawed selection process for
- 24 the agencies.
- 25 Auditor General HOLLAND: Well --

Page 146

- 1 SENATOR BARICKMAN: And maybe let me take one
- 2 further step. And it's your recommendation that we do
- 3 not choose the agencies which provide services like this
- 4 in the manner that was used here.
- 5 Auditor General HOLLAND: Yeah. Our recommendation
- 6 about the process is that it should be documented. How
- 7 do you reach these conclusions? It was an awkward
- 8 process. There's no question about that. That's safe
- 9 to say. But how do you reach these decisions pursuant
- 10 to the State Records Act? You've got to kind of -- Each
- 11 of these directors need to keep some type of records as
- 12 to how they make these decisions as government transfers
- 13 from one person to the next.
- So, for instance, Jack Cutrone is now in
- 15 charge of this. Jack Lavin is not. It's Jack Cutrone.
- 16 He is the guy that will be addressing these findings and
- 17 accepting these recommendations.
- 18 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Right. But if -- Here's the
- 19 concern. The concern is that if the Governor's
- 20 Office -- Look, whoever the Governor is, in any year
- 21 moving forward, the question is whether or not -- And I
- 22 don't think I heard many, if any, suggestions that what
- 23 Barb Shaw was trying to do at IVPA was anything less
- 24 than deliver the services that she is spending a
- 25 lifetime on.

Page 147

- 1 And so Mr. Cutrone -- Here's my concern is
- 2 Mr. Cutrone may have all of the best of intentions in
- 3 doing whatever any Governor asks him to do. But what
- 4 happens when the Governor's Office interjects themselves
- 5 into these processes?
- 6 And I think what we're drawing here is that --
- 7 what I'm drawing here is that that is what happened; and
- 8 the result was in the case of IVPA, Barb Shaw's
- 9 intentions, a flawed result. Terribly.
- 10 Auditor General HOLLAND: As I discussed with
- 11 Representative Reis last night, this audit did not
- 12 address any activities of the office of the Governor
- 13 because we were not asked to do that. That was not one
- 14 of our determinations.
- Now, we've done that in the past. We've had
- 16 determinations that said go look at the office of the
- 17 Governor. We did that in the I-Save Rx program and the
- 18 Flu Vaccine program, of which some of you may remember I
- 19 testified at an impeachment hearing about those. But
- 20 this audit didn't ask us to go to this level. Okay?
- 21 And I think you need to keep in context of what we were
- 22 asked to do and what we did.
- MR. MAZIARZ: As Mr. Holland said, our
- 24 recommendations -- We were there to conduct an audit.
- 25 We weren't there to conduct -- to determine a

Page 148

- 1 determination as to was it proper or not proper use? As
- 2 we recommended, to help the process going forward that
- 3 you document your decisions; and when you're going to
- 4 send out an RFP, that you follow scoring award criteria,
- 5 basic auditing findings that we have. So ...
- 6 BY SENATOR BARICKMAN:
- 7 Q. Mr. Lavin, I mean, how do you explain the
- 8 fact -- I mean, we look at 23 lead agencies. How do you
- 9 explain that the selection of the 23 lead agencies that
- 10 we looked at, the selection of them was made before the
- 11 October 8th RFP deadline?
- 12 A. I was not involved in the RFP process.
- 13 Q. It just happened.
- 14 A. I was not involved in the RFP process.
- 15 Q. Was the Governor's Office involved? Were
- others involved in determining which agencies were
- 17 selected?
- 18 A. My recollection is what I told you before.
- 19 The decision was done by the IVPA.
- 20 Q. So -- And again, we've heard these suggestions
- 21 from many of the witnesses over the last day and a half.
- 22 All the decisions were made by the IVPA. No one
- 23 remembers anything different from that. And we talked
- 24 about this yesterday, what happened at Proviso Leyden.
- 25 I don't know if you remember that set of circumstances

Page 149

- 1 but -- And this was January of 2011. I mean, this is
- 2 immediately after this program was created.
- 3 Barb Shaw evidently received a telephone call
- 4 from Representative Yarbrough about Maywood wanting to
- 5 know what's going on from IVPA's perspective.
- 6 Evidently, Representative Yarbrough had significant
- 7 comments about Proviso Leyden not being effective in
- 8 Maywood and beyond. She further said that she doesn't
- 9 hold Proviso Leyden in high regard. And Barb Shaw
- 10 evidently communicated with Dr. Irving who then
- 11 evidently pulled you into the loop somehow.
- 12 But Dr. Irving said -- contrary to everything
- 13 that we've heard over the last day and a half,
- 14 Dr. Irving said we intervened here because of the
- 15 concerns that are coming out of the community right
- 16 after the selection hearing. And what Dr. Irving
- 17 evidently communicates to you is that Barb Shaw reviewed
- 18 the Proviso Leyden proposal and found them the most
- 19 qualified.
- Now, that's what I think we would hope to
- 21 find, right? The agency makes a decision, most
- 22 qualified, and that's who's going to deliver the
- 23 service. But the Village of Maywood wanted to exclude
- 24 them from the grant. And what Dr. Irving communicates
- 25 to you is -- underlying this is that the Proviso Leyden

Page 150

- person is running against the village person for mayor,
- 2 and then she concludes our intervention -- and I have to
- 3 presume she means the Governor's Office intervention --
- 4 is to have them split the work even though Proviso
- 5 Leyden is deemed better.
- And so again, while we heard suggestion after
- 7 suggestion over the last day and a half and all the
- 8 decisions made on where the money goes, who administers
- 9 the grants and the expertise of IVPA, the evidence says
- 10 a different story than what we've heard. The evidence
- says the Governor's Office did get involved, clearly
- 12 involved, and made decisions that would appear to be not
- in the best interest of two people. One is the
- 14 taxpayers who are paying for this program, and the other
- 15 is the community members who are relying on it. And for
- 16 all of the heartfelt desire in many people to have a
- 17 program that combats violence, you have to wonder what
- 18 their intentions were when they decided not to have the
- 19 money going to the most needed communities and not being
- 20 used by the most qualified providers.
- 21 How do -- What do you say to that?
- 22 A. I don't recall the situation. I don't
- 23 remember the situation. So I don't know the context of
- 24 it. So I don't really have much to say about it.
- Q. Does it frustrate you that that might happen?

Page 151

- 1 A. I don't know the context of the situation, so
- 2 I'm not going to speculate.
- 3 Q. Would it frustrate you as someone who wants to
- 4 address this issue that was so prevalent in 2010 that
- 5 something had to be done about it, that what was done
- 6 was to give money to some providers who were not the
- 7 most qualified at preventing the violence that evidently
- 8 was occurring?
- 9 A. You're asking me to speculate on the situation
- 10 between Maywood and Proviso Leyden, and I don't know
- 11 what the context of this situation was. I can't really
- 12 comment on it.
- 13 Q. I think what we're seeing is instance after
- 14 instance here through this audit of these arbitrary
- 15 decisions -- you may not agree with that word and that's
- 16 fair -- but these arbitrary decisions that really
- diminish the repeated suggestions that this was all
- 18 about addressing an emergency that was existing on the
- 19 streets of Chicago. If there was an emergency, I think
- 20 that we'd identify where the highest need was and that
- 21 we'd identify those who are in those communities best
- 22 capable of providing them the violence prevention
- 23 service that's needed there and fund them with the
- 24 resources to do what they evidently do best.
- 25 And what's troubling to me is to hear in

Page 152

- 1 response to the evidence -- not the emotional pleas to
- 2 want to do something but the evidence of what happened
- 3 here is that for all of the heartfelt desire to do
- 4 something meaningful, the actual decisions that were
- 5 made did not fully equip those who could have helped.
- 6 And the response to this is, I don't remember. I don't
- 7 recall.
- 8 And so, to me -- I will wrap up here,
- 9 Representative Mautino.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: I would appreciate it.
- 11 SENATOR BARICKMAN: To me, the failure here -- And
- 12 again, we can talk about, you know, there's a great
- 13 concern among taxpayers that we be prudent with their
- 14 dollars, spend them wisely. There's the Governor and
- 15 the legislature. And we all recognize the financial
- 16 situation that this state is in, both today and back in
- 17 2010 when this program was initiated. This program
- 18 spent a huge amount of money in a time when we had
- 19 millions of dollars in unpaid bills. And for all of the
- 20 emergency that existed, it appears the administration
- 21 interjected itself into the decision-making process
- 22 where to send the money; and the public needs to have
- 23 faith in those who are making those decisions.
- I don't think this helps increase the faith
- 25 Illinois people have in their public officials and their

Page 153

- 1 administration of their taxpayer dollars in something
- 2 that's really been -- You know, we will talk about all
- 3 that's learned from this audit. But I will tell you
- 4 personally to me, one of the things that's been learned
- 5 here is the incredible frustration that members in some
- of these very (inaudible) communities in Chicago, some
- 7 of the frustration those community members have at the
- 8 Quinn administration for their decision making on this
- 9 program because they felt excluded for whatever reason.
- 10 We evidently are going to conclude this
- 11 hearing today -- depending on what our next two
- 12 witnesses tell us, I think we are unfortunately going to
- 13 conclude with unanswered questions about how all these
- 14 decisions were made. And for the people who rely on
- 15 these programs because they are living in a highly
- 16 violent neighborhood, I think that creates a frustration
- 17 in our government; and it really brings a personal
- 18 meaning to the repeated suggestions that this program is
- 19 an absolute failure. It's a failure to taxpayers, and
- 20 it's a failure to the people in the communities who are
- 21 relying on their public officials to do something
- 22 meaningful about combatting violence on their streets.
- 23 And for all the criticisms of some of the
- 24 agencies who have appeared to have done a poor job in
- 25 this program of delivering the service, I think we all

Page 154

- 1 know that there are many, many, many good providers that
- 2 exist all around our state, including in Chicago, that
- 3 do wonderful things in our communities. And
- 4 unfortunately, as a result of this failed program, their
- 5 integrity is now questioned. They're reading about
- 6 these failed agencies, whether it's management, not
- 7 filling out time sheets and various other management
- 8 types of things or just blatant abuse, those agencies
- 9 who took State dollars and didn't deliver any services.
- 10 What does that say to the good human service providers
- 11 that are out there knocking on our door every single day
- 12 asking for more money? What does it say to them when
- 13 they go to work doing great work in their community and
- 14 their community members read about a peer organization
- 15 of theirs that is doing so poorly? It makes them have
- 16 to do -- defend their work all the more.
- 17 And so for us to perform this audit, I think
- 18 part of the reason that we do it is to try to restore
- 19 the integrity to some of those good agencies that exist
- 20 to make sure that they're not the recipient of the
- 21 knee-jerk reaction that can come from a failure like
- 22 this. We already know one of the knee-jerk reactions.
- 23 Governor Quinn disbanded the very agency that is tasked
- 24 with preventing violence all around this state.
- 25 And again, as a lawmaker, to read this audit,

Page 155

- 1 to pour over the thousands of documents that exist, to
- 2 look at the interviews that the auditors performed and
- 3 the great work that they've done here, I would simply
- 4 tell you that as a lawmaker who's very involved in
- 5 reviewing all that has become the NRI audit, I am one
- 6 who is incredibly disappointed that this is an outcome
- 7 of my State government. Thank you.
- 8 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: We have a final question.
- 9 It will be Senator Brady. Then actually I will have one
- 10 or two questions for you. So I will be the final. And
- 11 then we are going to take a break and send notice to
- 12 Dr. Irving.
- 13 SENATOR BRADY: Thank you.
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY SENATOR BRADY:
- 16 Q. Mr. Lavin, in yesterday's testimony there
- 17 was -- with Miss Shaw there was some confusion; and you
- 18 seemed to have cut to the chase. Although I think one
- 19 of the difficulties in dealing with this is that
- 20 continued confusion and that was, Who was in charge?
- 21 You made it pretty clear and I think you believe that
- 22 ultimately the accountable individuals for the findings
- 23 in this audit should be the Illinois Violence Protection
- 24 Authority board of directors. Is that right?
- 25 A. For the administration and monitoring of the

Page 156

- 1 program and the work operation of the program, I think
- 2 the majority of the findings are about that, of
- 3 monitoring quarterly reports and annual reports and all
- 4 that. So yes, that was the job of the Violence
- 5 Prevention Authority.
- 6 Q. Ultimately, you would say it's the board's
- 7 responsibility that that organization would be in
- 8 compliance.
- 9 A. I think it's the Violence Prevention
- 10 Authority. I guess that would include the staff and the
- 11 board.
- 12 Q. But the board is the governing body.
- 13 A. The board is the governing body.
- 14 SENATOR BRADY: I quess the frustration of trying
- 15 to get to who was in charge and who is accountable,
- 16 Mr. Lavin has indicated, although Miss Shaw was --
- 17 differed somewhat in this, that the board of directors
- 18 of the Illinois Violence Prevention Authority that were
- 19 ultimately responsible for making sure that this
- 20 organization was controlled NRI did it in a way that
- 21 complied with statutes, rules and regulations and
- 22 evidence. Would your office agree with that; that the
- 23 ultimate responsibility lies with the board members?
- 24 Auditor General HOLLAND: Certainly the board
- 25 members would have responsibility, yes.

Page 157

- 1 BY SENATOR BRADY:
- 2 Q. I guess part of the confusion -- If that's the
- 3 case -- And you probably can explain this, but Miss Shaw
- 4 was clearly conflicted yesterday about that. At one
- 5 point she said some things were subject in her opinion
- 6 to the Attorney General, and in some cases they were
- 7 subject to you or the direction she was given from the
- 8 Governor's Office. Can you sense why she would be
- 9 frustrated and not knowing where to take her direction?
- 10 A. I can't speculate how Miss Shaw thinks.
- 11 Q. But there was clearly a great deal of input
- 12 from the Attorney General's office and the Governor's
- 13 administration that superseded the board's activities.
- 14 A. I don't know if I would agree with that. I
- 15 certainly said earlier I think there's some
- 16 responsibility that goes to a lot of different people,
- 17 and I didn't say solely this is IVPA. If you want to
- 18 talk about the administration of the grants and the
- 19 follow-up, which is a lot of what the findings are here,
- 20 a lot of the problems are the quarterly reports and the
- 21 annual reports. Yes, that was the Violence Prevention
- 22 Authority's -- that was part of their operational
- 23 duties.
- Q. And part of our responsibility, okay, is to
- 25 hold accountable those people who abuse/neglect rules,

Page 158

- violations and so forth and prevent that from carrying
- 2 further.
- One of the things I'm learning here is that if
- 4 this board is going to have that authority, they
- 5 probably should have taken charge; and it doesn't appear
- 6 to me based on these e-mails that they did. In fact, it
- 7 seems most of it came from the Governor's Office. And I
- 8 think one of the problems we have here is we're going to
- 9 have that board. It shouldn't allow the Governor's
- 10 Office to have such a significant role in this.
- 11 Would you agree with that or should the
- 12 Governor's Office be able to trump their --
- 13 A. I think the IVPA is an independent authority
- 14 with their own board. When the program was up and
- 15 running, they had responsibility for the grants, for the
- 16 follow-up on the grants, to make sure the grantees were
- 17 performing, to make sure they were doing the quarterly
- 18 reports and the annual reports.
- Now, did they have enough staff? Did they
- 20 staff up quickly enough? I mean, that's a question.
- 21 But that's all the responsibility of the IVPA to do that
- 22 duty. And so from that perspective, yes, that was their
- 23 duty and that's part of the problem.
- Q. Let me just talk a little bit about the issue
- 25 here. I think we all realize -- And I know myself, I've

Page 159

- 1 been in many areas in the state and understand how to
- 2 some people there's nothing more challenging in their
- 3 lives than crime that's going on on the streets and how
- 4 important initiatives by government and organizations
- 5 and others to eliminate and make our streets safe. It's
- 6 probably the most important thing, particularly to some
- 7 people.
- 8 And I also would like to say -- and I think
- 9 someone else brought this up -- we also expect that a
- 10 Governor would use their office and the powers
- 11 associated with that office to direct their agenda,
- 12 political or otherwise. I think we understand that as
- 13 long as that is done within the requirements of State
- 14 government, not violating things like these findings and
- 15 other things.
- 16 We have one Governor who is in jail who tried
- 17 to use his office particularly when it came to a Senate
- 18 appointment for his own political benefit, and we know
- 19 that's wrong. And when we read those things, we lose
- valuable resources.
- 21 You know, one of the arguments that's been
- 22 made earlier is that if we would have followed the right
- 23 rules and regulations, maybe -- not maybe, but we might
- 24 have gotten a bigger bang for our buck. You do the
- 25 math. It's about 35, \$37,000 per person that this

Page 160

- 1 helps; and I think we have to do that.
- 2 And I think that leads to another reason why
- 3 we're here. I believe this is probably the biggest
- 4 reason we're here, and that is the fact that the
- 5 Illinois General Assembly abdicated its authority in the
- 6 budgetary process something that you were handed with in
- 7 a lump sum budget. Because I can't think of another way
- 8 in which a Governor could create such a large program in
- 9 and of themselves, whether it be through the IVP board.
- I think, frankly, was it not for that neglect
- 11 on the part of the General Assembly in passing a lump
- 12 sum budget, we wouldn't be here. I really don't think
- we'd be here.
- Would you agree with that?
- 15 A. I think the lump sum budget gave the
- 16 flexibility to do this program. That's correct.
- Q. Do you think that's right though? Do you
- 18 think it's right that the legislature would remove
- 19 itself from its constitutional rights and give a
- 20 Governor -- I mean, it just seems to me that whether
- 21 this program was abused or not -- or not the program --
- 22 whether this authority was abused or not, it leads to
- 23 the ability of the people -- You know, I hate to think
- 24 of Governor Blajojevich having a lump sum budget and
- 25 what he would have done. But don't you think that if we

Page 161

- 1 are going to try to rectify and prevent future problems,
- 2 that one of the things this body ought to do is use this
- 3 learning experience to call on the General Assembly
- 4 never to do that again?
- 5 A. Well, I don't think the General Assembly has
- 6 done it again.
- 7 Q. That wasn't my question.
- 8 A. You're asking my opinion of --
- 9 Q. We're opinion makers who have to take that
- 10 back. You were in the trenches of this.
- 11 A. I think that the General Assembly should do
- 12 what their job is, which is to appropriate money.
- Q. And you would say that we misdealt with that
- 14 in this case?
- 15 A. I am not going to say that. I think the
- 16 General Assembly made their decision, and this is
- 17 what -- and it had a lump sum; and the State had an
- 18 experience of what that means. And I don't think the
- 19 General Assembly has done it again.
- 20 Q. The other reason we are here is because of
- 21 that we've got these 19 findings and, frankly, many
- 22 hours have been spent on this Commission. I used to
- 23 think we'd never have an audit worse than the Power
- 24 Commission, and I think this one has superseded that.
- 25 What would -- Everything that was found in

Page 162

- 1 this audit -- Everything that was found in this audit is
- 2 common knowledge to the people who operate government.
- 3 I mean, Miss Shaw has dealt with the State government
- 4 and audits for almost everybody else involved. But yet,
- 5 they saw fit to turn the other way and expedite a
- 6 program and created such neglect leading to these
- 7 findings. You have studied it. You were at the helm
- 8 and the trenches of this. What did we do to prevent
- 9 that from happening? What do you think we should be
- doing so that people don't abuse this authority in such
- 11 ways that you have to deal with 19 findings?
- 12 A. Well, I think that you've done some of that
- 13 already. You passed legislation about how grants are
- 14 monitored, conflicts of interest. I think that goes a
- 15 long way towards doing that. I think I said before DCEO
- 16 had a chief accountability officer. I think that's
- 17 someone independent from programming that's looking at
- 18 what's going on. Those are -- The legislation you had,
- 19 what we did at DCEO, I think those are good ideas.
- Q. I guess, lastly, while I was sitting here --
- and, again, many hours I have spent on this
- 22 Commission -- this is the first time I've seen, that I
- 23 can recall, people accompanied by legal counsel. Can I
- 24 ask you what compelled you to bring legal counsel to
- 25 this hearing?

Page 163

- 1 A. Well, I don't think we've had subpoenaed
- 2 witnesses before.
- 3 Q. So it's simply the subpoena -- We probably
- 4 wouldn't have subpoenaed you except for I think
- 5 Miss Shaw said she would only come if subpoenaed. It's
- 6 the subpoena that -- I mean, all the subpoena did is
- 7 compel you to be here. What is it about this audit that
- 8 would make someone think they needed legal counsel?
- 9 A. Any time I think someone gets subpoenaed,
- 10 everybody says go get legal counsel. So that's what I
- 11 did.
- 12 Q. I quess the other thing is: Can you share
- 13 with us any insight as to why there's two federal and
- 14 one county investigation -- criminal investigation going
- 15 **on?**
- 16 A. You need to ask them.
- 17 Q. You have nothing you can share with us about
- 18 that?
- 19 A. I can't speculate what they are doing.
- Q. I mean, are they on a witch hunt?
- 21 A. I just said I can't speculate what they're
- 22 doing.
- Q. Thank you.
- 24 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Mr. Brady, have you
- 25 finished your comments?

Page 164

- 1 SENATOR BRADY: (Nodding.)
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Okay. I know you have
- 3 been here quite a while. We appreciate you answering
- 4 the questions along many lines.
- 5 As I said earlier, the e-mails and everything
- 6 that we've seen here I think show what a great job the
- 7 Auditor General actually does. These audits do play a
- 8 big part in what we do. They are important in the
- 9 management and proper structure and the ability for that
- 10 transparency. We are looking at an audit four years
- 11 back. It has had some good results. It has had some
- 12 bad results.
- 13 Specifically in the requested documents before
- 14 us, this is the performance audit on management
- 15 functions which definitely needed improvement and since
- 16 then have changed. I believe the Criminal Justice
- 17 Information Authority has accepted all of these
- 18 findings. There have been some law changes. I wouldn't
- 19 go as far to say -- I would say I've heard grossly
- 20 negligent, failed, as far as keeping track of the
- 21 transactions, the things that we need to protect our
- 22 people. There was a failure in mismanagement. There
- 23 was a failure in planning. There was a failure in
- 24 implementation. And going forward, we would hope those
- 25 have been and will be continually corrected. That's the

Page 165

- 1 goal and the structure of what we do.
- 2 To the programs that are out there, they
- 3 continue through other agencies. And so there is an
- 4 option statewide and should be and should always be.
- 5 That is my belief. That is the belief of every member
- 6 of this Commission. The violence that's out there
- 7 has -- it's still there and it's going to take time to
- 8 go through it. I saw it in the Latino institute. I
- 9 went to some of the after-school programs, which in my
- 10 area might be used to help someone with math and
- 11 science; and it is here too. But in talking to the
- 12 instructor, it's also because you want the 12-year-old
- 13 baby not to get rolled into a gang. So you give them a
- 14 little bit of time after school. You know, think about
- 15 a 12-year-old in Spring Valley in that context. I get
- 16 why they want those programs, why they need those
- 17 programs.
- 18 So those will continue in our urban areas. It
- 19 should. The documentation going forward should be
- 20 corrected and done a lot better. I've seen many, many
- 21 audits. I've seen things with 50 or 100 findings that
- 22 are out there, but these deal with decision-making
- 23 transactions. And going forward I hope the Criminal
- 24 Justice Information Authority does that correctly and
- 25 properly because the programs are very much needed.

Page 166

- I thank you for coming in and joining with us
- 2 today. We will have Dr. Irving joining with us. We are
- 3 going to take about a 20-minute break. Mr. Barickman
- 4 has been so kind as to provide lunch for all members and
- 5 staff here today, which is, in fact -- Yes, I actually
- 6 took your credit card from your wallet. You may not
- 7 know that, but we do say thanks. Take about a
- 8 half-an-hour break.
- 9 Mr. Lavin, thank you for coming in, sir.
- 10 Thank you for joining us. We are at ease for half an
- 11 hour.
- 12 (A short break was had.)
- 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: The hour of 2:34 having
- 14 arrived, I call the Audit Commission back to order. Our
- 15 next witness is Dr. Toni Irving, former Senior Advisor
- 16 and Deputy Chief of Staff to Governor Quinn. Please
- 17 join us at the table.
- Dr. Irving, we have our proceedings today
- 19 reported with a court reporter. So would you please
- 20 introduce yourself and spell your name and also your
- 21 attorney, if he would introduce himself and spell his
- 22 name for the purposes of our reporter.
- DR. TONI IRVING: My name is Toni Irving.
- 24 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Turn your mike on.
- DR. TONI IRVING: Dr. Toni Irving, T O N I,

Page 167

- 1 I R V I N G; and my attorney is Jonathan King,
- 2 JONATHAN, KING.
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you very much,
- 4 Dr. Irving. Would you raise your right hand?
- 5 (Witness sworn.)
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you very much.
- 7 Welcome to the Audit Commission. Thank you for joining
- 8 with us today. Would you like to make an opening
- 9 statement?
- 10 DR. TONI IRVING: My name is Dr. Toni Irving. I
- 11 understand that as a result of my prior position as
- 12 Deputy Chief of Staff to Governor Pat Quinn I have been
- 13 asked to come to this Commission's hearing to testify,
- 14 and I am happy to answer any questions about NRI.
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you very much. Our
- 16 first questioner will be Representative Sandack.
- 17 WHEREUPON:
- DR. TONI IRVING,
- 19 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 20 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK:
- Q. Good afternoon, Doctor.
- 24 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. We had a variety of people come and sit, and

Page 168

- 1 there's been some ambiguities. Maybe you can help us.
- 2 Who came up with the concept of the NRI program?
- 3 A. Barbara Shaw.
- 4 Q. It was her idea?
- 5 A. She was asked to put together a violence
- 6 prevention program, and the violence prevention program
- 7 that she put together is the one that's known now as
- 8 NRI.
- 9 Q. Did you have any involvement in the
- 10 construction of the NRI program?
- 11 A. I did not.
- 12 Q. I'm looking at I think it's a May 8th, 2014
- 13 Sun Times article, and I'm just going to read you the
- 14 second paragraph: "Irving acknowledged in an interview
- 15 she helped come up with the ideas for the program which
- 16 is now under investigation by Federal and Cook County
- prosecutors."
- 18 Do you remember this article in the Sun Times?
- 19 A. I remember that there were a bunch of articles
- 20 around that time, yes.
- 21 Q. And what I just read, is that incorrect then
- 22 given your prior testimony?
- 23 A. There has been a lot of incorrect articles
- 24 since May 7th.
- Q. So I'm going to focus on this one. The

Page 169

- information in this article is just not correct?
- 2 A. The sentence you just read is not correct. I
- 3 don't know the rest of the article.
- Q. And to put it to a finer point and then I'll
- 5 move on, you had nothing to do with the origination of
- 6 the NRI program?
- 7 A. The NRI program was created by Barbara Shaw,
- 8 and I did not have any input into its creation.
- 9 Q. Do you know of anyone from the Governor's
- 10 Office that had any input into its creation?
- 11 A. I do not. I can't speak to that.
- 12 Q. I'm looking at a memo dated May 11, 2012 by
- 13 some folks with the Auditor General's office. And I
- 14 think you sat down with some of the investigators and
- answered questions and I think tendered documents; isn't
- 16 that correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. One of the questions was: Did the Governor's
- 19 Office direct what target communities were to receive
- 20 funds for NRI? Do you remember that question being
- 21 asked of you?
- 22 A. I don't remember the specific questions that
- 23 were asked of me when I sat down with the Auditor.
- Q. Let me ask you then: Do you remember if the
- 25 Governor's Office had any involvement in determining

Page 170

- which communities would receive NRI monies?
- 2 A. You know, I saw a list of the communities that
- 3 were being focussed on a few days before there was a
- 4 meeting -- a larger meeting about it; but I don't know
- 5 who compiled that list.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'm going read to you what at least the
- 7 auditors took away from your discussion: The Governor's
- 8 Office had some input on the selection of the
- 9 communities. The communities that were the most violent
- 10 were the ones selected. On a scale of from 1 to 10
- 11 regarding violence in the communities, only 9s and 10s
- 12 were selected. 7s were not.
- 13 Does that sound like the words you gave to the
- 14 auditors?
- 15 A. I don't remember those words, no.
- 16 Q. Irrespective of whether you remember those
- 17 words, having just heard me read them, is that a correct
- 18 rendition at least of your recollection of the
- 19 Governor's Office involvement in selecting communities
- 20 to receive NRI monies?
- 21 A. I don't know who selected the communities to
- 22 receive the NRI money. I only saw the list of selected
- 23 communities once they were already determined.
- Q. So it wasn't your idea. You saw a list after
- 25 it was already determined.

Page 171

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And you don't know who determined that list.
- 3 A. I do not.
- Q. Did you hear about any metrics or any support
- 5 information for the selection of those communities?
- 6 A. Since the audit has been going on -- or this
- 7 hearing, rather, I've heard people say that they got it
- 8 from the Department of Human Services; but that's just
- 9 what I heard that was said yesterday.
- 10 Q. Fair enough. Go back in time to
- 11 August/September of 2010. At that time had you heard of
- 12 any of the criterion utilized for the selection of
- 13 communities for funds to NRI?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. And you had obviously no role in that then?
- 16 A. No, not in the NRI development.
- 17 Q. So you had nothing to do with the inception or
- 18 the communities being picked. Let's talk about the
- 19 aldermen and the role of aldermen in either selection of
- lead agencies or whatever involvement aldermen had.
- 21 What was your knowledge back in
- 22 August/September 2010, October 2010, with respect to the
- 23 role of aldermen in the appointment lead agencies for
- 24 NRI monies?
- 25 A. So I know that there was a meeting that was

Page 172

- 1 held where aldermen were invited to hear about this new
- 2 program; and I don't know how many aldermen were
- 3 invited, but I would imagine that they were the
- 4 aldermen -- My impression is that they were the aldermen
- 5 that were from the communities that were on the list.
- 6 And they were told that they would -- I sat in on this
- 7 meeting, and the aldermen were told that they should
- 8 recommend organizations that -- and following a certain
- 9 criteria. And I don't remember what the criteria was,
- 10 but I'm pretty sure it was listed in one of the handouts
- 11 and that the handouts are part of the package of
- 12 information you guys were given.
- 13 Q. Dr. Irving, the meeting you were reciting --
- 14 we're talking about right now -- who ran that meeting;
- 15 do you know?
- 16 A. Both Barbara Shaw and Malcolm Weems.
- 17 Q. And did you -- Other than being there, was
- 18 there any active participation by you in that meeting?
- 19 A. I did not. But the agenda for that meeting is
- 20 also in the packet of materials that you all received.
- Q. So we talked about the inception of NRI, the
- selection of communities, the participation of aldermen.
- 23 What happened next as far as your involvement with
- 24 respect to NRI?
- 25 A. After this meeting that took place?

Page 173

- 1 Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 A. I don't know the sequence of events, but I
- 3 know that there was also another meeting that was held
- 4 similar to the aldermen meeting but with ministers; and
- 5 they were told the same thing, to make recommendations.
- 6 And then I note that in early October there was a press
- 7 conference at King College, I believe, in Englewood to
- 8 talk about --
- 9 Q. Were you at that press conference?
- 10 A. I was at that press conference.
- 11 Q. Did you participate with respect to that press
- 12 conference?
- 13 A. I did not.
- 14 Q. So using that as kind of a stop period, have
- 15 you pretty much told me your personal involvement with
- 16 NRI up and through that October press conference?
- 17 A. With NRI, yes.
- 18 **Q.** From --
- 19 A. That I remember.
- 20 Q. That you can recall.
- 21 Before that press conference and using that as
- 22 a stop gate, had you had any conversations with the
- 23 Governor about the NRI program?
- 24 A. I had conversations with the Governor about
- violence prevention and the need for violence prevention

Page 174

- 1 programming, but that was not that specific program. It
- 2 was prior to that program being formed.
- 3 Q. So your conversations were about a need to
- 4 help quell violence and perhaps address the violence
- 5 problem, but it wasn't with respect to NRI?
- 6 A. So I want to expound because I feel like I'm
- 7 not getting the clear question. The beginning of all of
- 8 this for me was when Robert Freeman was shot 22 times in
- 9 Roseland, and the Governor and Reverend Jesse Jackson
- 10 and Reverend Senator Meeks and a bunch of other
- 11 ministers all took a tour of that area. And then there
- 12 was a meeting afterwards in a church basement there at
- 13 which point the ministers all requested that the
- 14 Governor call a, quote-unquote, state of emergency.
- 15 And so in the aftermath of that meeting, the
- 16 Governor asked me to come up with some sort of a plan
- 17 that would be reflective of what the ministers were
- 18 asking for to address the youth violence in the city.
- 19 And subsequent to that, myself, Gloria Materre and
- 20 Sharron Matthews met with a group of ministers as well
- 21 as some Chicago police officers and some other people
- 22 toward discussions of what we should put together.
- Q. Okay. But that's outside the parameters of
- 24 what I think I heard you say earlier, what Miss Shaw was
- doing with respect to the Neighborhood Recovery

Page 175

- 1 Initiative.
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. So did you ever come up with a plan separate
- 4 and apart from NRI?
- 5 A. So Sharron sent some recommendations that were
- 6 in toward the end of August. But apparently Barbara was
- 7 also creating recommendations, and the recommendations
- 8 that were selected were the ones that became NRI.
- 9 Q. Earlier this morning and this afternoon, Jack
- 10 Lavin was testifying and there were a couple of
- 11 documents we saw. But I was struck by a couple things
- 12 he said. He said the Governor's Office was a very
- 13 collegial place and was flat as far as organizationally,
- 14 meaning there was a lot of back and forth with people.
- 15 He mentioned you and others as being part of that
- 16 Governor's Office team, for lack of a better term.
- 17 Were you ever asked by Mr. Lavin to come up
- 18 with a violence prevention plan?
- 19 A. No. I was asked by the Governor to come up
- 20 with a violence prevention plan.
- 21 Q. Do you know if Mr. Lavin ever asked other
- 22 members of the Governor's Office to come up with a
- 23 framework for violence prevention or the NRI program?
- 24 A. I was not aware.
- 25 Q. You obviously were involved in talking with

Page 176

- 1 members of Mr. Holland's staff from the Auditor
- 2 General's staff. Have you read the audit report?
- 3 A. I have not.
- Q. Do you know any of the findings?
- 5 A. I heard something about 40 percent. I can't
- 6 remember the details, so I can't say. I don't know.
- 7 Q. I should have gone back and asked you this,
- 8 Doctor. Have you any involvement with the
- 9 implementation or administration of the Neighborhood
- 10 Recovery Initiative?
- 11 A. I did not.
- 12 Q. Do you know who did?
- 13 A. Barbara Shaw and her staff.
- 14 Q. And who did Barbara Shaw report to; do you
- 15 **know?**
- 16 A. She had a board and there were co-chairs, and
- 17 the co-chairs of her board were the Director of the
- 18 Department of Public Health and the Attorney General.
- 19 Q. Do you know if Barbara Shaw had any
- 20 communications with the Governor's Office during the
- 21 implementation and operational aspects of NRI?
- 22 A. Certainly.
- Q. Did she ever interact with you?
- 24 A. There were a lot of e-mails that would go back
- 25 and forth and that I would be also on.

Page 177

- Q. Doctor, you moved on. You're now with I think
- 2 the City of Chicago.
- 3 A. No. I'm with a private organization.
- Q. Were you with the City at any time?
- 5 A. Never.
- 6 Q. All right. Maybe I'm under some
- 7 misapprehension. I was told -- and you can quickly
- 8 disabuse me of this -- that a quasi NRI model, maybe
- 9 yours/maybe not, is being utilized by the City of
- 10 Chicago to try and prevent violence obviously with the
- 11 City. Am I mistaken or is that not accurate
- 12 information?
- 13 A. I can't speak to what the City is doing. I'm
- 14 not sure. But I run a foundation called Get in Chicago
- 15 that does focus on violence prevention, but it's all
- 16 privately funded. It's a private separate entity.
- 17 Q. Did you take any of the framework or, I guess,
- 18 components of NRI with respect to what you're doing
- 19 privately?
- 20 A. I did not. But many of the components of NRI
- 21 are components of a public health approach to violence
- 22 prevention, and so they are universal components.
- Q. Just a couple more questions and thank you for
- 24 your time. We got some documents two nights ago and
- 25 last night from your attorney. Pardon the bruskness of

Page 178

- 1 this. Do we have everything now that's responsive to
- 2 our subpoena?
- 3 A. So I believe -- So what you received yesterday
- 4 were documents that came back from the Governor's
- 5 Office.
- 6 Q. Okay. They did not come from Mr. King?
- 7 A. They came from the Governor's Office to
- 8 Mr. King and then from Mr. King to you.
- 9 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Okay. And I might ask
- 10 you, Mr. King, because it's been a little circuitous and
- 11 it's been a little unusual. I'm not casting any --
- MR. KING: Swear me in. I'm happy to answer.
- 13 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: I can't swear you in. You
- 14 have a duty under -- You're a licensed attorney. Do we
- 15 have everything?
- 16 MR. KING: I believe we do. The documents that
- 17 Dr. Irving is referring to are to my understanding --
- 18 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Push the button.
- 19 MR. KING: Sure. I believe the documents were
- 20 originally part of a subset that the Governor's Office
- 21 had asserted a privilege on. In the course of
- 22 reviewing -- preparing a privilege log to apparently
- 23 discussions with this Commission, I think some were
- 24 deemed not privileged. Those are the documents that
- 25 were produced.

Page 179 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: And then with respect to 1 documents exclusively in Dr. Irving's control, do we 3 have everything? MR. KING: I believe we do. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Thank you. I appreciate your time, Doctor. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Okay. We have --8 Representative Sandack has finished his questions. Do we have other questions, Members of the 9 committee? 10 11 (No response.) 12 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: I'd like to ask our Executive Director to give us an update on -- I believe 13 we have some news on the status of the e-mails. 15 MS. STRICKLIN: Mr. Chairman, once again, the website is up-to-date with all the e-mails we have 16 17 seen -- or that we have received. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Can you say that for the record again? 19 MS. STRICKLIN: We've updated the website with --20 21 All of the documents that we have received are now on the website including the ones we received this morning. 22 23 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Do we have any further questions for this witness? 24 25 (No response.)

Page 180 1 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Going once. Going twice. 2 (No response.) 3 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Dr. Irving, you should pick up a lottery ticket today. Thank you for coming 5 and joining with us. And we appreciate your testimony, the documents and any other documents. Have you 6 7 produced all the documents that you intend to produce at this time? 8 DR. TONI IRVING: Yes. 10 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Okay. With that, seeing no further questions, you are dismissed. 11 12 DR. TONI IRVING: I brought lunch. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: And actually Senator Barickman bought lunch. So if there is not enough 14 15 there, there's some in the back. We will be at ease until the next witness 16 17 arrives. 18 (A short break was had.) 19 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: At the hour of 3:22 having 20 arrived, our court reporter is ready to go. We will --21 Okay. 3:23. Welcome, Mr. Oberweis. The Audit Commission is back in order, and I 22 would ask Andy Ross to join us at the table with his 23

Fax: 314.644.1334

attorney. Andy Ross is the Former Deputy Chief of Staff

to Governor Quinn in 2009 to February 2011 and former

24

25

Page 181

- 1 Chief Operating Officer for the State of Illinois.
- Welcome, Mr. Ross. Would you please for our
- 3 court reporter state your name and spell your name as
- 4 well as introducing your attorney?
- 5 MR. ROSS: Sure. My name is Andrew Ross,
- 6 ANDREW, ROSS.
- 7 MR. SALDINGER: And on behalf of Mr. Ross is
- 8 Richard Saldinger, S A L D I N G E R.
- 9 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you. Please raise
- 10 your right hand to be sworn in.
- 11 (Witness sworn.)
- 12 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you for joining with
- 13 us today. Would you like to make an opening statement,
- 14 sir?
- MR. ROSS: No thanks.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Okay. Mr. Ross has joined
- 17 with us. And as we go into the performance audit about
- 18 the State monies provided to the Illinois Violence
- 19 Prevention Authority under the recovery initiative, we
- 20 would now accept questions from members of the
- 21 committee.
- 22 Senator Oberweis.
- 23 WHEREUPON:
- 24 ANDREW ROSS,
- 25 called as a witness herein, having been first duly

Page 182

- 1 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 2 EXAMINATION
- 3 BY SENATOR OBERWEIS:
- 4 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ross. Thanks for joining
- 5 us here today.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 Q. I just have a few questions. Could we start
- 8 to ask you to tell us a little bit more about your
- 9 involvement with the NRI, how -- where it came from, how
- 10 it got started. And you're the seventh person to answer
- 11 this question.
- 12 A. I'm sorry. So --
- 13 Q. You're the seventh person to be asked that
- 14 question.
- 15 A. So you want --
- 16 Q. I'd like your background on how NRI got
- 17 started. Just as much as you might know about that.
- 18 A. I'm not exactly sure -- exactly how it came to
- 19 pass.
- Q. What's your first memory of the NRI?
- 21 A. You know, it's more than four years ago.
- 22 Obviously preparing for my appearance here I reviewed
- 23 some e-mails and stuff that was posted online. But one
- 24 specific direct memory that I have of NRI, you know, I
- 25 can't pinpoint one. You know, in terms of just -- in

Page 183

- 1 terms of my specific duties related to the IVPA, I had
- 2 nothing to do with the Illinois Violence Prevention
- 3 Authority.
- 4 Q. Let me back up for just a minute. Tell us
- 5 your position at this time and in 2010-2011.
- 6 A. Sure. I was the Deputy Chief of Staff in the
- 7 Governor's Office; and I worked with a number of
- 8 agencies and authorities including DCEO, IEPA, IDFPR,
- 9 insurance, (inaudible, the ICC.
- 10 Q. But you don't remember your involvement with
- 11 the NRI?
- 12 A. In terms of a specific --
- 13 O. Go ahead.
- 14 A. There was a component under DCEO, you know, a
- 15 micro loan program and a job training program that DCEO
- 16 administered.
- 17 Q. Can you give us an idea of when you first
- 18 heard of the NRI?
- 19 A. You know, I can't -- I can't give you an exact
- 20 date.
- 21 Q. I'm not asking for an exact date. Approximate
- 22 would be fine.
- A. You know, reviewing e-mails that were posted,
- 24 you know, online, you know, there was an e-mail traffic
- 25 related to me I believe in early August.

Page 184

- Q. And can you tell us a little bit about those
- 2 e-mails, what was discussed, what was involved?
- 3 A. You know, again, I don't have them all right
- 4 in front of me. But it was related to how the micro
- 5 loan program and/or -- and the job training program were
- 6 going to be described and implemented, you know, once
- 7 the program was launched.
- 8 Q. Tell us a little bit about the micro loan
- 9 program, if you will.
- 10 A. You know, they were federal funds that were
- 11 used as part of a micro loan program.
- 12 Q. How did they become part of NRI?
- 13 A. You know, I think it was a -- it was the idea
- 14 to try to do something to help foster economic growth
- and job creation; and this was a tool that DCEO had
- 16 available to try to accomplish that.
- Q. Did you coordinate or were you involved with
- 18 the program itself?
- 19 A. I worked with DCEO. I don't remember any
- 20 specific conversations with Director Ribley, you know,
- 21 about it. But, you know -- It was more than four years
- 22 ago. But, you know, I would assume that I did have
- 23 conversations with him about it.
- 24 Q. Can you tell us how Federal disaster monies
- 25 like that could be a part of Governor Quinn's NRI

Page 185

- 1 program?
- A. I believe Director Ribley addressed that issue
- 3 yesterday.
- Q. I'm asking for your involvement.
- 5 A. Right. But, you know, I believe that that --
- 6 in order to use the funds, you know, the way that they
- 7 were used, they had to approach the Federal government
- 8 and get approval from the Federal government to do that.
- 9 Q. Can any Federal monies be brought into a
- 10 program like that at the wish of the Governor?
- 11 A. I can't speak to that. I'm not sure.
- 12 Q. Do you remember how these Federal monies?
- 13 A. I wasn't involved in that, you know, in that
- 14 process.
- 15 Q. Do you know who was?
- 16 A. It would be Director Ribley and his staff.
- 17 Q. Okay. Could we distribute, whoever is doing
- 18 this, the Sun Times article entitled "E-mails Show Quinn
- 19 Aides Had Political Concerns Over NRI in 2011"?
- Do you have it, Mr. Ross?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to a
- 23 paragraph that I think is actually at the very bottom of
- 24 the first page of the article. It says, "Ross's," or
- 25 your, "e-mails show that you, Meister and Lavin, to

Page 186

- 1 varying degrees, appeared to mobilize to buffer Quinn
- 2 and Graham from any potential political fallout from a
- 3 WBEZ-FM report in February of 2011 about a pair of NRI
- 4 grants disbursed to organizations linked to the
- 5 alderman."
- 6 Can you tell us a little bit more about what
- 7 that's all about there?
- 8 A. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. You don't recall.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Would it help if we pass out the e-mails?
- 12 A. No. I produced the e-mails. But, you know,
- 13 that was something that I just discovered. Again, it
- 14 was more than four years ago; and I don't have any real
- 15 recollection of that situation.
- 16 Q. Okay. Can you tell us a little bit about
- 17 whether -- would it be unusual for a Governor to get
- 18 involved in an aldermanic campaign?
- 19 A. I'm not going to speculate. I ...
- 20 Q. I mean, you have a great deal of involvement.
- 21 You were the Chief Operating Officer?
- 22 A. No. I was -- I believe I was Deputy Chief of
- 23 Staff at the time.
- Q. Deputy Chief of Staff.
- 25 A. Yep.

Page 187

- Q. And you have no idea of how the Governor might
- 2 get involved in -- Do you know that the Governor was
- 3 involved in aldermanic campaigns?
- 4 A. I mean, that would be, you know, on the
- 5 political side. I was on the State side. That was my
- 6 focus.
- 7 Q. So you never got involved in the campaign side
- 8 at all, ever?
- 9 A. I'm not saying that, but I'm saying that my
- 10 focus was on doing my job. I oversaw -- I helped work
- 11 with a lot of different agencies, worked on a lot of
- 12 different issues every day.
- Q. Does the name Alderman Graham ring any bells
- 14 for you?
- 15 A. I've heard that name.
- 16 Q. Do you have any knowledge about how the
- 17 Governor's office would have gotten involved with
- 18 Alderman Graham's campaign?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Tell us, if you will, a little bit about the
- 21 process for selecting NRI grants.
- 22 A. In terms of which program?
- Q. Any that you'd like to discuss. How they were
- 24 determined, where the money went.
- 25 A. Those were decisions that were made in terms

Page 188

- 1 of what I was involved in -- Again, I had no involvement
- 2 with the Illinois Violence Prevention Authority in terms
- 3 of DCEO. Those were handled by the agency.
- Q. Well, who would it be that would have made the
- 5 decisions?
- 6 A. Director Ribley and his staff.
- 7 Q. And you weren't involved at all?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you think that the situation that led to
- 10 NRI could be described as an emergency or do you think
- 11 it was just a program in need of help or how would you
- describe the situation prior to NRI?
- 13 A. I mean, again, I could only speak to the DCEO
- 14 component. And DCEO's mission, you know, every day is
- 15 to try to help foster more economic development, more
- 16 job creation. And certainly that was a top priority,
- 17 and that was something that was certainly appropriate
- 18 for the agency to get involved in.
- 19 Q. Well, I guess my question is a little bit --
- 20 it goes a little bit further than that. It seems that
- 21 it was quite a rush to make this happen in a very short
- 22 period of time, approximately 60 days; and I'm really
- 23 asking you why there was that big of a hurry.
- A. You know, again, on the DCEO side, I think
- 25 these were programs that were either already kind of

Page 189

- 1 established or on their way to being established. I
- 2 don't think -- Again, I was only involved on the DCEO
- 3 side. I wouldn't call it a rush.
- Q. Well, we've had a lot of testimony that says
- 5 this only started in approximately August; and yet it
- 6 was funded in part by October, approximately 60 days
- 7 later. Does that sound like kind of a rush for a new
- 8 program with millions of dollars of funds being
- 9 disbursed?
- 10 A. Again, Senator, I think you have to look at
- 11 where the pots of money were coming from. From DCEO,
- 12 that was already in their budget. DCEO already, you
- 13 know, had established job training programs; and DCEO
- 14 was already establishing this micro loan program. So I
- don't see that being rushed, in my opinion.
- 16 Q. I think that -- Thank you. I appreciate those
- 17 comments. I think that -- Well, let me ask you another
- 18 question then. Do you think the program creating jobs
- 19 and job training handled through DCEO was well managed?
- 20 A. I don't have any knowledge.
- 21 Q. Have you seen the Auditor General's report?
- 22 A. On the IVPA side?
- 23 O. On all of it.
- A. I haven't seen any Auditor General report on
- 25 the DCEO component of NRI.

Page 190

- Q. I guess what I'm struck with from spending a
- 2 fair amount of time listening to concerns of people in
- 3 the community is the importance of job opportunities for
- 4 the people and that job opportunities were certainly one
- 5 of the most important things that could be provided in
- 6 order to reduce the violence in the community. In a
- 7 sense, they all worked together. It wasn't just one
- 8 side reducing violence.
- 9 And I keep asking myself if we had had a
- well-managed plan, how many more jobs might have been
- 11 created? How many lives might have been saved if more
- 12 jobs were created? How many better loans could have
- 13 been made that would have helped businesses to get
- 14 established and keep kids out of gangs, keep kids out of
- 15 trouble and away from violence? Any thoughts on that?
- 16 A. You know, again, I don't think -- or I've not
- 17 seen a thorough analysis of both of these programs. So,
- 18 I mean, I think I would have to take -- see something
- 19 like that before to comment on something like you're
- 20 asking me.
- Q. Thank you. I have nothing further at this
- 22 **time**.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Representative Sandack for
- 24 further questions.
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: Thank you.

Page 191 1 EXAMINATION 2 BY REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ross. Α. Good afternoon. Do you have the documents that your attorney Q. provided to the LAC in front of you or accessible? It's 6 7 a cover letter from your lawyer. Α. 8 Right. Q. What are these documents, these e-mails? 10 These were e-mails that, you know, I Α. discovered in my personal e-mail, you know, related to 11 12 NRI. 13 Right. And they were responsive to the 14 subpoena served upon you; isn't that correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 And you tendered them to your lawyer. I 17 assume at some point you read the --18 Α. Correct. 19 -- in order to give them to your lawyer, 20 correct? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Did you look at them before coming today? 23 Uh-huh. Α. 24 Okay. And did they refresh any recollection? 25 You said three times it was four years ago.

Page 192

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. And I understand that's quite -- Four years is
- 3 four years. But I want to be clear on something. They
- 4 refreshed your recollection at some point, correct?
- 5 A. No. I mean, it's -- I do not -- I do not
- 6 recall responding or getting this e-mail, I mean, even
- 7 after looking at it. I mean, I got hundreds --
- 8 thousands upon thousands of e-mails, you know, over a
- 9 four-plus-year period. So no, I did not remember this
- 10 specific instance.
- 11 Q. Well, thousands of e-mails over a four-year
- 12 period of time; but you didn't produce thousands. You
- 13 produced what you produced. And I asked if you reviewed
- 14 them, but your testimony is it didn't refresh any
- 15 recollections of your involvement in NRI?
- 16 A. In terms of this specific -- I mean, are you
- 17 asking for this specific incident?
- 18 **Q. Yes.**
- 19 A. No. No, it did not.
- Q. Let me ask you a general question first. Did
- 21 the Governor's office have any involvement in choosing
- 22 lead agencies for the NRI program?
- 23 A. I had nothing to do with that.
- Q. Do you know the answer to my question or not?
- 25 A. No. No.

Page 193

- Q. Do you know if the Governor's office had any
- involvement in choosing subagencies for the NRI program?
- 3 A. I have no knowledge of that.
- 4 Q. Take a look at that document that's in front
- 5 of you, sir. I think it's on the third page. The top
- 6 will say "Andrew Ross."
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. It's your e-mail, right?
- 9 A. Yep.
- 10 Q. Look -- Well, look at the first part. It's
- 11 from Chris Meister, correct?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. It's sent to you, isn't it?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. Would you read this e-mail,
- 16 please, that was sent to you?
- 17 A. The top part?
- 18 Q. The body of it, yes.
- 19 A. I'm sorry. Where did you want me to start?
- 20 Q. The very beginning of the document, the first
- 21 **e-mail**.
- 22 A. Right. "Rob Baren on Don Harmon's staff put
- 23 the statement together. Jack's addition was very
- 24 valuable. The following local press attended.
- 25 Hopefully this story will die. Austin Weekly, Austin

Page 194

- 1 Voice, AustinTalks.org."
- Q. All right. So this is to you. "Jack's
- 3 addition" is Jack Lavin, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, look down below, the second e-mail. This
- 6 is to you, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. This e-mail was sent to you, isn't that right,
- 9 Mr. Ross?
- 10 A. Correct, and to -- Right.
- 11 Q. And others?
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. And it's the statement of Alderman Graham, is
- 14 it not?
- 15 A. It appears to be, yes.
- 16 Q. So let's be clear. Jack Lavin was working on
- 17 a statement for Alderman Graham; isn't that correct?
- 18 A. Well, I have no idea what Jack was or was not
- 19 doing.
- 20 Q. What is your document -- What does the e-mail
- 21 sent to you say?
- 22 A. Yeah, but I don't know -- You know, I can't
- 23 testify as to what Jack did or did not do. I'm just
- 24 looking at a piece of paper right now.
- Q. And it's not refreshing any recollection

Page 195

- 1 whatsoever?
- 2 A. No. No.
- 3 Q. Sir, did you get this e-mail?
- 4 A. More than four years ago, yes.
- 5 Q. Let's go through it. "Statement of Alderman
- 6 Graham. In my roles as State Representative and
- 7 Alderman, I have fought to meet the needs of my
- 8 community as we battle to make our neighborhood safe."
- 9 It says that, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What's the next line say?
- 12 A. "The Governor's Neighborhood Recovery Plan
- 13 Collaborative Violence Prevention Grants targeted over
- 14 20 metropolitan communities."
- 15 Q. All right. And then go down to the fourth
- paragraph and read that, please.
- 17 A. "I recommended respected groups. Respected
- 18 groups applied. And respected community action groups
- 19 were chosen by the Governor's office."
- 20 Q. All right. So is there anything in this -- I
- 21 know you say you don't have a recollection. But as you
- 22 sit here right now testifying under oath, is there
- 23 anything that you just read that you believe is wrong?
- A. I was not part of that process at all.
- 25 Q. You got this e-mail. It was sent to you. Was

Page 196

- 1 it sent to you by mistake? Is that what you're
- 2 suggesting?
- 3 A. No. What I'm saying is that I was not part of
- 4 the NRI component, you know, in regards to the IVPA. I
- 5 had nothing to do with it.
- 6 Q. Let's try it this way. Why were you sent this
- 7 e-mail? Do you recall that?
- 8 A. I have no idea.
- 9 Q. Was this a mistake? Did you respond saying,
- 10 Hey, why am I getting this e-mail?
- 11 A. You would have to ask Chris. I don't know
- 12 why.
- 13 Q. I'm asking what you did with it when you
- 14 received it. Did you do anything with it?
- 15 A. I believe on -- I believe in one of them -- I
- 16 believe I asked what would the alderman say, but that's
- 17 basically all I did.
- 18 Q. Mr. Ross, why are you in the e-mail chain? It
- 19 sounds like this is all one big mistake. And why are
- you in all of these e-mails?
- 21 A. I -- You would have to ask the person that
- 22 sent me the e-mail.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you because you worked for
- 24 him at one time.
- 25 Mr. Lavin sent you e-mails consistently. This

Page 197

- 1 is what this packet is. You produced it.
- 2 A. Actually this was an e-mail --
- 3 O. Mr. Nuckels?
- 4 A. I'm sorry?
- 5 Q. Go on. I'm sorry.
- 6 A. Which e-mail are you referring to? I'm
- 7 talking about this e-mail was initially sent to me from
- 8 not Jack but from Chris Meister.
- 9 Q. Correct. But it references Mr. Lavin, does it
- 10 not, and it also copied Mr. Lavin?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. In fact, it was sent to Mr. Lavin and to you
- jointly, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. So if Mr. Lavin would have a mistake
- 16 about this or if this was something totally erroneous to
- 17 him, one would assume he would speak up; and I'm asking
- 18 you why you didn't if this is all foreign territory to
- 19 **you**.
- 20 A. You know, again, you would have to ask him.
- 21 Q. I'm asking you because you're the recipient of
- 22 this.
- 23 A. You know, again, I can't speak for him. You
- 24 would have to ask him.
- Q. The rest of these e-mails of which you're the

Page 198

- 1 recipient, does any of this stuff ring a bell with you?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And I don't see any replies to you in any of
- 4 these saying, Why am I on this e-mail chain? Why am I
- 5 getting these e-mails? Did I miss that one?
- 6 A. No. I don't have any recollection.
- 7 Q. Mr. Ross, Senator Oberweis asked you some
- 8 questions about the micro loan component, the DCEO
- 9 component of NRI. Were you involved in any way, shape
- 10 or form with communicating with HUD in order to get
- 11 permission --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Let me finish my question, sir. Were you
- 14 involved in any way, shape or form with communicating
- 15 with HUD to get permission from the Federal government
- 16 to use Federal dollars for this micro loan project under
- 17 **NRI?**
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you know who did get permission from HUD?
- 20 A. I don't know the specific individual at DCEO
- 21 who did that.
- Q. Let me ask you this way: What involvement did
- you have with the micro loan component, the DCEO
- 24 component, of NRI?
- 25 A. I would say basically at the beginning I don't

Page 199

- 1 recall a specific conversation with Warren or anyone
- 2 else at DCEO about both programs. But, you know, I did
- 3 work with the agency. So I think it would be fair to --
- 4 it would be fair to assume that I would have some
- 5 conversations with him about what they could potentially
- 6 do in these areas. And reviewing the e-mails, obviously
- 7 there was some traffic leading up to the announcement
- 8 about how this would be described.
- 9 Q. Do you remember anything else about this
- 10 project?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Excuse me one second.
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 (Brief pause.)
- 15 BY REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK:
- 16 Q. Mr. Ross, going back to the documents you
- 17 produced responsive to the subpoena, if you could go to
- 18 the fourth page. I think it's the fourth page. It
- 19 starts with "via sent Blackberry by AT&T" and it's an
- 20 **e-mail**.
- 21 A. Mm-hmm. Yeah. Okay.
- Q. That e-mail is from you, is it not?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. I'm going to read it. It says, "He was
- 25 there." Is that correct?

Page 200

- 1 A. Mm-hmm.
- 2 Q. All right. There's other e-mail responses
- 3 that you have in this chain.
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Isn't that right?
- 6 A. Yeah. I think I said there was one other one.
- 7 Q. Let's find that one. It's actually two pages
- 8 over.
- 9 A. Two pages?
- 10 Q. Yeah. And this e-mail was from you to Chris
- 11 Meister, copies to Jack Lavin and Mica Matsoff; isn't
- 12 that correct?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Yes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. It's regarding the Governor's
- 17 Neighborhood Recovery Plan Collaborative Violence
- 18 Prevention Grants. And it says, "How will the alderman
- 19 respond to this if asked tomorrow? Thanks." Those are
- 20 your words, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So you obviously had some involvement
- 23 with Alderman Graham's press conference, press statement
- 24 or press inquiries with respect to what she was involved
- 25 with; isn't that correct?

Page 201

- 1 A. Yeah. I mean, the e-mail says that.
- 2 Q. All right. So other than what's written
- 3 here -- And again, you have no recollection of this,
- 4 right? You're just reading it now?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Nothing further.
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Further questions?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Seeing no further
- 10 questions, Mr. Ross, thank you for appearing before us
- 11 and you're dismissed.
- MR. ROSS: Thank you so much.
- 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Do we have any further --
- 14 I know that we left open the possibility of talking with
- 15 Mr. Cutrone. I do not believe there's anyone seeking
- 16 discussion of him.
- 17 So do we have any more witnesses to come
- 18 before us, Miss Stricklin?
- 19 MS. STRICKLIN: No.
- 20 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: To the audit itself,
- 21 Senator Barickman and I have discussed where we go from
- 22 here; and in the course of the -- We have now devoted
- 23 about 40 hours of time to either witnesses or
- 24 discussions on this issue itself, and what I'd like to
- 25 do is ask Jason if he'd like to do any comments and just

Page 202

- 1 kind of tell us what we're -- tell you what our next
- 2 steps going forward are.
- 3 I thank you for -- everyone for their patience
- 4 and work as we've gone through this process, and we will
- 5 probably -- I don't know that we will need a motion.
- Jason, why don't you go ahead and make some
- 7 comments.
- 8 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Sure. Thanks, Mr. Chairman,
- 9 and to the members for their tireless work over these
- 10 long two days. I think it's safe to say that we have
- 11 performed our duty as required by law and performed a
- 12 very thorough vetting of what I believe is one of the
- 13 most critical audits in the history of the Auditor
- 14 General's office.
- 15 I think the Commission has had the opportunity
- 16 to ask questions of Governor Quinn's top staff related
- 17 to the creation and implementation of this failed NRI
- 18 program, and I think the e-mails that have been produced
- 19 as a result of the Commission's work have confirmed the
- 20 Governor's governmental Chief of Staff is a campaign
- 21 issue campaign advantage in /T-BG areas of the state
- 22 ^ chk check ^ chk. But we continue to receive more
- 23 e-mails. We received I believe some 200 over the last
- 24 24 hours or 48 maybe. I don't know that we're confident
- 25 as to what else may come in.

Page 203

Fax: 314.644.1334

We had to take the extraordinary step of 1 2 issuing subpoenaes to get Quinn's top aides to compel 3 them to appear and produce documents and answer questions regarding the audit. Through the audit and 4 5 the subsequent testimony, the Commission has learned 6 much about how this program was created in haste, how 7 grants were rushed out to communities without a bidding 8 process, how some violent communities received funding while others did not and many examples of how money was simply wasted. I think these revelations will help the 10 legislature in their goal to make sure this kind of 11 12 debacle and waste of taxpayer dollars doesn't happen 13 again. I think what's most frustrating, though, is 14 that after all of these hours and hours of testimony, 15 no one would take responsibility for the program. I 16 17 mean, it came from somewhere. It was a creation of this program. None of the witnesses took responsibility for 18 choosing which communities were chosen and received 19 20 grants. No one took responsibility for determining 21 which vendors were chosen. It's still unclear who was responsible for the critical decisions that led to the 22 waste of some 55 million taxpayer dollars. 23 And the inconsistencies that were heard from 24 25 the witnesses can't be missed. In over two days of

Page 204

- 1 hearings, we had witnesses more at odds than anything.
- 2 We still have fundamental questions about the audit that
- 3 have gone unanswered, and I think we agree we need some
- 4 time to digest this. We need to review the documents
- 5 that have come in. We -- you know, hours and hours of
- 6 testimony. I think we need to think about what we
- 7 heard, the inconsistencies, and continue to do our due
- 8 diligence.
- 9 It's our understanding that the Auditor
- 10 General is working -- or we will have an opportunity to
- 11 review the Auditor General's work on the third and
- 12 fourth year of the NRI spending. That is an audit that
- 13 I believe is in the works. And I think by agreement we
- 14 are now working with the Auditor General on what will
- 15 become a request to look at the DCEO piece of this and
- 16 make sure that we have an audit of that so we know more
- 17 about what occurred there.
- 18 So we will continue working to ensure that
- 19 we're good stewards of public money and working to
- 20 determine what remedies can be undertaken to prevent
- 21 such a flagrant abuse of taxpayer dollars in Chicago and
- 22 all around the city.
- So I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your
- 24 work and your cooperation through this. Thank you.
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: Thank you to the members

Page 205

- 1 and the people who -- the staffs, especially through the
- 2 course of this process. It began back in April and May
- 3 and has been very divisive. In some areas Chairman
- 4 Barickman and I did not always agree on many of the
- 5 issues.
- As to, you know, my thoughts, I think that
- 7 with the audit itself, we had a history through past
- 8 years when audits are brought forward and there is
- 9 another audit which is currently in practice -- We are
- 10 currently under a resolution by Representative Reis
- 11 auditing years 3 and 4. I think we have had very, very
- 12 full testimony, e-mails, hours of staff time, hours of
- 13 work and expense by the witnesses as well as the
- 14 Attorney General's office in preparation for the
- 15 meetings that we have held today.
- 16 Whenever we have an audit which is out and
- 17 another outstanding, we will -- or in the past, we can
- 18 leave the audit open and that's our intent today. We
- 19 may receive additional documents. And so for this audit
- 20 in order to receive additional documents, we will
- 21 continue to hold that open; and this spring I believe we
- 22 should have the audits on years 3 and 4.
- 23 As we have gone forward, Criminal Justice
- 24 Information Authority now has control of part of the
- 25 project; and part of it is also located within other

Page 206

- 1 agencies. Violence is still a problem. It remains a
- 2 problem. And we have seen corrections and actions.
- 3 Criminal Justice Information Authority has accepted all
- 4 19 of the findings.
- 5 To my thoughts, the e-mails and the items that
- 6 we have received in, though not adding -- They just
- 7 proved the 19 findings were correct. And that in the
- 8 spirit of transparency and doing what we do here at the
- 9 Audit Commission, which is try to fix things going
- 10 forward, that is our position. That's what we should
- 11 do. Jason and I are going to keep the audit open. We
- 12 will allow for additional e-mails.
- 13 Also, there is a resolution, which our next
- 14 meeting, which will be November 19th in Springfield, the
- 15 start of veto session, with another meeting coming up on
- 16 the 10th; and we will have different agencies to look
- 17 at. But we may have a resolution ready because there
- 18 may be some changes necessary to Representative Reis's
- 19 audit. We did talk about the DCEO component, and that
- 20 is not in his audit. So that can only happen with an
- 21 action from this body.
- 22 So we will work with the Auditor General to
- 23 bring forward that, and I think it is relevant because
- 24 it was discussed here in the scope of what we have done.
- 25 Hopefully then we can go forward and close the audits

Page 207

- 1 out when our questions have been answered, and we will
- 2 continue to work for the good of the people of the State
- 3 of Illinois.
- 4 I do not think the program itself was a total
- 5 failure as I've been out and seen some of the things
- 6 that exist today, and I've seen some of the programs --
- 7 the after-school lock-in programs. I've sat in the
- 8 mentoring programs and gone actually to some of the
- 9 communities that did get awarded and some that didn't
- 10 get awarded. And in doing that, my determination was
- 11 there are problems within all of them and it's tough to
- 12 say that any of these places -- They are not Mayberry.
- 13 There are real problems in them.
- So a problem that we should look to solve
- 15 going forward is, How do you deal with this on a global
- 16 scale? And so that's what I hope comes from this. I
- 17 wish everyone a good day. I thank you all for your
- 18 patience.
- 19 And with that, I would entertain a motion to
- 20 adjourn the Audit Commission.
- 21 REPRESENTATIVE SANDACK: In unison.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE MAUTINO: In unison. Okay. Motion
- 23 passes. Thank you for your cooperation.
- 24 (The meeting of the above-entitled
- 25 cause was adjourned at 3:58 p.m.)

| | | Page 208 |
|----|--|----------|
| 1 | STATE OF ILLINOIS) | |
| 2 |) SS. | |
| 3 | COUNTY OF COOK) | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Peggy A. Cuda, being first duly sworn, on oath | |
| 6 | says that she is a Certified Shorthand Reporter, | |
| 7 | Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime | |
| 8 | Reporter business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook | |
| 9 | and the State of Illinois; | |
| 10 | That she reported in shorthand the proceedings | |
| 11 | had at the foregoing meeting; | |
| 12 | And that the foregoing is a true and correct | |
| 13 | transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid | |
| 14 | and contains all of the proceedings had at the said | |
| 15 | meeting. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | PEGGY A. CUDA, CSR, RDR, CRR | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| A | accomplished | 123:21 124:1 | 84:14 110:7 | 46:1 57:2 94:17 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| AA 45:8 | 12:2,11 46:12 | 124:12 195:18 | 123:9 185:2 | 95:7 96:4 |
| abdicated 160:5 | 47:9 48:9,12 | 206:21 | addresses 68:22 | aftermath |
| ability 20:12 | accomplishment | actions 5:8 69:4 | addressing 34:15 | 174:15 |
| 72:16 145:19 | 46:19,20,21 | 77:12 84:23 | 47:14 52:13 | afternoon 167:23 |
| 160:23 164:9 | 49:4 52:3,8 | 124:7 206:2 | 77:21,22 95:9 | 167:24 175:9 |
| able 16:11 24:9 | 54:22,22 55:5 | active 24:12 | 100:3 111:7 | 182:4 191:3,4 |
| 29:7 80:20 | 56:18,20,23,24 | 172:18 | 146:16 151:18 | after-school |
| 107:24,25 | 62:23,24 63:2 | activities 145:17 | adequately | 165:9 207:7 |
| 140:25 158:12 | 81:25 98:16 | 147:12 157:13 | 127:17 | agencies 13:9 |
| abolish 5:9 65:20 | accomplishme | acts 36:6 | adjourn 207:20 | 30:18 51:22 |
| 77:13 | 12:20 48:1 | actual 140:18 | adjourned 2:8 | 59:19,21 60:11 |
| abolished 66:10 | 55:20 57:8 | 152:4 | 207:25 | 60:16,21 61:1 |
| above-entitled | 61:20 70:10 | ad 45:23 | administer 25:17 | 74:6,12,22 75:3 |
| 207:24 | 81:19,22 98:24 | add 9:14 10:18 | 145:19 | 89:19 91:15 |
| absence 84:19 | account 129:3 | 24:9 25:7 37:21 | administered | 126:12 128:22 |
| absolute 153:19 | 131:19 140:23 | 74:2,5 103:24 | 10:6 61:5 | 129:21,22 |
| | accountability | 134:1 135:9 | 183:16 | 131:22 143:4,4 |
| absolutely 53:1 | 86:5 87:11,16 | 137:24 138:12 | administering | 143:12 144:2 |
| 53:19 82:3 | 88:24 162:16 | added 27:10 | 12:25 14:7 23:9 | 144:15,21 |
| 87:23 90:18 | accountable | 30:21 118:13 | administers | 145:24 146:3 |
| 92:10 93:16 | 23:14 83:14 | 128:12 134:18 | 150:8 | 148:8,9,16 |
| 96:20 101:1 | 155:22 156:15 | 134:22 135:11 | administration | 153:24 154:6,8 |
| 121:4 | 157:25 | 141:14 | 9:2 23:22 37:9 | 154:19 165:3 |
| abundance 116:2 | accountant 92:5 | adding 7:3 18:5 | 68:12 75:10 | 171:20,23 |
| abundant 115:23 | accounting 105:9 | 206:6 | 81:13 85:12 | 183:8 187:11 |
| abuse 30:12 | accounts 99:10 | addition 86:7 | 86:1 131:24 | 192:22 206:1 |
| 154:8 162:10 | 128:10 | 193:23 194:3 | 152:20 153:1,8 | 206:16 |
| 204:21 | accumulate | additional 30:21 | 152.20 153.1,8 | |
| abused 160:21 | 121:22 | | | agency 12:3 |
| 160:22 | | 104:8,8 140:24 | 157:18 176:9 | 21:18 22:9,10 |
| abuse/neglect | accurate 123:23 | 145:9 205:19 | administrations | 22:22 23:8,13 |
| 157:25 | 177:11 | 205:20 206:12 | 86:2 | 23:18 60:24,25 |
| academic 85:6 | accustomed | address 6:18 | admits 118:8 | 76:10,11 87:19 |
| accept 3:19 69:18 | 89:18 | 8:19 34:20,25 | adopted 4:3 | 105:15 108:24 |
| 127:21 181:20 | achieved 83:17 | 35:21 40:13 | 123:7 | 109:1 122:14 |
| acceptance 75:5 | acknowledge | 68:8,8 86:6 | adults 7:24 | 127:21 129:9 |
| accepted 164:17 | 10:11 114:6,7 | 87:20 88:6 | advantage | 129:15 139:19 |
| 206:3 | acknowledged | 92:16 94:16 | 202:21 | 143:15 144:6 |
| accepting 146:17 | 10:10 168:14 | 110:23 111:4 | advised 31:20 | 144:10 149:21 |
| access 10:24 | act 5:18 67:10 | 111:14,25 | Advisor 166:15 | 154:23 188:3 |
| accessible 191:6 | 86:5 87:11 | 112:6,24 113:5 | affiliated 44:3 | 188:18 199:3 |
| accolades 126:11 | 127:18,20 | 114:3 117:22 | affiliations 44:12 | agenda 159:11 |
| accompanied | 146:10 | 133:1 143:21 | 44:15 | 172:19 |
| 162:23 | acting 60:12 | 147:12 151:4 | afflicted 18:10 | agent 60:12 |
| accomplish | action 6:2,3 7:11 | 174:4,18 | aforesaid 208:13 | ago 30:8 42:16 |
| 47:25 91:18 | 15:10 16:7,10 | addressed 5:11 | African-Ameri | 43:10 58:20 |
| 184:16 | 38:14 110:4 | 56:22 77:16 | 40:8 45:9,24 | 90:6 108:16 |

| 172:4,47,22 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 182:21 184:22 173:4 43:6 anual 30:18 159:4 159:4 159:4 159:4 159:2 158:18 159:2 158:18 156:22 157:14 158:11 160:14 158:11 160:14 168:1 176:4 179:2 168:1 176:4 179:2 168:1 176:4 179:2 179:2 179:5 | 123:7 177:24 | 172:4,4,7,22 | announcements | applaud 93:2,4 | 134:7 138:2 |
| 186:14 191:25 139:22 158:18 156:3 157:21 158:18 156:2 157:14 158:19 206:12 158:9 206:12 161:17 178:12 182:10 168:1 176:14 178:12 182:10 168:1 169:15 207:1 169:15 207:1 169:15 207:1 169:15 207:1 169:15 207:1 164:3 179:15 180:5 179:16 200:18,23 166:10 174:12 178:12 182:10 168:1 176:20 180:14 179:20 180:18 179:16 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:22 180:14 179:21 180:15 179:21 180:21 179:2 | | | | | |
| 195:4 | | aldermen's | annual 30:18 | | |
| 86:11 109:6 20:11 15:15 156:22 157:14 158:9 206:12 20:14 204:3 205:4 20:14 204:3 205:4 alluded 98:3 116:17 137:21,22 20:3 agreed 88:1 20:24 203:3 agreed 88:1 20:24 203:3 agreement 10:1 168:1 20:24 203:3 agreed 88:1 20:25 35:18 20:26 36:18,19 20:24 alderman 106:22 127:3 analogy 40:2,3 anit17:8 analogy 40:2,3 anit17:8 20:24 203:2 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 anityolence 7:10 agree 60:10,15,18 130:24 213:13 213:10 20:16 98:10 20:18,23 alderman 28:15 59:16,20 60:9 40:10,15,18 59:20 186:18 59:20 186:18 59:20 29:11 48:15 20:29 29:10,24 10:55,7 196:16 20:11,23 20:14 48:14 45:23 125:9,25 8:16,20 60:9 40:14 4:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:19,22 40:71,117; 20:19 40:04 44:12,9 and/or 52:17 33:20 40:04,4 4 14:2,9 14:25 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 14:8 14:8,14 announcement 20:10 almouncement 20:10 almounc | | 139:22 | | | areas 18:25 |
| 86:11 109:6 20:11 15:15 156:22 157:14 158:9 206:12 20:14 204:3 205:4 20:14 204:3 205:4 alluded 98:3 116:17 137:21,22 20:3 agreed 88:1 20:24 203:3 agreed 88:1 20:24 203:3 agreement 10:1 168:1 20:24 203:3 agreed 88:1 20:25 35:18 20:26 36:18,19 20:24 alderman 106:22 127:3 analogy 40:2,3 anit17:8 analogy 40:2,3 anit17:8 20:24 203:2 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 anityolence 7:10 agree 60:10,15,18 130:24 213:13 213:10 20:16 98:10 20:18,23 alderman 28:15 59:16,20 60:9 40:10,15,18 59:20 186:18 59:20 186:18 59:20 29:11 48:15 20:29 29:10,24 10:55,7 196:16 20:11,23 20:14 48:14 45:23 125:9,25 8:16,20 60:9 40:14 4:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:14 45:23 125:9,21 4 48:19,22 40:71,117; 20:19 40:04 44:12,9 and/or 52:17 33:20 40:04,4 4 14:2,9 14:25 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 143:10,22 14:25 14:33 14:8 14:8,14 announcement 20:10 almouncement 20:10 almounc | agree 8:11 82:2 | allocate 57:20 | 158:18 | applications 29:7 | 27:10 31:2 |
| 120:11 151:15 | \mathbf{c} | | answer 8:8,10 | | |
| 156:22 157:14 158:9 206:12 alluded 98:3 120:13 133:22 132:22 205:3 agreed 88:1 117:6 127:23 agreement 10:1 168:1 168:1 190:2 203:2 23:31 23:9 152:10 164:3 23:10 168:18 177:23 air 17:8 203:2 203:3 203:9 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:3 203:9 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:3 203:9 203:2 203:2 203:3 203:9 203:2 | 120:11 151:15 | _ | 9:6 23:16 55:23 | | 102:22 134:9 |
| 204:3 205:4 agreed 88:1 agreement 10:1 117:6 127:23 agreement 10:1 116:4 204:13 ahead 13:2 115:23 152:18 190:2 answered 56:12 albeit 22:4 albeit 22:4 albeit 22:4 albeit 22:4 albeit 22:4 albeit 23:1 13:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 132:18 13:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 132:18 133:13 13:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 136:18 187:3 aldermanic 59:10,20 60:10,15,18 61:174:12 97:10,11,17 110:3 124:21 127:3 answers 68:10,15 187:3 aldermen 28:15 59:20 186:18 187:3 aldermen 28:15 59:10,20 60:9 60:10,15,18 61:174:12 19:5 20:11 44:7 110:3 124:21 127:23 andyst 51:20 20:10 40:4,4 140:22 140:24 141:2,9 140:24 141:2,9 142:5 143:3 143:10,22 142:5 143:3 143:10,22 142:5 143:3 143:10,22 142:5 143:3 143:10,22 144:18,14 announcement 38:18 75:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:173:12 169:23 174:16 175:21 10:10 12:13 13:24:11 17:24 123:0 144:18,14 announcement 38:18 75:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:17,112.1 131:17:21,22 13:22 20:53 argupointment 11:19 159:18 118:11,11 129:518 argumont 129:13 argument 1 | 156:22 157:14 | 158:9 206:12 | 56:13 89:14 | | 159:1 165:18 |
| agreed 88:1 117:6 127:23 agreement 10:1 116:4 204:13 ahead 13:2 183:13 202:6 aides 185:19 203:2 127:3 albeit 22:4 alderman 106:22 129:14 186:5 129:14 186:5 139:15,7 196:16 200:18,23 aldermanic 59:20 186:18 187:3 aldermanic 59:20 186:18 187:3 aldermanic 59:16,20 60:9 60:10,15,18 61:1 74:12 97:10,11,17 110:3 124:21 23:24 124:9 46:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 129:14 129:13 analyst 50:18,19 59:16,20 60:9 60:10,15,18 61:1 74:12 97:10,11,17 110:3 124:21 129:14 23:20 62:17 32:24 124:9 63:17 129:6 138:20 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 138:21 139:24 140:10,12,13 141:11,115 152:10 164:3 118:17,129:6 152:10 164:3 179:180:5 118:17,129:18 141:11,110 123:3 118:17,129:18 141:11,110 143:13,13 143:10,21 141:11,110 152:10 141:13,181:10 141:13,181 141:11,11 152:10 141:13,181 152:10 141:13,181 132:13 139:14 141:11,15,16 141:12,511:14 141:11,15,16 141:12,515:14 140:10,12,13 140:10,12,13 140:10,12,13 140:10,12,13 140:10,12,13 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,16 141:12,15,14 140:17,147:13 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,14 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,14 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,14 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,14 141:11,15,16 141:12,15,14 141:11,15,16 141:12,11,11 141:11,110 141:13,161:13 141:13,16:13 141:13,16:13 141:13,16:13 141:13,16:13 141:13,16:13 141:13,16:13 141:13 | 158:11 160:14 | alluded 98:3 | 122:13 133:22 | 35:25 75:17 | 199:6 202:21 |
| 117:6 127:23 agreement 10:1 168:1 192:24 203:3 appreciate 11:5 178:12 182:10 178 | 204:3 205:4 | 116:17 | 137:21,22 | 132:22 | 205:3 |
| agreement 10:1 168:1 192:24 203:3 171:23 123:1 appreciate 11:5 123:1 argument 129:13 ahead 13:2 115:23 152:18 190:2 answered 56:12 23:3 123:9 argument 129:13 arguments 23:1 183:13 202:6 amounts 25:21 169:15 207:1 23:3 123:9 arguments 23:10 118:17 129:6 aides 185:19 amounts 25:21 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:21 arguments 129:13 arguments 23:1 189:16 arguments 23:21 arguments 23:23 189:16 189:16 arguments 23:21 189:16 argument 129:13 argument 129:13 argument 23:13 312:3 189:16 argument 129:13 argument 129:13 argument 129:13 argument 129:13 argument 23:13 32:3 318:13 318:13 318:13 32:25:14 318:13 318:13 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:14 32:25:1 | agreed 88:1 | aloud 48:17 | 139:7,8 167:14 | appointment | arguably 116:15 |
| 116:4 204:13 amount 20:14 answered 56:12 appreciate 11:5 argument 129:13 aldead 13:2 115:23 152:18 169:15 207:1 23:3 123:9 118:17 129:6 aides 185:19 amounts 25:21 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:21 203:2 127:3 answers 68:14,15 answers 68:14,15 appreciative 118:17 129:6 albeit 22:4 analysis 31:2 antiviolence 7:10 appreciative arrived 2:2 alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 35:25 46:6 approach 12:4 180:20 187:13,18 130:45, 513:10 52:1,9 55:8 approached 180:20 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 appropropriate 100:18 10:10 200:18,23 190:17 15:24 123:20 16:12 1 188:17 168:13,18 187:3 anderson 83:6 50:20 anymore 67:2 approval 185:8 article 100:12,14 19:5 19:36 anymore 67:2 approval 185:8 article 168:19 | O | ambiguities | 178:12 182:10 | | · · |
| 116:4 204:13 anount 20:14 answered 56:12 appreciate 11:5 argument 129:13 alaead 13:2 190:2 answering 56:1 23:3 123:9 118:17 129:6 aides 185:19 amounts 25:21 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:12 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 answers 68:14,15 appreciative 159:12 alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 77:21 185:7 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approach 12:4 180:20 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 approached art 6:8 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 115:24 123:20 16:12 188:17 100:12,14 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 138:24 49:16 49:29 49:16 49:29 166:13,188:11 187:3 anderson 83:6 50:20 anymore 67:2 approval 185:8 article 100:12,14 187:3 answering 56:1 approval 185:8 article 100:12,14 187:3 <td< td=""><td>agreement 10:1</td><td>168:1</td><td>192:24 203:3</td><td>171:23</td><td>123:1</td></td<> | agreement 10:1 | 168:1 | 192:24 203:3 | 171:23 | 123:1 |
| ahead 13:2 115:23 152:18 169:15 207:1 23:3 123:9 arguments aides 185:19 amounts 25:21 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:10 164:3 118:17 129:6 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 answers 68:14,15 189:16 arresting 121:5 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 antiviolence 7:10 appreciative arrived 2:2 129:14 186:5 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrived 2:2 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approach 12:4 approach 12:4 arrives 180:17 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 approached article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 appropriate 100:18 10:10 187:3 aldermanic analyst 50:18,19 50:20 anybody 8:17 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:16,20 60:9 Anderson 83:6 138:20 approved 7:15 approved 7:15 articles 168:19 61:1 74:12 And 14:4 45:23 175:6 178:22 approved 7:15 arked 5:20 15:5 | 116:4 204:13 | amount 20:14 | answered 56:12 | appreciate 11:5 | argument 129:13 |
| 183:13 202:6 aides 185:19 203:2 203:2 127:3 answering 56:1 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:21 arresting 121:5 arrived 2:2 alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 approach 12:4 180:20 arrives 180:17 arrives | ahead 13:2 | 115:23 152:18 | 169:15 207:1 | 23:3 123:9 | |
| aides 185:19 amounts 25:21 164:3 179:5 180:5 159:21 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 answers 68:14,15 189:16 arresting 121:5 albeit 22:4 analysis 31:2 63:14,14.25 asises 68:14,15 56:12 arrived 2:2 129:14 186:5 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrives 180:17 187:13,18 130:44,5 131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approach 12:4 180:20 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 article 100:12,14 299:20 186:18 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 123:24 124:9 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:16,20 60:9 Anderson 83:6 Anderson 83:6 Andrew 181:5,24 approved 3:16 approved 3:16 approved 7:15 articles 168:19 61:1 74:12 Andy 1:13 17:22 175:6 178:22 approved 3:16 approved 7:15 articles 168:19 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:1 76:5,7 180:23 188:20 approved 3:16 approved 3:16 | 183:13 202:6 | 190:2 | answering 56:1 | 152:10 164:3 | C |
| 203:2 127:3 answers 68:14,15 189:16 arresting 121:5 air 117:8 analogy 40:2,3 analysis 31:2 15:17,19 21:9 56:12 114:15 166:14 albeit 22:4 alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 35:25 46:6 approach 12:4 180:20 129:14 186:5 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrives 180:17 187:13,18 130:45,131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approached article 100:12,14 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 200:18,23 analyst 50:18,19 50:20 analyst 50:18,19 50:20 appropriate 100:18 101:10 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 50:20 anybody 8:17 approval 185:8 article 100:12,14 187:3 Anderson 83:6 Anderwa 181:5,24 anymore 67:2 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 59:16,20 60:9 Andy 1:13 17:22 19:5 20:11 44:7 19:5 20:11 44:7 183:20 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 19:5 20:11 44:7 185:24 Appr | aides 185:19 | amounts 25:21 | _ | 179:5 180:5 | 159:21 |
| albeit 22:4 analysis 31:2 15:17,19 21:9 56:12 114:15 166:14 alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 35:25 46:6 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrives 180:17 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 55:25,95:8 approach 12:4 180:20 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 approached art 6:8 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 appropriate 100:18 101:10 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 Appropriations 168:13,18 aldermanic 50:20 analysts 51:20 anybody 8:17 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:16,20 60:9 Anderson 83:6 38:20 approved 185:8 approved 185:8 approved 7:15 asked 5:20 15:5 61:1 74:12 Andy 1:13 17:22 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 asked 5:20 15:5 97:10,11,7 19:5 20:11 44:7 17:6:6 178:22 approximate 16:4 36:15 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:27,23 14 | 203:2 | 127:3 | answers 68:14,15 | 189:16 | arresting 121:5 |
| alderman 106:22 63:14,14,25 35:25 46:6 approach 12:4 180:20 129:14 186:5 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrives 180:17 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approached art 6:8 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 approached art 6:8 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 100:18 101:10 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 168:13,18 aldermanic 50:20 anybotdy 8:17 Appropriate 169:1,3 185:18 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybotdy 8:17 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 aldermen 28:15 59:16,20 60:9 Anderson 83:6 138:20 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 61:1 74:12 And 13 17:22 apart 41:2 175:4 approval 185:24 articles 168:19 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 approval 185:8 approval 185:8 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 175:6 178:22 apperal 150:12 <td>air 117:8</td> <td>analogy 40:2,3</td> <td>antiviolence 7:10</td> <td>appreciative</td> <td>arrived 2:2</td> | air 117:8 | analogy 40:2,3 | antiviolence 7:10 | appreciative | arrived 2:2 |
| 129:14 186:5 64:17 128:9 47:16 49:22 177:21 185:7 arrives 180:17 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 appropriate 161:12 188:17 168:13,18 168:13,18 168:13,18 168:13,18 169:17 article 100:12,14 100:18 101:10 168:13,18 169:13, 185:18 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 50:20 anybody 8:17 approval 185:8 article 100:12,14 168:13,18 168:13,18 169:13, 185:18 169:13, 18 | albeit 22:4 | analysis 31:2 | 15:17,19 21:9 | 56:12 | 114:15 166:14 |
| 187:13,18 130:4,5 131:10 52:1,9 55:8 approached art 6:8 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 appropriate 100:18 101:10 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 168:13,18 aldermanic analyst 50:18,19 123:24 124:9 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybody 8:17 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 Andrew 181:5,24 approve 3:16 approve 3:16 168:23 59:16,20 60:9 Andrew 181:5,24 apart 41:2 175:4 28:10 138:24 articles 168:19 61:1 74:12 Andy 1:13 17:22 apart 41:2 175:4 28:10 138:24 asked 5:20 15:5 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 asked 5:20 15:5 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 182:22 appearente 205:2 | alderman 106:22 | 63:14,14,25 | 35:25 46:6 | approach 12:4 | 180:20 |
| 194:13,17 131:13,13,19 56:22 68:23 134:8 article 100:12,14 195:5,7 196:16 132:8 133:13 72:4 95:5 161:12 188:17 100:18 101:10 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 168:13,18 aldermanic analyst 50:18,19 123:24 124:9 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybody 8:17 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 Andrew 181:5,24 approve 3:16 168:23 60:10,15,18 193:6 anymore 67:2 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 asked 5:20 15:5 10:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 129:7,23 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 201:10 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 17:21 184:5 19 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 | 129:14 186:5 | 64:17 128:9 | 47:16 49:22 | 177:21 185:7 | arrives 180:17 |
| 195:5,7 196:16 200:18,23 132:8 133:13 190:17 72:4 95:5 115:24 123:20 appropriate 161:12 188:17 100:18 101:10 168:13,18 aldermanic 59:20 186:18 187:3 analyst 50:18,19 50:20 123:24 124:9 anybody 8:17 20:16 98:10 Appropriations 81:20 approval 185:8 approved 185:24 articles 168:19 168:23 approved 7:15 a | 187:13,18 | 130:4,5 131:10 | 52:1,9 55:8 | approached | art 6:8 |
| 200:18,23 190:17 115:24 123:20 161:12 188:17 168:13,18 aldermanic analyst 50:18,19 123:24 124:9 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybody 8:17 20:16 98:10 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 Andrew 181:5,24 anymore 67:2 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 60:10,15,18 193:6 Andy 1:13 17:22 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 10:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximate 16:4 36:15 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 appear 150:12 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 103:16 107:2 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:18,14 announcement | 194:13,17 | 131:13,13,19 | 56:22 68:23 | 134:8 | article 100:12,14 |
| aldermanic analyst 50:18,19 123:24 124:9 anybody 8:17 Appropriations 169:1,3 185:18 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybody 8:17 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 138:20 approve 3:16 168:23 59:16,20 60:9 Andrew 181:5,24 anymore 67:2 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 60:10,15,18 193:6 Andy 1:13 17:22 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 < | 195:5,7 196:16 | 132:8 133:13 | 72:4 95:5 | appropriate | 100:18 101:10 |
| 59:20 186:18 50:20 anybody 8:17 81:20 185:24 aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 138:20 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 59:16,20 60:9 Andrew 181:5,24 anymore 67:2 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 60:10,15,18 193:6 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 15:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 annou | 200:18,23 | 190:17 | 115:24 123:20 | 161:12 188:17 | 168:13,18 |
| 187:3 analysts 51:20 20:16 98:10 approval 185:8 articles 168:19 59:16,20 60:9 Andrew 181:5,24 138:20 approve 3:16 168:23 60:10,15,18 193:6 apart 41:2 175:4 approved 7:15 asked 5:20 15:5 61:1 74:12 Andy 1:13 17:22 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 asked 5:20 15:5 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 <t< td=""><td>aldermanic</td><td>analyst 50:18,19</td><td>123:24 124:9</td><td>Appropriations</td><td>169:1,3 185:18</td></t<> | aldermanic | analyst 50:18,19 | 123:24 124:9 | Appropriations | 169:1,3 185:18 |
| aldermen 28:15 Anderson 83:6 138:20 approve 3:16 168:23 59:16,20 60:9 4ndrew 181:5,24 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 60:10,15,18 193:6 28:10 138:24 asked 5:20 15:5 61:1 74:12 4ndy 1:13 17:22 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 appear 150:12 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 127:7,24 128:2 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area | 59:20 186:18 | 50:20 | anybody 8:17 | 81:20 | 185:24 |
| 59:16,20 60:9 Andrew 181:5,24 anymore 67:2 approved 7:15 artwork 6:12 60:10,15,18 193:6 Andy 1:13 17:22 apart 41:2 175:4 approved 7:15 asked 5:20 15:5 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 appear 150:12 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 129:7,23 180:24 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 187:3 | analysts 51:20 | 20:16 98:10 | approval 185:8 | articles 168:19 |
| 60:10,15,18 193:6 apart 41:2 175:4 28:10 138:24 asked 5:20 15:5 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 10:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:24 141:2,9 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | aldermen 28:15 | Anderson 83:6 | 138:20 | approve 3:16 | 168:23 |
| 61:1 74:12 Andy 1:13 17:22 apparently Approximate 16:4 36:15 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 76:5,7 180:23 appear 150:12 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 127:7,24 128:2 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:24 141:2,9 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 55:11 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 59:16,20 60:9 | Andrew 181:5,24 | anymore 67:2 | approved 7:15 | artwork 6:12 |
| 97:10,11,17 19:5 20:11 44:7 138:15 144:4 183:21 39:24 56:12 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 76:5,7 180:23 175:6 178:22 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 127:7,24 128:2 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 60:10,15,18 | 193:6 | apart 41:2 175:4 | 28:10 138:24 | asked 5:20 15:5 |
| 110:3 124:21 44:14 45:23 175:6 178:22 approximately 63:22 64:2 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 61:1 74:12 | Andy 1:13 17:22 | apparently | Approximate | 16:4 36:15 |
| 125:9,21,24 76:5,7 180:23 appear 150:12 188:22 189:5,6 71:23 76:13 127:7,24 128:2 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 97:10,11,17 | 19:5 20:11 44:7 | 138:15 144:4 | 183:21 | 39:24 56:12 |
| 127:7,24 128:2 180:24 158:5 203:3 April 16:17,17 103:16 107:2 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 110:3 124:21 | 44:14 45:23 | 175:6 178:22 | approximately | 63:22 64:2 |
| 129:7,23 and/or 52:17 appearance 205:2 128:10,11 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 announced 32:23 1:9 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 125:9,21,24 | 76:5,7 180:23 | appear 150:12 | 188:22 189:5,6 | 71:23 76:13 |
| 139:20 140:4,4 84:19,22 182:22 arbitrary 141:7 131:10 133:24 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 announced 32:23 1:9 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 127:7,24 128:2 | | 158:5 203:3 | | 103:16 107:2 |
| 140:7,11,19,22 117:21 184:5 APPEARANC 141:11,15,16 136:18 137:19 140:24 141:2,9 announced 32:23 1:9 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 140:24 141:2,9 announced 32:23 1:9 141:25 151:14 140:10,12,13 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | • | |
| 142:5,14,15,20 46:24,25 47:2 appeared 132:18 151:16 140:17 147:13 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | | | | | |
| 142:25 143:3 52:7 54:23 153:24 186:1 area 6:19 19:4 147:22 167:13 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | 1 | | 1:9 | | |
| 143:10,22 55:11 appearing 47:16 49:19 168:5 169:21 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | | · · | | | |
| 144:1,8,14 announcement 201:10 52:11 73:12 169:23 174:16 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | | | | | |
| 171:19,19,20 38:18 75:11 appears 137:3 93:15,22 100:4 175:17,19,21 | | 55:11 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 171:23 172:1,2 199:7 152:20 194:15 100:20 102:10 176:7 182:13 | , , , | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| | 171:23 172:1,2 | 199:7 | 152:20 194:15 | 100:20 102:10 | 176:7 182:13 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| | i | - | i | - |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 192:13 196:16 | attend 144:11 | 123:1,3 125:22 | Auditor's 131:4 | 26:10 34:24 |
| 198:7 200:19 | attendance | 125:24 126:5 | audits 66:20,23 | 64:10,13 68:11 |
| asking 50:8,19 | 144:10 | 127:1,1 128:24 | 90:14 92:22,23 | 68:13,16 69:8 |
| 51:6 56:7 68:7 | attended 92:2 | 129:4,12,25 | 93:4 104:13 | 69:11,12 70:3 |
| 74:14 137:19 | 140:7,7 193:24 | 130:5 134:3,3 | 105:11 109:21 | 71:1 75:3,7 |
| 151:9 154:12 | attention 63:6 | 135:14 139:2 | 126:7,8 162:4 | 99:11 175:24 |
| 161:8 174:18 | 70:6 77:2 99:3 | 140:4,11,15 | 164:7 165:21 | awkward 146:7 |
| 183:21 185:4 | 108:10 185:22 | 141:17,22 | 202:13 205:8 | aye 3:23 |
| 188:23 190:9 | attorney 4:10 | 143:21 145:22 | 205:22 206:25 | ayes 3:24 4:2 |
| 190:20 192:17 | 11:8 30:15 43:9 | 147:11,20,24 | August 15:25 | a.m 1:7 2:8 |
| 196:13,23 | 157:6,12 | 151:14 153:3 | 16:25 20:9,10 | |
| 197:17,21 | 166:21 167:1 | 154:17,25 | 21:14 31:20,23 | B |
| asks 147:3 | 176:18 177:25 | 155:5,23 | 35:18,18,20 | babies 96:1 |
| aspects 176:21 | 178:14 180:24 | 161:23 162:1,1 | 36:14,24 37:4 | baby 165:13 |
| Aspirationally | 181:4 191:5 | 163:7 164:10 | 38:17,17 67:9 | Bachelor 13:12 |
| 67:24 | 205:14 | 164:14 166:14 | 67:10 175:6 | back 4:4 11:20 |
| assemblies | Attorneys 80:21 | 167:7 171:6 | 183:25 189:5 | 14:16 20:23,25 |
| 105:13 | AT&T 199:19 | 176:2 180:22 | August/Septe | 33:4,5 51:23 |
| Assembly 5:9 | audit 1:1,4 2:2 | 181:17 201:20 | 171:11,22 | 56:8 60:7 63:13 |
| 6:16 63:4 65:19 | 4:22,22 5:1,3,6 | 203:4,4 204:2 | Austin 193:25,25 | 63:13,23 64:2 |
| 68:19 71:9,15 | 8:5,23 18:15 | 204:12,16 | AustinTalks.org | 75:21 80:9 |
| 71:17,19 77:13 | 20:23 29:10 | 205:7,9,16,18 | 194:1 | 81:18 82:22 |
| 160:5,11 161:3 | 30:6 38:8 43:9 | 205:19 206:9 | authorities 183:8 | 84:5 86:17 |
| 161:5,11,16,19 | 58:23 59:10,11 | 206:11,19,20 | authority 5:4,9 | 87:14 88:2 91:9 |
| Assembly's 69:4 | 61:3 64:15,20 | 207:20 | 7:16,22 15:1 | 105:18 106:6 |
| asserted 178:21 | 66:16,18 67:22 | audited 116:21 | 18:17 22:3,23 | 107:9 108:15 |
| assertion 136:24 | 67:25 72:3,13 | auditing 148:5 | 27:24 28:9 | 109:1,14 110:9 |
| 136:25 | 73:1,5 76:14,15 | 205:11 | 29:15 77:14 | 110:11 114:15 |
| assessment | 76:16,20 77:1 | Auditor 1:23 5:2 | 107:22 110:2 | 116:4 124:20 |
| 118:15 119:21 | 79:10,11,11,12 | 8:22 23:6 38:15 | 125:5 127:15 | 127:1,1 131:23 |
| assistant 50:2,2 | 81:5 83:21,24 | 76:16,23 81:21 | 128:4 155:24 | 133:16 134:3 |
| assisted 88:20 | 83:25 84:6 | 86:8,15 90:2,5 | 156:5,10,18 | 137:10 140:16 |
| associated | 86:11,15,21 | 90:15 91:24 | 158:4,13 160:5 | 141:11 143:19 |
| 159:11 | 88:22 89:16,20 | 116:18,24 | 160:22 162:10 | 152:16 161:10 |
| Association 28:3 | 90:1,4,13 91:25 | 125:20 126:1 | 164:17 165:24 | 164:11 166:14 |
| 85:2 | 92:25 99:9 | 127:10 132:5 | 181:19 183:3 | 171:10,21 |
| assume 35:7,17 | 103:13,14 | 135:25 145:25 | 188:2 205:24 | 175:14 176:7 |
| 102:22 184:22 | 104:3,4,9,13 | 146:5 147:10 | 206:3 | 176:24 178:4 |
| 191:17 197:17 | 105:19 108:23 | 156:24 164:7 | Authority's | 180:15,22 |
| 199:4 | 109:1,4,16,16 | 169:13,23 | 157:22 | 183:4 199:16 |
| assumed 63:25 | 112:1 113:12 | 176:1 189:21 | available 115:6 | 205:2 |
| 64:7,14 | 113:15 114:1 | 189:24 202:13 | 128:25 184:16 | background |
| assumption 24:8 | 114:15 115:25 | 204:9,11,14 | award 12:17 | 13:11 23:12 |
| 25:6 | 116:3,9,13,19 | 206:22 | 148:4 | 85:7 87:7,20 |
| atmosphere | 116:21,22 | auditors 118:14 | awarded 207:9 | 90:20 182:16 |
| 19:21 | 117:2 118:15 | 141:18 143:19 | 207:10 | bad 40:5 89:24 |
| attempt 80:16 | 122:13,17 | 155:2 170:7,14 | aware 11:18 | 90:14 105:13 |
| | I | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 122:21,23 | 130:1 131:16 | 174:7 193:20 | 129:15 150:5 | 160:24 |
| 123:3 128:15 | 132:14 134:15 | 198:25 | 165:20 175:16 | blatant 154:8 |
| 142:19 164:12 | 134:20 135:4 | begins 30:19,19 | 190:12 | blended 18:6 |
| badgering 89:12 | 135:20 136:13 | 31:19 | beyond 69:11 | blinders 70:5,7 |
| bang 159:24 | 136:21 138:5 | behalf 181:7 | 115:25 149:8 | block 103:11 |
| Barb 99:25 | 138:10,22 | belief 165:5,5 | bicycle 5:23 | board 22:25 28:4 |
| 117:21,23 | 141:1,4 143:2 | believe 19:11 | bid 74:8,9 | 28:5 30:14 |
| 132:22 134:15 | 143:19 144:16 | 21:4 35:16 | bidding 203:7 | 35:10 51:4 |
| 135:4 137:4 | 145:12 146:1 | 57:24,25 86:25 | bids 60:2 | 57:13 62:4,6,6 |
| 139:3 143:24 | 146:18 148:6 | 99:11 115:12 | big 22:9 23:19 | 79:14,14,19,22 |
| 146:23 147:8 | 152:11 166:3 | 116:11 117:3 | 24:5 61:14 65:6 | 79:22 108:13 |
| 149:3,9,17 | 180:14 201:21 | 122:14 128:6 | 65:10 68:4 | 155:24 156:11 |
| Barbara 7:7 | 202:8 205:4 | 134:5 136:19 | 75:19 78:15,22 | 156:12,13,17 |
| 17:13 20:6 | base 45:1 55:12 | 140:19 141:3 | 105:14 135:22 | 156:23,24 |
| 21:19 22:20,21 | 57:1 | 144:25 155:21 | 164:8 188:23 | 158:4,9,14 |
| 24:22 26:9,15 | based 25:5 26:14 | 160:3 164:16 | 196:19 | 160:9 176:16 |
| 26:20 32:3 | 27:24 29:22 | 173:7 178:3,16 | bigger 69:10 | 176:17 |
| 33:11 37:3,17 | 59:6 63:10 74:5 | 178:19 179:4 | 159:24 | boards 92:19 |
| 39:13 51:2,18 | 74:25 83:21 | 179:13 183:25 | biggest 160:3 | board's 156:6 |
| 57:25 62:3 | 85:6 86:9,10 | 185:2,5 186:22 | Bilandic 1:4 | 157:13 |
| 73:11 75:1 | 88:22 107:13 | 195:23 196:15 | bill 1:12 13:8 | Bob 16:16 |
| 110:25 117:20 | 110:11 130:10 | 196:15,16 | 47:20 65:20 | body 113:5,18 |
| 118:24 119:12 | 130:14,15,19 | 201:15 202:12 | 66:13 | 156:12,13 |
| 120:6,10 121:9 | 131:3,7,18 | 202:23 204:13 | billion 13:24 | 161:2 193:18 |
| 128:4,12 129:3 | 132:2 133:15 | 205:21 | 65:7 71:18 | 206:21 |
| 133:19,23 | 133:20 137:11 | bell 198:1 | bills 152:19 | boiled 15:4,5 |
| 134:5,17 | 139:12 141:13 | bells 187:13 | Billy 17:17,18 | bottom 43:14 |
| 137:19 141:14 | 158:6 | Ben 44:7,9 | 81:3,23 | 97:25 185:23 |
| 142:10,24 | basement 174:12 | benefit 76:25 | bipartisan | bought 180:14 |
| 144:1,22 168:3 | basic 148:5 | 137:2 142:18 | 116:10 | box 84:17 |
| 169:7 172:16 | basically 68:3 | 159:18 | bit 11:15 27:9 | boxes 128:9 |
| 175:6 176:13 | 101:25 196:17 | benefited 10:11 | 44:15 96:17 | boy 5:22 101:15 |
| 176:14,19 | 198:25 | Berlin 134:11 | 105:19 106:25 | 103:11 120:22 |
| Barbara's | basing 133:8 | best 6:23 7:7 | 113:9 117:8 | Boys 129:15 |
| 140:23 | basis 26:4 76:10 | 10:16 18:21 | 122:6,7,7 135:5 | boy's 100:19 |
| Barely 2:18 | 99:2 130:24 | 19:19 21:13,24 | 158:24 165:14 | Brady 1:12 2:13 |
| Baren 193:22 | bathroom 112:20 | 22:5,13 25:5,16 | 182:8 184:1,8 | 2:14 45:25 |
| bargaining 14:2 | battle 97:21 | 25:19 28:16 | 186:6,16 | 114:11 115:15 |
| 14:4 61:18 | 195:8 | 39:15 56:13 | 187:20 188:19 | 155:9,13,15 |
| 76:12 | beaten 110:13 | 62:25 73:7 74:8 | 188:20 | 156:14 157:1 |
| Barickman 1:10 | beating 100:8,9 | 74:13 77:23 | black 84:15 94:8 | 163:24 164:1 |
| 2:11,12 112:16 | 100:18 | 105:16 145:16 | 98:8 108:6,11 | brag 61:21 |
| 112:19 114:10 | becoming 5:23 | 147:2 150:13 | 108:17 | bragging 61:24 |
| 114:18,19 | began 84:25 | 151:21,24 | Blackberry | brand 43:12 |
| 115:19,21 | 205:2 | better 23:25 45:2 | 199:19 | brand-new 133:1 |
| 116:25 125:20 | beginning 18:22 | 82:17 109:19 | Blajojevich | break 46:1 |
| 126:23,25 | 120:19 140:10 | 112:3 123:4 | 131:24 133:17 | 106:13,14 |
| | I | l | I | <u> </u> |

| | 1 | | | 1 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 112:18,20 | building 1:4 | 51:23 53:6 | cause 10:20,21 | 78:17 |
| 114:6,9,13 | 13:10 47:21,21 | 54:21,25 55:1,3 | 18:20 207:25 | characterized |
| 155:11 166:3,8 | 91:7 92:1 145:8 | 55:5 57:7,7 | causes 21:8 77:23 | 114:3 |
| 166:12 180:18 | buildings 47:22 | 61:22,25 94:22 | 78:10 | charge 14:20 |
| bridges 47:21 | built 34:5 93:25 | 94:24 96:9,23 | cell 121:6 | 19:23 146:15 |
| brief 2:6 22:8 | bullet 101:14,14 | 98:6,10,17,20 | certain 78:19 | 155:20 156:15 |
| 199:14 | 101:16 | 99:8,10 186:18 | 129:21 140:4,6 | 158:5 |
| briefed 32:15,16 | bunch 168:19 | 187:7,18 | 142:14 143:4 | charged 18:11 |
| briefly 104:2 | 174:10 | 202:20,21 | 172:8 | 118:7,20,21 |
| brightened 13:22 | business 10:23 | campaigning | certainly 13:25 | chase 155:18 |
| bring 14:13 | 12:6,8 18:18 | 95:16 | 34:11 37:8 40:2 | check 75:11 |
| 91:10,11 92:15 | 19:7 54:20 72:6 | campaigns 45:21 | 40:5 43:2 62:17 | 202:22 |
| 107:9 162:24 | 87:12,17 208:8 | 46:13 57:4,10 | 95:17 118:23 | cheerleading |
| 206:23 | businesses 12:7 | 95:9 98:23 99:4 | 156:24 157:15 | 92:24 |
| brings 153:17 | 12:14 190:13 | 187:3 | 176:22 188:16 | Chicago 1:5 5:14 |
| broke 9:1 | busy 70:22 | campaign-rela | 188:17 190:4 | 5:23 6:1,5,7,9 |
| brought 16:13 | button 178:18 | 42:22 43:25 | Certified 208:6,7 | 6:14,22 8:15 |
| 58:6 81:15 | buy 125:18 | 52:18 | chain 196:18 | 9:12 13:15 18:4 |
| 159:9 180:12 | | capable 151:22 | 198:4 200:3 | 27:12,14,17 |
| 185:9 205:8 | <u>C</u> | capacity 23:13 | chair 33:5 68:2 | 40:17,18,21,23 |
| brown 108:6,11 | C 4:12 | 43:4 91:4,7,9 | 81:19 | 41:1 49:18,19 |
| 108:17 | call 2:2 15:10 | 92:1,12 | Chairman 11:4 | 53:16,20 54:5,6 |
| bruskness | 42:24 43:4 | capital 13:8 | 33:3 107:8 | 54:7,14,15,16 |
| 177:25 | 54:11 114:15 | 47:20 | 112:16 114:10 | 59:12,12 60:15 |
| brutal 123:5,5 | 116:10 143:23 | capitalize 49:6 | 114:17,19,21 | 64:17 74:12 |
| bubbling 15:4 | 149:3 161:3 | card 166:6 | 179:15 202:8 | 78:15 79:3 81:1 |
| buck 159:24 | 166:14 174:14 | care 29:4 109:2 | 204:23 205:3 | 81:3 82:4,9 |
| budget 6:17 | 189:3 | career 7:8 | chairs 62:4 | 85:2 87:9 93:15 |
| 17:15 19:9,10 | called 6:7 9:20 | careful 43:2 | challenge 84:10 | 97:2,5 100:4,6 |
| 19:12 20:13,21 | 12:17 18:12 | 46:17 54:19 | challenger's | 100:6 102:12 |
| 20:22 21:4 23:9 | 34:25 55:5 | 109:17 | 94:11 | 109:9 110:19 |
| 23:18 52:24,25 | 98:11 113:12 | cares 101:2 | challenges 79:10 | 112:23 113:3,6 |
| 61:12 63:5,11 | 113:15 167:19 | 120:20 | challenging 85:3 | 113:19 127:23 |
| 63:16,23 64:3 | 177:14 181:25 | carrot 10:17,18 | 159:2 | 131:11,15,25 |
| 64:23 65:1 66:3 | calling 7:4 16:12 | carry 22:22 | chamber 145:1 | 132:2,12 134:7 |
| 68:19 71:10,11 | 54:14 62:23 | carrying 158:1 | chance 30:6 | 136:6,14 141:1 |
| 71:22 72:22,25 | 66:15 | case 48:4 57:6 | 136:11 | 151:19 153:6 |
| 76:8 83:6,10 | calls 5:19 16:7,9 | 80:23 89:22 | change 75:24 | 154:2 174:21 |
| 84:17,21 | 42:8,9 132:20 | 94:10,12 95:4 | 103:15 | 177:2,10,14 |
| 108:14 112:5 | cameras 53:24 | 145:10 147:8 | changed 90:8 | 204:21 208:8 |
| 116:5 160:7,12 | 126:18 | 157:3 161:14 | 164:16 | Chicagoland |
| 160:15,24 | campaign 41:21 | cases 89:12,21 | changes 3:18 | 5:18 6:19 47:15 |
| 189:12 | 42:16,17,20,24 | 157:6 | 164:18 206:18 | 52:11 95:6 |
| budgetary 160:6 | 43:5 44:9,20,21 | casting 178:11 | changing 85:4 | 138:2 139:13 |
| budgeting 21:17 | 44:23 45:5,7 | categories 39:17 | characterizatio | 139:16 |
| buffer 186:1 | 46:18 47:14,18 | caucuses 108:12 | 123:2 | chief 4:7 5:16 |
| build 77:7 125:1 | 48:2 49:9,10 | 115:6 | characterize | 13:3,20 14:5,15 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | I |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 14:21 41:12,14 | 8:15 15:13 20:2 | combats 150:17 | 151:12 190:19 | communicates |
| 41:16,18,19 | 53:16 54:5,6,6 | combatting | commentary | 149:17,24 |
| 44:17 49:24 | 54:14 59:12 | 153:22 | 113:23 | communicating |
| 61:11,15 62:10 | 78:15 81:24 | combination | comments 4:9,23 | 94:17 198:10 |
| 64:25 65:25 | 82:4,9 97:2,5 | 107:14 111:6 | 32:25 62:16 | 198:14 |
| 66:9,16 69:20 | 108:9,9 113:6 | 111:11 | 113:3 123:12 | communication |
| 69:21 70:1,8,23 | 141:1 174:18 | come 12:14 33:4 | 149:7 163:25 | 42:2,4 |
| 73:15 75:17,24 | 177:2,4,9,11,13 | 34:1 36:6 48:8 | 189:17 201:25 | communications |
| 75:25 87:15 | 204:22 208:8 | 49:4 55:10 | 202:7 | 132:20 176:20 |
| 162:16 166:16 | CJIA 63:17 | 59:24 61:22 | commerce 12:1 | communities |
| 167:12 180:24 | 65:19 68:19 | 62:11 64:23,25 | 12:21 145:1 | 11:9 18:10 |
| 181:1 183:6 | clarified 58:1,7 | 71:5 72:20 | commercials | 19:24 21:1,2 |
| 186:21,22,24 | 126:6 | 85:17 89:11 | 55:2 | 25:18,24 26:1,5 |
| 202:20 | classes 106:4 | 94:20 95:21 | commission 1:1,4 | 26:12 27:3,4,12 |
| children 5:21 | clause 19:2 | 100:7 101:24 | 2:3 4:22 5:2 | 27:14,15,17,18 |
| 90:21 100:5 | clear 9:24 117:7 | 102:5 107:3,18 | 7:10,12 11:17 | 27:18,19,20,22 |
| 101:5 118:1 | 155:21 174:7 | 123:5 124:23 | 14:19 15:17,18 | 27:22 28:11,13 |
| child's 8:17 | 192:3 194:16 | 125:2,4,9 126:1 | 15:19 35:25 | 28:16,22 29:17 |
| Chiraq 5:24 | clearly 35:24 | 127:1,1 134:2 | 36:3 43:10 | 29:19,20 30:4 |
| chk 202:22,22 | 83:14 86:14 | 137:4 143:14 | 58:23 81:5 | 30:20,21,24,25 |
| choose 125:9 | 114:4 137:1 | 154:21 163:5 | 82:16 95:16 | 31:6,6,19 39:11 |
| 143:15 146:3 | 150:11 157:4 | 167:13,25 | 99:7,9 108:23 | 40:9 49:8 55:21 |
| choosing 28:16 | 157:11 | 168:15 174:16 | 111:1 114:15 | 59:11 60:22 |
| 192:21 193:2 | clergy 140:7 | 175:3,17,19,22 | 123:21,24 | 72:18 73:6,15 |
| 203:19 | close 59:17 80:14 | 178:6 201:17 | 124:9 161:22 | 73:23 74:3 |
| Chorus 3:24 | 85:11 103:3 | 202:25 204:5 | 161:24 162:22 | 78:21 82:9 92:4 |
| chose 65:19 | 206:25 | comes 25:15 39:4 | 165:6 166:14 | 92:11,17 93:15 |
| 138:14 144:8 | closed 29:7 | 88:9 108:6,13 | 167:7 178:23 | 93:23 96:5 97:4 |
| chosen 60:21 | closely 81:21 | 109:1 123:16 | 180:22 202:15 | 100:4,7 102:7 |
| 127:7 141:9 | CMS 76:9 | 207:16 | 203:5 206:9 | 102:10,12,21 |
| 142:5,21,25 | CNN 69:1,9 | comforting 97:16 | 207:20 | 102:25 103:2 |
| 195:19 203:19 | coal 12:9 47:22 | coming 24:19 | Commissioner | 108:11 110:4 |
| 203:21 | Collaborative | 32:3,4 49:5 | 16:16 | 124:22 125:9 |
| Chris 193:11 | 195:13 200:17 | 54:23 55:22 | Commission's | 128:3,16,22 |
| 196:11 197:8 | colleague 66:15 | 57:3 85:19 | 116:1 167:13 | 129:8 130:17 |
| 200:10 | 106:18 | 91:16 96:13 | 202:19 | 130:25 131:11 |
| chronological | colleagues 16:19 | 97:20 103:4 | committed 11:6 | 132:2,4 133:4 |
| 110:8 | 107:15 | 107:14 108:15 | 57:19 | 134:7,10 |
| church 145:4,7 | collective 14:2,3 | 111:8 120:20 | committee 80:19 | 135:23 136:3,4 |
| 174:12 | 61:18 76:12 | 126:2 143:25 | 81:20 103:14 | 136:6,9,11,14 |
| circuitous 178:10 | College 173:7 | 149:15 166:1,9 | 179:10 181:21 | 136:15,15 |
| circulating 95:18 | collegial 19:20,24 | 180:4 189:11 | common 162:2 | 137:1,2,5,23 |
| circumstances | 37:15 75:23 | 191:22 206:15 | commonly 14:13 | 138:11,15,23 |
| 67:9,13 148:25 | 175:13 | commencing 1:6 | communicate | 141:13 150:19 |
| cities 102:9 | colloquy 56:8,10 | comment 106:17 | 98:9 | 151:21 153:6 |
| 107:18 | combat 113:18 | 106:20,25 | communicated | 153:20 154:3 |
| city 5:14 6:1,9 | 125:8 | 107:6 112:17 | 98:6 149:10 | 169:19 170:1,2 |
| L | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ı |

| | 1 | 1 | ī | ī |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 170:9,9,11,19 | compensation | 153:10,13 | 48:3 57:8,10 | 179:2 205:24 |
| 170:21,23 | 14:1 | concludes 150:2 | 95:10 96:3 | controlled |
| 171:5,13,18 | competitive | conclusion 93:10 | constituency | 156:20 |
| 172:5,22 | 144:19 | 116:22 138:13 | 95:20,21 | conversation |
| 195:14 203:7,8 | competitively | conclusions | constituents 88:3 | 22:12 52:15 |
| 203:19 207:9 | 74:8,9 | 141:19 146:7 | 88:18,19 | 62:14 65:21 |
| community 5:19 | compiled 170:5 | conclusory 76:14 | constitutional | 91:24 199:1 |
| 6:25 7:1,2 8:2 | complaints 73:14 | conditions 6:21 | 160:19 | conversations |
| 11:11 18:7,8 | 73:20 142:16 | conduct 147:24 | construction | 32:13 58:22 |
| 28:20 30:5 | complex 127:14 | 147:25 | 168:10 | 65:13,18 |
| 35:12 45:8,9 | compliance 92:6 | conference 33:1 | contact 75:13 | 128:23 173:22 |
| 46:1,13 57:2 | 156:8 | 173:7,9,10,12 | 76:9,10 | 173:24 174:3 |
| 59:18 60:6,19 | complied 156:21 | 173:16,21 | contacted 31:6 | 184:20,23 |
| 60:21 81:1 84:7 | comply 109:18 | 200:23 | 42:1 | 199:5 |
| 84:9,13,23 | component 38:8 | confidence | contained 129:2 | convinced 80:18 |
| 90:25 92:9 | 183:14 188:14 | 145:18 | contains 208:14 | 88:8 |
| 94:18 95:3,7,12 | 189:25 196:4 | confident 202:24 | contest 94:23 | COO 31:18 |
| 96:4,5,17 97:9 | 198:8,9,23,24 | confirmed | context 6:14,15 | Cook 6:22 16:15 |
| 97:11,18,20,22 | 206:19 | 202:19 | 54:4 57:22 58:2 | 168:16 208:3,8 |
| 98:2,8,8 99:6 | components | conflicted 157:4 | 94:24 112:20 | cooperation |
| 100:6,10 102:1 | 48:21 50:10,11 | conflicts 5:11 | 120:8 134:21 | 116:2 204:24 |
| 102:23 103:18 | 51:9 84:18 | 77:15 162:14 | 134:24 147:21 | 207:23 |
| 106:24 107:17 | 177:18,20,21 | confused 52:24 | 150:23 151:1 | coordinate |
| 108:1,6,15 | 177:22 | 123:19 | 151:11 165:15 | 184:17 |
| 109:7 110:11 | comprehensive | confusing 117:13 | continually | copied 51:2,17 |
| 110:16 111:23 | 100:2 105:15 | confusion 155:17 | 116:16 164:25 | 51:18,18 |
| 112:7 120:20 | computer 46:17 | 155:20 157:2 | continue 68:17 | 197:10 |
| 120:24 121:1 | 48:14 94:15 | conglomerate | 77:5 104:1 | copies 43:11 |
| 125:14 129:14 | concentrated | 19:19 | 109:24 112:6 | 200:11 |
| 136:23 139:4 | 92:3 | congregation | 114:17 165:3 | cops 7:4 8:16 |
| 139:25 143:11 | concept 41:4 | 16:2 | 165:18 202:22 | 10:18 37:21 |
| 144:8 149:15 | 66:5 67:21 | Congressmen | 204:7,18 | copy 9:8 115:15 |
| 150:15 153:7 | 168:2 | 45:12,23 | 205:21 207:2 | 115:17 144:6 |
| 154:13,14 | concern 25:9 | connect 80:16 | continued 24:25 | Corporate 87:11 |
| 190:3,6 195:8 | 30:11 146:19 | 85:22 | 32:20,21 68:21 | correct 21:20,22 |
| 195:18 | 146:19 147:1 | connection | 68:22 155:20 | 25:8 26:3 30:7 |
| community-ba | 152:13 | 101:17 | continues 116:15 | 36:8,12,13 44:4 |
| 109:14 | concerned 23:13 | consensus 22:1 | continuing 31:13 | 44:11,13,22 |
| comp 61:17 65:9 | 30:10 36:7 81:4 | consequence | 73:5 78:13 | 45:9,10,16,18 |
| company 87:12 | concerning 82:5 | 103:17 | 109:12 | 47:3 49:11,12 |
| comparing | 131:6 | consider 24:4 | contract 84:25 | 49:13 51:1 |
| 135:16,16 | concerns 23:5 | 88:9 138:23 | 85:1 | 90:12 104:15 |
| compel 163:7 | 25:4 82:18 | consistent 143:20 | contractual 75:4 | 130:18,20 |
| 203:2 | 116:7 145:13 | consistently | contrary 142:1 | 160:16 169:1,2 |
| compelled | 149:15 185:19 | 196:25 | 149:12 | 169:16,17 |
| 162:24 | 190:2 | constituencies | contrast 33:20 | 170:17 171:1 |
| compelling 81:2 | conclude 93:12 | 46:14 47:19 | control 97:22 | 175:2 191:14 |
| | I | I | I | I |

| | Ī | • | 1 | Ī |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 191:15,18,20 | coverage 10:8 | 102:18 128:17 | day 1:6 6:10,10 | 157:11 162:11 |
| 191:21 192:4 | covered 10:8 | criminal 127:15 | 6:11 15:12,13 | 165:22 186:20 |
| 193:11 194:3,6 | covering 20:23 | 163:14 164:16 | 15:13,14,14 | 207:15 |
| 194:7,10,17 | Co-Chair 1:10 | 165:23 205:23 | 16:3 42:3 43:19 | dealing 16:3 53:2 |
| 197:9,13,14 | 1:11 | 206:3 | 53:21,22,22,22 | 75:20 91:5 |
| 199:25 200:12 | co-chairs 30:15 | crisis 38:25 40:2 | 56:5,10 78:18 | 93:22 141:16 |
| 200:25 206:7 | 176:16,17 | criteria 129:20 | 79:16,16 97:22 | 155:19 |
| 208:12 | CPD 113:4 | 136:23 144:19 | 101:2,7 108:9 | deals 141:17,22 |
| corrected 164:25 | create 10:24 | 148:4 172:9,9 | 121:22,22,22 | dealt 162:3 |
| 165:20 | 87:14 107:24 | criterion 171:12 | 145:16,20 | death 100:8,9 |
| correctional 8:3 | 160:8 | critical 111:14,16 | 148:21 149:13 | debacle 203:12 |
| corrections | created 7:16 22:2 | 202:13 203:22 | 150:7 154:11 | debate 94:9 |
| 206:2 | 79:15 87:15 | criticisms 38:15 | 187:12 188:14 | Decatur 136:17 |
| correctly 20:20 | 90:7 131:23 | 153:23 | 207:17 | December 13:20 |
| 45:2 66:13 | 133:16 149:2 | CRR 208:19 | days 48:14 52:4 | 75:16 |
| 135:7 165:24 | 162:6 169:7 | cry 5:17 15:9 | 80:14 84:25 | decide 22:13 |
| cost 84:16 | 190:11,12 | 16:18 | 85:1 96:24 | 140:1 |
| costs 94:4 | 203:6 | CSR 208:19 | 129:19 170:3 | decided 20:15,18 |
| Council 84:23 | creates 153:16 | Cuda 208:5,19 | 188:22 189:6 | 21:24 24:12,14 |
| counsel 92:21 | creating 175:7 | currently 205:9 | 202:10 203:25 | 25:20 28:15 |
| 162:23,24 | 189:18 | 205:10 | day-to-day 76:19 | 68:19 138:13 |
| 163:8,10 | creation 123:14 | cut 63:4,10,11,16 | 79:19 99:2 | 138:20 150:18 |
| counseling 7:25 | 124:15 169:8 | 63:23 64:3 | DCEO 12:23 | decidedly 46:6 |
| 39:18 51:10 | 169:10 184:15 | 155:18 | 20:11 23:21 | deciding 35:21 |
| 78:5,7 121:24 | 188:16 202:17 | Cutrone 146:14 | 24:6,11,12,20 | decision 27:5 |
| 122:1 | 203:17 | 146:15 147:1,2 | 25:13 34:2 39:9 | 29:14 56:20 |
| count 8:5 93:21 | creative 91:17 | 201:15 | 44:16 66:19 | 77:9 125:4 |
| counted 72:12 | credible 118:12 | cuts 71:20 | 72:8,9 87:8,10 | 134:1,4 137:3,3 |
| Countless 15:14 | credit 10:24 | cuttings 48:10 | 87:16 89:23,23 | 137:7,22 |
| county 6:22 11:9 | 48:11 166:6 | C-600 1:5 | 89:24,25 90:15 | 138:16 139:3,6 |
| 16:15 163:14 | Crespo 1:17 2:23 | | 91:2,9 162:15 | 143:15,16 |
| 168:16 208:3,8 | 3:12 9:15 79:25 | D | 162:19 183:8 | 148:19 149:21 |
| couple 17:10 | 80:1,3 93:9 | D 181:6,8 | 183:14,15 | 153:8 161:16 |
| 30:8 51:19 63:5 | crime 26:6,7,14 | dad 81:2 | 184:15,19 | decisions 28:24 |
| 75:16 82:9 | 26:22 27:25 | daily 42:2 | 188:3,13,24 | 71:20 83:6 |
| 87:15 92:19 | 29:22 30:25 | damage 114:1 | 189:2,11,12,13 | 113:22 124:15 |
| 120:6 141:14 | 31:3 59:6,8,13 | data 110:24 | 189:19,25 | 127:16 141:7 |
| 175:10,11 | 73:3,8 74:5,25 | 116:16 132:7 | 198:8,20,23 | 146:9,12 148:3 |
| 177:23 | 95:25 96:6 | date 10:8 15:8 | 199:2 204:15 | 148:22 150:8 |
| course 55:9 | 116:20,21 | 35:19 100:13 | 206:19 | 150:12 151:15 |
| 129:4 178:21 | 128:6,14 | 183:20,21 | DCEO's 21:4 | 151:16 152:4 |
| 201:22 205:2 | 130:10,14,16 | dated 43:16 83:4 | 188:14 | 152:23 153:14 |
| court 4:8 56:3 | 131:1,3,15 | 106:7 169:12 | DCFS 111:1 | 187:25 188:5 |
| 106:13 166:19 | 133:8 135:2 | dates 29:12 | deadline 148:11 | 203:22 |
| 180:20 181:3 | 137:11,13 | Dave 19:12 72:24 | deal 68:4 81:15 | decision-making |
| cover 21:1,2 | 141:13 159:3 | David 1:19 45:12 | 85:17 101:7 | 152:21 165:22 |
| 191:7 | crimes 102:16,17 | 66:15 83:5 | 108:8 109:7 | declare 5:20 16:4 |
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| | | I | | ı |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| dedicated 37:25 | 183:6 186:22 | developed 5:13 | diligence 204:8 | discretionary |
| 53:1 106:23 | 186:24 | 6:20 7:7 8:25 | diminish 151:17 | 71:13,14 |
| deemed 150:5 | describe 188:12 | 73:4 124:10,20 | Diplomate 208:7 | discuss 5:3 36:23 |
| 178:24 | described 184:6 | 134:6 | direct 7:22 | 37:17 101:18 |
| deeply 36:5 | 188:10 199:8 | developed/pro | 159:11 169:19 | 187:23 |
| defend 154:16 | deserve 126:11 | 73:2 | 182:24 185:22 | discussed 22:19 |
| deficiencies | deserving 131:22 | developing 51:5 | direction 16:6 | 57:24 74:4 |
| 89:21 104:15 | design 85:5 | development | 157:7,9 | 125:21 128:3 |
| 112:2 | designated | 11:22 12:5,9,9 | directions 70:24 | 143:8 147:10 |
| deficit 13:24 65:7 | 129:15 | 12:10,10,15,16 | directly 7:13 | 184:2 201:21 |
| 71:18 | designed 8:18 | 13:5,10 19:6 | 15:21,22 | 206:24 |
| define 90:6 | 104:14 122:15 | 47:23 51:16 | director 1:22 2:9 | discussing 86:7 |
| definitely 164:15 | 132:25 133:1 | 72:6,10 171:16 | 11:22,25 17:15 | discussion 17:23 |
| definitions 132:2 | desirable 21:1 | 188:15 | 19:12 76:8 | 18:1,4 22:8 |
| definitive 35:19 | desire 11:12 18:2 | deviate 126:3 | 115:3 118:19 | 37:19 112:23 |
| degree 13:13 | 18:9 150:16 | devoted 201:22 | 176:17 179:13 | 115:23 119:19 |
| 119:9 120:12 | 152:3 | DHS 22:8 26:10 | 184:20 185:2 | 122:19 132:16 |
| degrees 186:1 | desired 20:14 | 110:25 128:5,9 | 185:16 188:6 | 170:7 201:16 |
| delay 7:12 | 120:1 | 128:10,10,19 | directors 76:10 | discussions 35:23 |
| 123:22 | desperate 92:1 | 130:3,13 | 146:11 155:24 | 36:1,21 73:25 |
| deliver 146:24 | detail 76:21 | 131:19 138:21 | 156:17 | 74:1 126:19,22 |
| 149:22 154:9 | details 96:11 | dialect 123:7 | disabilities 12:19 | 174:22 178:23 |
| delivering | 137:20 176:6 | die 193:25 | Disability 12:17 | 201:24 |
| 153:25 | determination | died 81:2 100:5 | disabuse 177:8 | disintegrates |
| delivers 84:8 | 28:7 29:8 30:1 | differed 156:17 | disadvantaged | 78:8 |
| delivery 85:3 | 148:1 207:10 | difference 98:2 | 78:21 | dismissed 180:11 |
| demarcation | determinations | differences 91:6 | disagree 138:1 | 201:11 |
| 36:15 | 27:21 147:14 | different 10:15 | disagreeing | distribute 185:17 |
| Democrats | 147:16 | 14:8 18:19,22 | 142:2 | district 82:22 |
| 113:14 | determine 26:11 | 18:24,25 21:7 | disagreement | diversified 91:16 |
| demonstrate | 27:4 59:21 | 48:3 50:11 | 66:22 90:8 | diversity 91:3 |
| 47:8 | 131:11 135:18 | 51:12,13,15 | disagreements | divided 25:24 |
| demonstrated | 140:14 147:25 | 57:7,8 66:5 | 76:15 89:18 | 30:3 |
| 88:10 | 204:20 | 69:14 70:24,24 | disappointed | divisive 205:3 |
| DENNIS 1:18 | determined | 85:12 89:19 | 155:6 | Doctor 167:23 |
| Department 12:1 | 21:19 22:21 | 91:1,3,7,8,9,20 | disaster 39:4 | 176:8 177:1 |
| 12:18,21 40:24 | 74:11 138:4,11 | 96:13 97:5 | 40:4 41:2 45:25 | 179:6 |
| 131:15 132:3,8 | 170:23,25 | 102:18 107:20 | 47:22 184:24 | document 48:9 |
| 132:12 171:8 | 171:2 187:24 | 143:12 144:9 | disbanded 123:1 | 52:19 63:20,22 |
| 176:18 | determining | 145:14 148:23 | 154:23 | 127:4 128:11 |
| depending | 14:20 60:16 | 150:10 157:16 | disbursed 186:4 | 130:6,7 131:20 |
| 153:11 | 148:16 169:25 | 187:11,12 | 189:9 | 133:15 136:1 |
| deployed 8:15 | 203:20 | 206:16 | disbursement | 148:3 193:4,20 |
| Deputy 11:23 | devastating | difficult 66:23 | 60:11 | 194:20 |
| 44:17 49:24 | 11:10 | difficulties | discovered | documentation |
| 62:10 166:16 | develop 16:6 | 155:19 | 186:13 191:11 | 73:3,4 75:2 |
| 167:12 180:24 | 133:3 | digest 204:4 | discretion 74:19 | 128:15,25 |
| | • | . | · | · |

| 129:2,11,17 | Don 193:22 | dying 96:1 100:4 | efficacy 38:6,9 | 122:22 | |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 140:23 145:6 | door 75:14 | | effort 42:23 | employers | |
| 165:19 | 154:11 | E | 45:20 125:16 | 105:21 | |
| documented | dots 80:17 85:23 | E 4:17 181:6,8 | 125:17 132:6 | employment 96:6 | |
| 127:17 146:6 | doubt 32:18 | earlier 22:14 | efforts 18:7,8 | employs 40:6 | |
| documents 6:8 | 45:22 109:16 | 48:14 66:24 | 119:20 | encountered | |
| 9:9 53:1 67:11 | downstate 39:2 | 77:8 89:15 98:4 | egregious 139:16 | 87:6 | |
| 115:11 127:24 | 47:20 107:18 | 101:11 124:17 | eight 84:25 | endeavor 72:1 | |
| 141:17 155:1 | Dr 115:9,11 | 126:4 135:2 | either 29:18 | ended 85:9 134:9 | |
| 164:13 169:15 | 149:10,12,14 | 157:15 159:22 | 135:11 143:3 | endless 104:4 | |
| 175:11 177:24 | 149:16,24 | 164:5 174:24 | 171:19 188:25 | energize 55:12 | |
| 178:4,16,19,24 | 155:12 166:2 | 175:9 | 201:23 | energy 12:9 | |
| 179:2,21 180:6 | 166:15,18,23 | early 11:20 15:15 | elected 6:25 | engage 91:2 | |
| 180:6,7 191:5,9 | 166:25,25 | 16:24 28:10 | 28:20 34:6 | 95:19,20 | |
| 199:16 203:3 | 167:4,10,10,18 | 31:23 32:23 | 95:22 98:19 | 107:25 | |
| 204:4 205:19 | 172:13 178:17 | 35:17 47:2 | 102:4,24 | engaged 94:3 | |
| 205:20 | 179:2 180:3,9 | 87:10 123:9 | 125:13 139:25 | 95:16 | |
| doing 22:4,11 | 180:12 | 124:16 173:6 | election 53:7 | engaging 95:18 | |
| 40:18 49:21 | draft 48:20 50:8 | 183:25 | 57:21 67:13 | 96:3,16 | |
| 52:14 61:16,19 | 50:9 51:6 53:10 | ease 114:11 | 76:3 110:21 | Englewood 16:15 | |
| 63:8,12 78:24 | drafted 64:10 | 166:10 180:16 | elections 46:10 | 173:7 | |
| 80:5 89:24 | drafting 49:2 | East 136:19 | 55:18 57:4 95:1 | ensure 127:16 | |
| 90:17 91:14 | dragging 80:9 | 138:24 145:4 | eliminate 88:25 | 204:18 | |
| 93:1,2 94:10 | dramatic 83:13 | easy 56:6 109:5 | 159:5 | entertain 3:18 | |
| 95:11,23,24,25 | draw 106:5 | economic 12:1,4 | eliminated | 207:19 | |
| 96:1 98:7,20 | 116:22 | 12:16,21 13:5,6 | 144:23 | entire 28:2 37:25 | |
| 99:3 104:20 | drawing 147:6,7 | 13:9 19:6 51:16 | else's 33:16 | 98:8 122:24 | |
| 119:25 122:2 | dressed 105:24 | 72:6,10 184:14 | emergency 5:20 | entitled 185:18 | |
| 125:19 147:3 | drew 138:13 | 188:15 | 16:4 29:1,4,4 | entity 7:16 | |
| 154:13,15 | drive 94:5 | economically | 39:5 40:6,10,11 | 177:16 | |
| 158:17 162:10 | driven 6:21 | 78:9,20 | 40:16 66:25 | epidemic 5:14 | |
| 162:15 163:19 | drive-by 110:15 | editorial 8:14 | 67:1,13,15,21 | 6:6,18 7:17 | |
| 163:22 174:25 | dropouts 26:21 | educate 43:5 46:18 48:3 | 68:7 78:18,23 | 15:3 34:16,20 | |
| 177:13,18 | dropped 104:24 | | 114:4 117:16 | 35:22 36:1,24 | |
| 185:17 187:10 | drug 40:21,21 | educating 46:14 | 117:20,22 | 37:18 49:17 | |
| 194:19 206:8 | due 5:13 7:17 9:1 | 47:14,18 | 118:7,18 | 53:15 67:1 | |
| 207:10 | 29:14 84:18 | education 84:7 | 119:24 133:2 | 100:24 139:17 | |
| dollar 75:5 | 85:3 113:23 | 109:13,19 educational | 151:18,19 | equated 40:1 | |
| dollars 21:17 | 116:14 204:7 | 13:11 92:21 | 152:20 174:14 | equip 152:5 | |
| 62:20,22 82:12 | duly 9:20 167:19 | effect 118:3 | 188:10 | equipped 22:5 | |
| 82:14 88:5 | 181:25 208:5 | 120:3,5 | emergent 67:9 | erroneous | |
| 105:9 108:14 | duties 41:11 | effective 23:15 | emotional 152:1 | 197:16 | |
| 125:7 152:14 | 42:17,22,25 | 149:7 | employ 12:18 | escalating 110:14 | |
| 152:19 153:1 | 70:8 75:17 | effectiveness | employed 13:17 | especially 88:9 | |
| 154:9 189:8 | 157:23 183:1 | 62:15,21 88:16 | 98:12 | 92:17 106:21 | |
| 198:16 203:12 | duty 158:22,23 | 90:10 | employees 23:8 | 108:8 205:1 | |
| 203:23 204:21 | 178:14 202:11 | 70.10 | 23:17 25:6,7,11 | essence 48:23 | |
| | • | | • | · | |

| essentially 71:13 131:25 167:20 92:24 93:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 163:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 163:1 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 163:3 118:24 163:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 161:3 118:24 163:3 118:24 164:5 118:2 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18:25 188:22 18 118:22 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:24 118:24 18 118:24 164:2 | | • | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 182:1 182:1 161:18 115:48 132:21 130:18 161:18 142:15:16 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 164:5176:24 179:14 186:12 191:9 199:3,4 1 | essentially 71:13 | 131:25 167:20 | 92:24 93:3 | 112:15 114:24 | failures 69:6 |
| 189:1,13 190:14 examples 203:9 establishing 189:14 Estates 82:22 excluded 49:13 excluded 49:13 excluded 149:23 excluded 49:13 excluded 149:23 excluded 149:14 evaluated 143:14 event 32:17 events 173:2 events 173:2 events 173:2 events 173:2 events 173:2 evertybody 10:14 100:6,6,7 111:8 113:22 122:8 113:22 129:15 113:22 122:8 113:22 129:15 113:22 123:8 113:22 129:10 122:15 123:14 130:4,22 evidently 120:2 exist 116:15 exist 116:15 extra 123:13 extent 122:17 151:24 153:10 evolving 35:9 exact 21:15 22:17 22:18 29:12 exact 21:15 22:17 expanding 133:16 expanding 133:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise exital expanding 135:20 experise expansion 30:20 experise expans | | 182:1 | 118:24 161:3 | 115:4,8 132:21 | 79:11 |
| 190:14 extablishing excited 45:14.19 excited 19:23 189:14 exclude 19:23 127:6 181:23 183:23 | established 189:1 | example 41:3 | 161:18 | 143:24 158:6 | fair 14:10 36:16 |
| extablishing 189:14 excluded 49:23 to 2:24 to 2:24 to 2:24 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:15 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:15 to 2:25:14 to 2:25:14 | 189:1,13 | 98:18 | experienced 81:7 | 164:5 176:24 | 94:5 151:16 |
| R89:14 | 190:14 | examples 203:9 | 111:2 | 179:14,16 | 171:10 190:2 |
| Estates 82:22 evaluate 143:14 131:12 139:9 121:9 132:22 186:12 191:9 7:1 28:20 60:19 97:9;11 102:24 191:10 192:8 191:10 192:8 197:10 192:8 197:11 196:20 97:9;11 102:24 192:11 196:20 97:9;11 102:24 192:11 196:20 97:9;11 102:25 198:5 197:5 125:14 139:25 125:4 135:17 199:12 125:4 100:6,7 111:8 111:22 122:8 162:4 163:10 evidence 83:16 142:1 150:9,10 152:1,2 156:22 evidently 120:2 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:4,22 130:8 133:16 existed 47:7,8 149:17 151:7 151:24 153:10 evolved 36:17 evolved 36:18 evelved 36:19 evolved 36:19 ev | establishing | excited 45:14,19 | experiences | 182:23 183:23 | 199:3,4 |
| evaluate 43:14 evaluated 45:11 142:15,16 expertise 14:10 153:9 exclusively 179:2 exclusively 179:2 exclusively 179:2 exclusively 179:2 experts 13:17 expertise 14:10 extentior 13:11 expertise 14:10 extentior 13:11 expertise 14:10 | 189:14 | exclude 149:23 | 127:6 | 184:2 185:18 | fairly 27:8 |
| evaluated 145:11 evenly 25:24 event 33:17 eventy 33:17 event 33:17 event 33:17 event 33:17 event 33:17 excuse 2:4 express 113:2 event 42:2 event 42:1 bo:9.10 evidence 83:16 142:1 150:9.10 evidence 83:16 142:1 150:9.10 exist 116:15 exist 113:20 116:15 exist 11 | Estates 82:22 | excluded 59:13 | expert 118:23 | 185:25 186:11 | faith 152:23,24 |
| evenly 25:24 event 33:17 153:9 exclusively 179:2 events 173:2 events 173:3 events 173:4 events 173:4 events 173:5 events 173:5 events 173:5 events 173:5 events 173:6 events 173:7 events 173:6 events 173:7 evidence 83:16 142:1 150:19 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 150:10 150:12 1 | evaluate 143:14 | 131:12 139:9 | 121:9 132:22 | 186:12 191:9 | faith-based 5:19 |
| event s 173:2 events | evaluated 145:11 | 142:15,16 | expertise 14:10 | 191:10 192:8 | 7:1 28:20 60:19 |
| events 173:2 eventually 76:8 135:17 199:12 excuse 2:4 (135:17 199:12 Execution-Type 100:16 100:16 100:16 100:16 110:13 143:17 149:17 151:2 122:8 2:9 115:3 149:16 154:2 explained 57:18 expound 174:6 extensive 38:20 faced 84:10 152:1,2 156:22 evidence 83:16 142:1 150:9,10 152:1,2 156:22 evidently 120:2 154:19 155:1 207:6 extra 91:13 extraordinary 139:3 78:3 86:24 140:3 149:3,6,10,11 149:17 151:7 130:8 133:16 existence 88:21 139:4 153:10 152:20 existence 88:21 exolving 35:9 exact 21:15 22:17 exolving 35:9 exact 21:15 22:17 existing 83:13 15:12 existing 83:13 15:20 existing 83:13 15:12 exactly 23:17,21 expedite 162:5 expense 83:22 expense 83:24 expense 83:25 expense 83:25 expense 83:25 expense 83:25 expense 83:25 expense 83:26 expense 83:26 expense 83:27 expense 83:27 expense 83:29 expense 83: | evenly 25:24 | 153:9 | 14:12 110:1,24 | 192:11 196:20 | 97:9,11 102:24 |
| cventually 76:8 135:17 199:12 | event 33:17 | exclusively 179:2 | 150:9 | 196:25 197:25 | 125:14 139:25 |
| 134:7 142:21 everybody 10:14 100:16 100:16 100:16 148:7,9 157:3 200:12 206:5 206:12 130:422 29:115:3 extensive 38:20 faclen 10:13 fallout 186:2 fallout 186:2 facles 41:23 faclout 186:2 facles 41:23 faclout 186:2 facles 41:23 faclout 186:2 faced 42:5,5,6,6,10 extensive 38:20 extensive 42:10 faced 4 | events 173:2 | excuse 2:4 | experts 81:15 | 198:5 199:6 | 143:11 |
| everybody 10:14 100:16 148:7,9 157:3 206:12 fallout 186:2 | eventually 76:8 | 135:17 199:12 | 125:4 | 202:18,23 | fall 98:14 132:17 |
| everybody 10:14 100:16 Executive 1:22 explained 57:18 111:22 122:8 12:9 115:3 extensive 38:20 extraordinary 13:31 13:31 13:20 116:15 extraordinary 203:1 extremely 84:18 eyes 105:25 e-mail 42:11 facilitator 28:16 facilitato | | Execution-Type | explain 46:9 88:3 | 205:12 206:5 | fallen 101:3 |
| 111:22 122:8 162:4 163:10 evidence 83:16 Exhibit 129:10 extensive 38:20 faced 84:10 113:11 39:3 78:3 86:24 facilitator 28:16 facilit | everybody 10:14 | ~ <u>~</u> | 148:7,9 157:3 | 206:12 | fallout 186:2 |
| 111:22 122:8 162:4 163:10 evidence 83:16 179:13 Exhibit 129:10 extent 122:12,15 face 42:5,5,6,6,10 42:10 42:10 13:11 13:11 39:37 88:24 84:10 13:11 39:37 88:24 54:6 42:10 13:11 13:11 39:37 88:24 54:6 42:10 13:11 13:11 39:37 88:24 54:6 42:10 13:11 13:11 39:37 88:38:24 40:3 extremely 84:18 ever 105:25 e-mail 42:11 facilitator 28:16 facilitator | · · | Executive 1:22 | explained 57:18 | | false 141:23 |
| evidence 83:16 Exhibit 129:10 extent 122:12,15 42:10 faced 84:10 families 9:5 142:1 150:9,10 152:1,2 156:22 140:16 154:2 extra 91:13 extra 91:13 113:11 39:3 78:3 86:24 evidently 120:2 154:19 155:1 207:6 extremely 84:18 facilitator 28:16 family 7:13 15:22 130:4,22 207:6 existed 47:7,8 eyes 105:25 etacilitator 28:16 family 7:13 15:22 149:17 151:7 130:8 133:16 43:16,24,25 90:4 96:8 99:7 106:20 111:25 108:3 151:24 153:10 152:20 44:2,6,7,25 106:20 111:25 fanfare 126:15 evolving 35:9 104:22 51:23 52:17,18 122:20 133:21 fanfare 126:15 evact 21:15 22:17 22:18 29:12 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 100:13 183:19 133:16 existing 122:3,24 86:10 88:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 exact 21:15 27:16 135:20 135:20 140:23 183:24 facts 141:17,22 140:11 164:19 expertenc 14:7 19:29:3 159:3,25 196:7 <td>111:22 122:8</td> <td>2:9 115:3</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>familiar 89:16</td> | 111:22 122:8 | 2:9 115:3 | _ | | familiar 89:16 |
| 142:1 150:9,10 | 162:4 163:10 | 179:13 | extensive 38:20 | | 90:19 |
| 152:1,2 156:22 | evidence 83:16 | Exhibit 129:10 | extent 122:12,15 | | families 9:5 |
| evidently 120:2 154:19 155:1 203:1 facilitator 28:16 family 7:13 15:22 130:4,22 207:6 existed 47:7,8 evidently 120:2 207:6 extremely 84:18 facilitator 28:16 family 7:13 15:22 45:24 54:6 70:20 78:6,7,8 70:20 78:6,7,8 70:20 78:6,7,8 78:8 97:16 70:20 78:6,7,8 78:8 97:16 108:3 78:8 97:16 108:3 108:3 106:20 111:25 fanfare 126:15 Far 20:5 21:3 20:21 20:22 12:13 19:22 13:22 13 | 142:1 150:9,10 | exist 116:15 | extra 91:13 | | 10:13 11:11 |
| 130:4,22 | 152:1,2 156:22 | 140:16 154:2 | extraordinary | | 39:3 78:3 86:24 |
| 138:24 140:3 | evidently 120:2 | 154:19 155:1 | 203:1 | | family 7:13 15:22 |
| 149:3,6,10,11 | 130:4,22 | 207:6 | extremely 84:18 | | 45:24 54:6 |
| 149:17 151:7 130:8 133:16 43:16,24,25 90:4 96:8 99:7 108:3 151:24 153:10 152:20 44:2,67,25 106:20 111:25 fanfare 126:15 evolved 36:17 existence 88:21 48:13 49:2 50:4 119:18,19 Fantastic 56:15 evolving 35:9 104:22 51:23 52:17,18 122:20 133:21 far 20:5 21:3 22:18 29:12 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 100:13 183:19 exists 112:23,24 86:10 88:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 183:21 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 factors 26:16,18 51:8,10 68:12 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 facts 141:17,22 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 failed 114:2 175:13 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 202:17 favor 3:22 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 favor 3:22 15:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 Feb | 138:24 140:3 | existed 47:7,8 | eyes 105:25 | | 70:20 78:6,7,8 |
| 151:14 153:10 | 149:3,6,10,11 | 113:20 116:15 | e-mail 42:11 | · · | 78:8 97:16 |
| evolved 36:17 existence 88:21 48:13 49:2 50:4 119:18,19 Fantastic 56:15 evolving 35:9 104:22 48:13 49:2 50:4 119:18,19 Fantastic 56:15 exact 21:15 22:17 existing 83:13 54:21 55:12,17 148:8 158:6 23:22 29:25 22:18 29:12 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 100:13 183:19 exists 112:23,24 86:10 88:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 183:21 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 factors 26:16,18 51:8,10 68:12 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 26:24 91:21 106:25 25:11 27:16 135:20 140:23 183:24 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 failed 114:2 175:13 142:9 182:18 expense 83:22 194:5,8,20 154:4,6 164:20 fault 69:6 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 fault 69:6 15:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 16:21 182:2 | 149:17 151:7 | 130:8 133:16 | 43:16,24,25 | | 108:3 |
| evolving 35:9 104:22 51:23 52:17,18 122:20 133:21 far 20:5 21:3 exact 21:15 22:17 existing 83:13 54:21 55:12,17 148:8 158:6 23:22 29:25 100:13 183:19 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 183:21 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 26:24 91:21 106:25 25:11 27:16 135:20 140:23 183:24 140:23 183:24 140:21 11 192:6 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 193:8,15,21 164:20 172:23 140:11 164:19 42:9 182:18 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 164:20 14:2 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 164:20 172:23 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 164:20 172:23 154:4,6 164:20 | 151:24 153:10 | 152:20 | 44:2,6,7,25 | | fanfare 126:15 |
| exact 21:15 22:17 existing 83:13 54:21 55:12,17 148:8 158:6 23:22 29:25 100:13 183:19 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 183:21 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 26:24 91:21 106:25 25:11 27:16 135:20 140:23 183:24 facts 141:17,22 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 failed 114:2 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 116:3 145:23 154:4,6 164:20 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 failure 113:20 152:11:2 33:7 88:10 205:13 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 16:12 80:2 89:7 99:17 14:7 19:3 23:1 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 153:19,19,20 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 emails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23 23.23 180:25 186:3 | evolved 36:17 | existence 88:21 | 48:13 49:2 50:4 | | Fantastic 56:15 |
| 22:18 29:12 151:18 83:2,3,9 85:8 160:4 166:5 36:7,17 37:3 100:13 183:19 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 197:12 39:13 40:21 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 26:24 91:21 106:25 25:11 27:16 135:20 140:23 183:24 facts 141:17,22 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 116:3 145:23 175:13 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 fault 69:6 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 failure 113:20 9:22 11:2 33:7 experience 14:7 197:2,6,7 198:4 153:19,19,20 162:12 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | evolving 35:9 | 104:22 | 51:23 52:17,18 | | far 20:5 21:3 |
| 100:13 183:19 | exact 21:15 22:17 | existing 83:13 | 54:21 55:12,17 | | 23:22 29:25 |
| 183:21 133:16 94:13,16 95:13 factors 26:16,18 51:8,10 68:12 exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 facts 141:17,22 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 failed 114:2 175:13 142:9 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 fault 69:6 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 failure 113:20 failure 113:20 favor 3:22 9:22 11:2 33:7 experience 14:7 19:20,22 114:4 152:11 features 39:20 80:2 89:7 99:17 14:7 19:3 23:1 199:20,22 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 e-mails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 22:18 29:12 | 151:18 | 83:2,3,9 85:8 | | 36:7,17 37:3 |
| exactly 23:17,21 expanding 128:10 129:3 26:24 91:21 106:25 25:11 27:16 135:20 140:23 183:24 140:23 183:24 140:11 164:19 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 116:3 145:23 175:13 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 failure 113:20 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 failure 113:20 9:22 11:2 33:7 experience 14:7 197:2,6,7 198:4 114:4 152:11 116:12 80:2 89:7 99:17 14:7 19:3 23:1 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 features 39:20 157:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 164:23,23 13:3 110:14 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 100:13 183:19 | exists 112:23,24 | 86:10 88:13 | | 39:13 40:21 |
| 25:11 27:16 41:20 72:8 73:11 131:9 142:9 182:18 182:18 EXAMINATI 9:22 11:2 33:7 80:2 89:7 99:17 115:20 155:14 167:21 182:2 191:1 125:10 125:5 140:23 183:24 191:11 192:6 193:8,15,21 194:5,8,20 195:3,25 196:7 196:10,18,22 197:2,6,7 198:4 199:20,22 115:20 155:14 167:21 182:2 182:14 182:15 182:17 182:17 182:18 184:17,22 184:8 191:11 192:6 191:11 192:6 193:8,15,21 194:5,8,20 195:3,25 196:7 196:10,18,22 197:2,6,7 198:4 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 153:19,19,20 153:19,19,20 153:19,19,20 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 183:21 | 133:16 | 94:13,16 95:13 | | 51:8,10 68:12 |
| 41:20 72:8 expansion 30:20 191:11 192:6 fail 81:21 164:20 172:23 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 193:8,15,21 116:3 145:23 175:13 142:9 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 fail 81:21 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 failure 113:20 failure 113:20 9:22 11:2 33:7 experience 14:7 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 features 39:20 15:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 e-mails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | exactly 23:17,21 | expanding | 128:10 129:3 | | 91:21 106:25 |
| 73:11 131:9 142:9 182:18 182:18 EXAMINATI 9:22 11:2 33:7 80:2 89:7 99:17 115:20 155:14 167:21 182:2 191:1 73:11 131:9 expect 159:9 expedite 162:5 194:5,8,20 195:3,25 196:7 196:10,18,22 197:2,6,7 198:4 199:20,22 199:20,23 1 | 25:11 27:16 | 135:20 | 140:23 183:24 | | 140:11 164:19 |
| 142:9 182:18 expedite 162:5 194:5,8,20 116:3 145:23 fashion 10:6 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 116:3 145:23 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 154:4,6 164:20 167:21 182:2 182:12 182:12 197:2,6,7 198:4 197:2,6,7 198:4 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 116:3 145:23 154:4,6 164:20 116:3 145:23< | 41:20 72:8 | expansion 30:20 | 191:11 192:6 | | 164:20 172:23 |
| 182:18 expense 83:22 195:3,25 196:7 154:4,6 164:20 fault 69:6 EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 failure 113:20 116:12 80:2 89:7 99:17 14:7 19:3 23:1 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 features 39:20 157:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 e-mails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 73:11 131:9 | 1 | | | 175:13 |
| EXAMINATI 88:10 205:13 196:10,18,22 202:17 favor 3:22 9:22 11:2 33:7 experience 14:7 197:2,6,7 198:4 failure 113:20 116:12 80:2 89:7 99:17 14:7 19:3 23:1 199:20,22 114:4 152:11 features 39:20 157:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 e-mails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 142:9 182:18 | expedite 162:5 | 194:5,8,20 | | fashion 10:6 |
| 9:22 11:2 33:7 80:2 89:7 99:17 115:20 155:14 167:21 182:2 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 9:22 11:2 33:7 199:20,22 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 164:23,23 164:23,23 160:16,76,76,8,22 199:20,22 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 154:21 164:22 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 182:18 | expense 83:22 | 195:3,25 196:7 | · | fault 69:6 |
| 80:2 89:7 99:17 115:20 155:14 167:21 182:2 191:1 201:2 85:7,12 87:8 201:2,30,3 150:1 199:20,22 200:2,10 201:1 200:2,10 201:1 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:22 154:21 164:23,23 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | | 88:10 205:13 | 196:10,18,22 | | favor 3:22 |
| 115:20 155:14 23:12 30:9 200:2,10 201:1 153:19,19,20 February 12:22 167:21 182:2 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 February 12:22 13:3 110:14 180:25 186:3 | 9:22 11:2 33:7 | experience 14:7 | 197:2,6,7 198:4 | | 116:12 |
| 167:21 182:2 38:24 39:25 e-mails 37:3 42:5 154:21 164:22 13:3 110:14 180:25 186:3 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 80:2 89:7 99:17 | 14:7 19:3 23:1 | - | | features 39:20 |
| 191:1 85:7,12 87:8 43:11 53:25 164:23,23 180:25 186:3 | 115:20 155:14 | 23:12 30:9 | 200:2,10 201:1 | | February 12:22 |
| 15.11 55.25 | 167:21 182:2 | 38:24 39:25 | e-mails 37:3 42:5 | | 13:3 110:14 |
| examined 9:21 91:5 92:20,23 99:9 104:4,8 207:5 federal 12:25 | 191:1 | 85:7,12 87:8 | 43:11 53:25 | | 180:25 186:3 |
| | examined 9:21 | 91:5 92:20,23 | 99:9 104:4,8 | 207:5 | federal 12:25 |
| | | | | <u> </u> | |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 14:9 163:13 | 109:11,11,22 | flagrant 204:21 | forgetting 39:19 | four-plus-year |
| 168:16 184:10 | 117:2 125:22 | flat 19:21 75:23 | forgot 70:13 | 192:9 |
| 184:24 185:7,8 | 126:7,8,12,13 | 175:13 | form 62:8 198:10 | four-year 192:11 |
| 185:9,12 | 127:2 143:20 | flawed 145:23 | 198:14 | frailties 126:19 |
| 198:15,16 | 146:16 148:5 | 147:9 | formed 174:2 | frame 11:17 29:6 |
| Feds 80:20 | 155:22 156:2 | flaws 5:7 | former 4:6 | 30:22 31:16 |
| feel 82:13 88:7 | 157:19 159:14 | flexibility 6:18 | 106:18,22 | framework 6:20 |
| 92:25 121:1 | 161:21 162:7 | 160:16 | 166:15 180:24 | 6:24 7:3,6,9,14 |
| 174:6 | 162:11 164:18 | flood 39:1 40:4 | 180:25 | 20:7 22:20 28:9 |
| feet 87:13 | 165:21 176:4 | flowing 31:15 | formula 26:11 | 28:13 34:2,4 |
| felt 73:16 106:24 | 206:4,7 | Flu 147:18 | forth 81:18 | 47:5,7,8,11 |
| 125:12 153:9 | fine 80:23 183:22 | focus 12:3 34:12 | 131:20 132:9 | 49:3,15 51:3,4 |
| Fenger 100:9 | finer 169:4 | 65:11,12 93:7 | 141:11 158:1 | 51:5,9 61:9 |
| fifth 96:12 | fingers 38:5 | 103:19 104:18 | 175:14 176:25 | 64:9,22 123:17 |
| fight 6:23 77:5 | finish 198:13 | 105:18 113:25 | forward 19:15 | 123:18,20 |
| 108:12 | finished 115:18 | 117:1 139:11 | 19:25 25:20 | 124:8,10,13,14 |
| fighting 7:2 38:1 | 163:25 179:8 | 139:14 168:25 | 27:2 31:12,16 | 124:25 134:6 |
| 70:15 | fire 87:13 | 177:15 187:6 | 36:20 56:21 | 175:23 177:17 |
| figure 25:16 32:7 | fired 122:22 | 187:10 | 91:17 124:7,13 | FRANK 1:11 |
| 92:14 | first 4:4 9:20 | focussed 118:10 | 124:19 127:22 | frankly 160:10 |
| file 29:7 | 11:19 13:7 | 139:18 170:3 | 146:21 148:2 | 161:21 |
| fill 29:5 | 14:18,22,25 | focussing 93:5 | 164:24 165:19 | fraud 30:11 |
| filling 154:7 | 18:15 19:12 | 105:8 | 165:23 202:2 | Fred 1:17 82:23 |
| film 12:9 | 21:10 22:18 | folks 7:1 17:10 | 205:8,23 | Freeman 174:8 |
| final 27:21 30:1 | 28:18 29:4 | 17:14 31:7 | 206:10,23,25 | fresh 91:12 |
| 112:17 114:10 | 41:14 51:24 | 81:24 82:23 | 207:15 | friend 93:9 |
| 155:8,10 | 63:7 68:10 71:4 | 107:14 169:13 | foster 184:14 | front 6:24 7:2 |
| finally 53:2 68:8 | 85:18 88:13 | follow 148:4 | 188:15 | 34:22 92:13,14 |
| 68:8 112:13 | 89:15 90:1 | followed 22:19 | fought 195:7 | 97:12,18,21,24 |
| 140:18 | 106:16 128:1 | 47:12 89:5 | found 101:16 | 107:4 125:13 |
| Finance 11:22 | 130:3 134:13 | 159:22 | 125:24 127:6 | 125:17 184:4 |
| 13:12 | 140:19 141:10 | following 55:24 | 128:24 129:10 | 191:6 193:4 |
| financed 52:25 | 162:22 167:16 | 67:19 75:8 | 134:3 149:18 | frontline 92:8 |
| financial 152:15 | 167:19 181:25 | 172:8 193:24 | 161:25 162:1 | fruit 111:3 |
| find 21:18 37:5 | 182:20 183:17 | follows 9:21 | foundation 90:25 | frustrate 150:25 |
| 98:1 103:7 | 185:24 192:20 | 167:20 182:1 | 177:14 | 151:3 |
| 112:5 115:5 | 193:10,20 | follow-through | four 17:9 39:17 | frustrated 82:11 |
| 128:11 133:21 | 208:5 | 105:9 | 51:9 52:21 | 157:9 |
| 140:21 149:21 | firsthand 11:10 | follow-up 24:15 | 98:25 115:6,16 | frustrating 84:18 |
| 200:7 | fiscal 6:16 7:20 | 31:22 157:19 | 121:5 164:10 | 203:14 |
| finding 21:17 | 13:23 60:12 | 158:16 | 182:21 184:21 | frustration 153:5 |
| 60:15 90:13,16 | 65:11 71:5 | Ford 16:15 | 186:14 191:25 | 153:7,16 |
| 108:22 | 75:20 | foregoing 208:11 | 192:2,3 195:4 | 156:14 |
| findings 76:17 | fit 18:23 162:5 | 208:12 | fourth 39:19 | full 134:24 |
| 89:20,22,23 | Five 5:21 | foreign 197:18 | 100:19 195:15 | 205:12 |
| 103:13 104:10 | fix 11:12 113:22 | foremost 106:16 | 199:18,18 | fully 152:5 |
| 108:23 109:2 | 206:9 | foretold 63:2 | 204:12 | function 113:17 |
| | <u>l</u> | <u>l</u> | 1 | <u>l</u> |
| | | | | |

| | i |] | 1 | I |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| functions 164:15 | general 1:23 5:2 | 157:7 168:22 | 25:12,24 28:19 | 166:3 168:13 |
| fund 84:15 | 5:8 6:16 8:22 | 172:12 | 29:19 31:20 | 168:25 170:6 |
| 138:15 151:23 | 23:6 28:1 30:15 | gives 54:4 71:15 | 32:11,14,17 | 171:6 180:1,1 |
| fundamental | 38:15 63:4 | 95:19 | 33:3,5 36:2 | 184:6 186:19 |
| 204:2 | 65:19 68:18 | giving 56:3 | 37:11 43:11 | 199:16,24 |
| funded 83:12 | 69:4 71:9,15,17 | glad 9:6 | 46:24 48:8 49:3 | 202:2 206:9,11 |
| 108:1 136:9 | 71:19 76:16,23 | global 207:15 | 49:7,16 52:6,7 | 207:15 |
| 137:23 177:16 | 77:13 86:8,15 | Gloria 174:19 | 53:5,7 55:21,22 | good 7:19 9:1,3 |
| 189:6 | 90:3,5,15 91:24 | go 4:9 5:11 9:16 | 56:5,21 57:16 | 33:9,10 74:18 |
| funding 19:15 | 92:21 104:9 | 13:2 21:8 22:13 | 59:3 60:18 63:4 | 75:21 76:24 |
| 30:2 112:6 | 116:19,24 | 23:14,23,25 | 63:7,23 64:3 | 77:3,6 78:1,11 |
| 116:5 136:12 | 125:20 126:1 | 24:4 25:21 30:4 | 69:19 70:21 | 80:5,24 81:14 |
| 137:6 139:3,8 | 127:10 132:5 | 30:20 39:10 | 74:20 77:4,23 | 81:15 88:11 |
| 203:8 | 135:25 145:25 | 44:5 63:23 64:2 | 78:19 80:14,16 | 92:3 93:2,5,21 |
| funds 57:19 | 146:5 147:10 | 66:21 67:14 | 80:20 81:11 | 104:9,13 |
| 60:11 71:4,13 | 156:24 157:6 | 68:1,1 69:15 | 82:18,24 83:1 | 105:22 106:17 |
| 71:14 169:20 | 160:5,11 161:3 | 70:9 71:1 72:15 | 84:5 85:17,18 | 121:16,18 |
| 171:13 184:10 | 161:5,11,16,19 | 72:16 73:8 | 86:6,17 87:24 | 127:12,24 |
| 185:6 189:8 | 164:7 176:18 | 75:18 77:16,19 | 88:6 89:9,13,13 | 132:12 154:1 |
| funny 80:6 | 189:24 192:20 | 79:13,18 82:21 | 92:15 94:20 | 154:10,19 |
| further 79:24 | 204:10,14 | 84:2,5 87:14 | 96:25 101:11 | 162:19 164:11 |
| 99:15 133:9 | 206:22 | 88:2 89:19 | 101:19,20 | 167:23,24 |
| 146:2 149:8 | generally 16:11 | 95:10 96:25 | 102:11,12 | 182:4 191:3,4 |
| 158:2 179:23 | 91:4 93:2,4,7 | 97:25 98:23 | 103:7,19 104:1 | 204:19 207:2 |
| 180:11 188:20 | 117:6 | 104:1 106:9 | 106:9,12,16 | 207:17 |
| 190:21,24 | General's 157:12 | 112:21 117:24 | 108:10,16 | good-hearted |
| 201:6,7,9,13 | 169:13 176:2 | 122:23 127:11 | 109:13,22 | 37:25 |
| future 5:12 77:17 | 189:21 202:14 | 128:20 129:20 | 110:3,6,10,17 | gotten 91:10 |
| 77:20 88:23,25 | 204:11 205:14 | 147:16,20 | 111:4,17 112:5 | 159:24 187:17 |
| 89:2 94:20 | gentlemen | 154:13 163:10 | 112:9,14,17 | governing |
| 103:15 118:21 | 111:15 | 164:19 165:8 | 115:2 119:4,4,5 | 156:12,13 |
| 161:1 | geographic 102:9 | | 119:14 120:1 | government 8:24 |
| FutureGen 12:11 | getting 19:8 | 180:20 181:17 | 120:12,13,14 | 14:9,9 23:12 |
| 47:23 | 55:13 56:8 | 183:13 195:5 | 120:18 121:11 | 32:17 38:21 |
| FY 116:4 | 62:22 103:11 | 195:15 197:5 | 121:20,21 | 80:10 86:2 95:8 |
| | 174:7 192:6 | 199:17 201:21 | 122:1,2 125:6,8 | 99:4 126:10,14 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}_{1,22,167,12}}$ | 196:10 198:5 | 202:6 206:25 | 131:7 133:3 | 126:19,20 |
| G 1:23 167:1,2 | give 9:7,11 20:7 | goal 118:12 | 135:8 137:9 | 146:12 153:17 |
| 181:8 | 54:21 105:2 | 165:1 203:11 | 139:24 148:2,3 | 155:7 159:4,14 |
| game 62:20 | 111:2,3,17 | goals 83:17 | 149:5,22 | 162:2,3 185:7,8 |
| 83:19 | 115:4 132:13 | goes 39:2 43:15 | 150:19 151:2 | 198:15 |
| gang 165:13 | 132:13 137:10 | 79:17 82:25 | 153:10,12 | governmental |
| gangs 190:14 | 151:6 160:19 | 85:16 102:20 | 155:11 158:4,8 | 202:20 |
| Garfield 145:4 | 165:13 179:13 | 150:8 157:16 | 159:3 161:1,15 | Governor 4:7 5:8 |
| gate 131:6 | 183:17,19 | 162:14 188:20 | 162:18 163:14 | 5:20 6:2,17 |
| 173:22 | 191:19 | going 13:22 | 164:24 165:7 | 7:11 13:4 15:17 |
| gathered 129:11 | given 18:13 | 22:22 23:10,19 | 165:19,23 | 15:25 16:4,5,8 |
| | I | | l | l |

| 16:21 19:23 | 94:10,21 114:2 | 162:13 186:4 | 177:17 188:19 | 148:24 152:2 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 20:16 30:24 | 120:2,4 122:13 | 187:21 195:13 | 190:1 | 172:23 |
| 32:14,15 34:18 | 122:17 123:13 | 200:18 203:7 | guidelines 84:19 | happening 38:11 |
| 35:14,25 36:19 | 123:20 124:9 | 203:20 | gun 5:16 7:13 | 43:5,7,8 47:13 |
| 39:2,5 40:6 | 124:25 125:3 | grant-making | 15:21,23 | 49:18 53:12,12 |
| 41:13 42:11 | 134:8 135:9 | 87:8 | 101:14 | 53:21 54:5,13 |
| 46:19 47:9 48:4 | 136:25 137:4 | grass 97:25 | guy 52:25 70:22 | 54:15,18 57:23 |
| 48:5 52:3,8,12 | 137:24,25 | 125:16,16 | 146:16 | 67:5 82:10 |
| 52:12,15 57:6,6 | 138:8,12,14,19 | great 5:25 10:21 | guys 49:7 127:2 | 92:13 93:14 |
| 57:9 58:15,19 | 138:23,25 | 52:25 53:16 | 172:12 | 97:18 101:21 |
| 58:25 65:14,20 | 139:6 143:17 | 80:11 90:3 | | 117:17 120:25 |
| 65:23 66:5 70:2 | 146:19 147:4 | 92:23,25 98:13 | H | 139:12,15,15 |
| 71:9,13,14,15 | 148:15 150:3 | 104:19 152:12 | H 167:2 | 162:9 |
| 71:21 73:23 | 150:11 157:8 | 154:13 155:3 | half 13:24 63:8 | happens 40:5 |
| 74:2 77:13 | 157:12 158:7,9 | 157:11 164:6 | 145:16,20 | 78:4,6 80:23 |
| 78:24 95:9,11 | 158:12 169:9 | 186:20 | 148:21 149:13 | 147:4 |
| 96:2,7,14,22,22 | 169:18,25 | greatest 91:25 | 150:7 166:10 | happier 80:7 |
| 96:24 98:7,15 | 170:7,19 | greatly 135:8 | half-an-hour | happy 132:7 |
| 101:2 110:7,19 | 175:12,16,22 | grew 75:18 | 166:8 | 167:14 178:12 |
| 111:10 120:19 | 176:20 178:4,7 | gripped 5:14 | hand 4:15,19 | hard 8:23 70:3 |
| 123:6,21 124:1 | 178:20 183:7 | gripping 6:1,19 | 167:4 181:10 | 76:20 115:15 |
| 124:6,11 125:2 | 187:17 192:21 | gross 84:4,5 | handed 160:6 | 115:17 126:14 |
| 134:25 146:20 | 193:1 195:12 | 86:14 87:25 | handle 10:15 | 126:17 141:17 |
| 147:3,12,17 | 195:19 200:16 | grossly 85:24 | 24:8 | hard-working |
| 152:14 154:23 | 202:20 | 86:12,18 87:21 | handled 188:3 | 126:9 |
| 159:10,16 | graduated | 87:22 164:19 | 189:19 | harm 40:13 |
| 160:8,20,24 | 104:25 | ground 69:3 | handouts 172:10 | Harmon's |
| 166:16 167:12 | Graham 186:2 | group 19:24 | 172:11 | 193:22 |
| 173:23,24 | 187:13 194:13 | 22:14 28:2,3 | Hansen 83:5 | harp 109:24 |
| 174:9,14,16 | 194:17 195:6 | 32:2 36:4,10,10 | happen 11:12 48:2 49:6 52:7 | Harris 49:23,24 |
| 175:19 180:25 | Graham's | 91:16 125:12 | 55:22 65:8 68:6 | haste 203:6 |
| 184:25 185:10 | 187:18 200:23 | 174:20 | | hastily 109:6 |
| 186:17 187:1,2 | grand 130:4 | groups 28:16 | 71:20 82:8 93:5 119:11 121:2 | 110:4 118:13 |
| 202:16 | grant 12:24 | 60:7 75:4 91:3 | 150:25 188:21 | hat 49:10 53:6 |
| Governor's 7:10 | 23:22,22 30:16 | 91:7,8,10 97:23 | 203:12 206:20 | hate 160:23 |
| 17:7 19:20 | 68:12,13 86:4 | 102:5 103:18 | happened 14:23 | head 41:6 89:23 |
| 29:18 31:8 | 91:13,21 | 195:17,18,18 | 15:24 17:5 | 90:20 91:2 |
| 33:22,25 34:3,4 | 105:17 149:24 | growing 84:2 | 19:25 20:3 | 135:6 |
| 34:8,9,14,16 | grantees 158:16 | growth 24:5,9 | 24:15 35:11,17 | headline 100:15 |
| 35:21,23 37:16 | grants 5:10 12:24 | 184:14 | 48:12 52:4 58:9 | headlines 96:13 |
| 44:9,18 46:4 | 12:24,25 23:14 | Guard 5:19 7:4 | 66:11 73:12,13 | health 176:18 |
| 47:10 49:25 | 25:20 77:15 | 16:13 54:12 | 77:19 93:17,21 | 177:21 healthier 80:8 |
| 50:3,15,23 | 86:3 87:17,18 | guess 31:6 64:14 | 100:10,13,17 | hear 14:18 21:10 |
| 51:25 57:18 62:8,12,12 | 88:24 90:24,24 91:11 103:15 | 70:1 94:3 134:2 143:19 156:10 | 103:17 108:19 | 70:10 73:14 |
| 65:12 74:16 | 150:9 157:18 | 156:14 157:2 | 122:24 137:16 | 81:10 108:25 |
| 75:23 85:11 | 158:15,16 | 162:20 163:12 | 147:7 148:13 | 120:8 151:25 |
| 13.43 03.11 | 150.15,10 | 102.20 103.12 | | 120.0 131.23 |
| | | | | |

| | | | I | I |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 171:4 172:1 | 203:10 | 126:1 127:10 | housing 18:23,23 | 117:21 143:13 |
| heard 14:22 | helped 12:14 | 132:5 135:25 | 85:2 | IEPA 183:8 |
| 24:17,22 29:2 | 13:7 14:2 55:9 | 145:25 146:5 | How's 32:11 | Illinois 1:5 5:4 |
| 62:16 72:16 | 82:4 86:18,20 | 147:10,23 | 35:18 | 7:15,21 11:16 |
| 80:24,25 81:1,3 | 86:23,24 93:18 | 156:24 | HR 131:10 | 12:15 13:13,18 |
| 81:4,8 94:14 | 93:20 99:6 | Holland's 176:1 | HUD 198:10,15 | 14:4 15:1 18:5 |
| 107:13 117:12 | 152:5 168:15 | home 94:15 | 198:19 | 28:2 44:3 52:12 |
| 119:17 135:11 | 187:10 190:13 | homicide 67:6 | huge 5:17 23:10 | 64:17 84:16 |
| 135:13 145:15 | helpful 17:9 | 97:15 | 152:18 | 88:3 90:21 |
| 145:19 146:22 | helping 12:18 | homicides 6:9,11 | human 15:9 | 98:11 112:25 |
| 148:20 149:13 | 18:4 38:3 46:18 | 15:12,14 53:21 | 16:18 132:8 | 123:1 127:15 |
| 150:6,10 | 93:24 95:13 | 54:8,9 82:6 | 154:10 171:8 | 152:25 155:23 |
| 164:19 170:17 | 122:5 | 139:14 | hundred 8:12 | 156:18 160:5 |
| 171:7,9,11 | helps 152:24 | hone 46:4 69:9 | 61:19 135:23 | 181:1,18 183:2 |
| 174:24 176:5 | 160:1 | hope 119:5 | hundreds 5:15 | 188:2 207:3 |
| 183:18 187:15 | heretofore 35:3 | 120:19,24 | 32:16 192:7 | 208:1,9 |
| 203:24 204:7 | hey 57:3 81:25 | 121:15,16,19 | hunt 89:14 | illustration 38:22 |
| hearing 1:1,4 | 196:10 | 122:10,10,16 | 163:20 | imagine 96:1 |
| 81:18 96:8,15 | high 30:25 59:12 | 149:20 164:24 | hurricane 40:4 | 102:17,17 |
| 145:21 147:19 | 96:6 100:9 | 165:23 207:16 | hurriedly 118:16 | 172:3 |
| 149:16 153:11 | 105:1 119:9 | hopefully 88:23 | hurry 49:2,7 | immediate 40:13 |
| 162:25 167:13 | 120:12 136:15 | 112:24 193:25 | 188:23 | 119:8,15,18 |
| 171:7 | 149:9 | 206:25 | | 120:3,5,14 |
| hearings 112:14 | higher 96:16 | hoping 14:21 | ICC 192 0 | 122:3,4 124:12 |
| 126:12 204:1 | 103:1 | 82:16 | ICC 183:9 | immediately 7:11 |
| heartfelt 150:16 | highest 151:20 | horrific 36:5 | idea 37:10 71:12 | 39:3 57:20 |
| 152:3 | highlighted | 100:7 | 72:15 105:6 | 83:11 96:19 |
| heart-wrenching | 93:11 102:21 | horrifying | 124:16 128:14 | 119:11 120:13 |
| 54:2 | highlights 92:1 | 103:10 | 140:8 141:8 143:22 144:18 | 121:2 123:22 |
| held 1:4 172:1 | highly 136:15 | horror 101:14 | | 149:2 |
| 173:3 205:15 | 153:15 | hour 1:6 2:1 | 168:4 170:24 | impacted 15:21 |
| hello 58:21 | hired 62:6 79:22 | 114:14 166:11 | 183:17 184:13 187:1 194:18 | 15:23 25:18 |
| helm 162:7 | 105:5,21 | 166:13 180:19 | 196:8 | impeachment |
| help 13:6 18:10 | history 11:16 | hours 43:22 | ideas 17:24 37:20 | 147:19 |
| 19:24 24:10 | 14:4 88:16 | 80:15 99:21 | 37:20 83:15 | implement 13:7 |
| 25:13,14 27:23 | 113:16 202:13 | 115:22 117:14 | 91:12,12,17,17 | 28:24 84:1 |
| 40:7 43:4 46:5 | 205:7 | 123:10 161:22 | 162:19 168:15 | 117:22 131:7 |
| 47:17 52:1,8 | Hoffman 82:22 | 162:21 201:23 | identified 27:7,8 | implementation |
| 55:7 62:13 | hold 23:14 50:9 | 202:24 203:15 | 101:12 130:3 | 75:9 164:24 |
| 72:18 77:25 | 53:23 110:17 | 203:15 204:5,5 | 136:8,14 | 176:9,21 |
| 78:2,8 79:3 | 149:9 157:25 | 205:12,12 | identify 141:13 | 202:17 |
| 91:13,20 92:15 | 205:21 | house 66:14 | 151:20,21 | implemented |
| 120:12,20 | holds 87:12 | 81:20 116:11 | IDFPR 183:8 | 110:5 118:13 |
| 148:2 165:10 | holes 101:16 | 145:17 | IDOT 21:5 24:21 | 184:6 |
| 168:1 174:4 184:14 186:11 | Holland 1:23 8:22 90:15 | housekeeping 3:15 114:21 | 72:11 | implementing 19:15 118:8 |
| | 8:22 90:13 116:24 125:20 | 3:13 114:21 115:18 | IDPA 31:1 69:15 | 141:7 |
| 188:11,15 | 110.24 123.20 | 113.10 | | 141./ |
| | - | - | - | - |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| | I | I |] | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| importance | 61:16 65:8 | 37:13 46:5 | intentional 8:20 | involved 13:9 |
| 115:24 190:3 | 71:19 152:24 | 47:10,16 48:20 | intentions 7:19 | 23:22 28:17 |
| important 10:14 | incredible 10:3 | 50:7 51:13,25 | 9:1 81:14 147:2 | 34:1,4,10,12 |
| 65:5 112:25 | 153:5 | 57:20 58:24 | 147:9 150:18 | 35:20 64:19,21 |
| 113:17 114:6 | incredibly 155:6 | 94:16 98:5,15 | interact 41:13 | 76:18,21 |
| 117:9 126:5 | incumbent 46:15 | 100:2 108:20 | 176:23 | 124:21 127:8 |
| 159:4,6 164:8 | 48:4 55:19 57:5 | 129:16 175:1 | interest 5:11 | 128:2 141:9 |
| 190:5 | 94:21 96:2,14 | 176:10 181:19 | 77:15 150:13 | 142:6,11,14 |
| impression 172:4 | 96:15 | initiatives 113:11 | 162:14 | 148:12,14,15 |
| improve 88:23 | incumbents | 145:18 159:4 | interested 56:25 | 148:16 150:11 |
| 90:17 93:6 | 46:11 95:1 | injured 5:15 | 75:8 87:7 | 150:12 155:4 |
| improved 90:10 | independent | input 37:16 97:9 | interesting 69:1 | 162:4 175:25 |
| improvement | 7:16 22:25 | 97:10 144:14 | 122:19 | 184:2,17 |
| 164:15 | 158:13 162:17 | 157:11 169:8 | interjected | 185:13 186:18 |
| Improvements | indicated 156:16 | 169:10 170:8 | 152:21 | 187:2,3,7,17 |
| 8:24 | individual 29:17 | inquired 15:6 | interjects 147:4 | 188:1,7,18 |
| inability 84:11 | 198:20 | inquiries 200:24 | international | 189:2 198:9,14 |
| inaudible 29:3 | individuals 17:11 | insight 163:13 | 12:8 13:14 | 200:24 |
| 84:21 124:16 | 20:15 21:16 | instance 146:14 | interrupt 56:9 | involvement |
| 153:6 183:9 | 155:22 | 151:13,14 | interrupted 56:1 | 31:13 32:21 |
| incentive 87:13 | influence 29:24 | 192:10 | intertwined | 38:20 63:1 |
| incentives 12:7,8 | influx 23:10 | instances 75:22 | 102:4 | 75:14 123:13 |
| 87:18 | 30:10 | institute 104:24 | intervened | 123:14 125:21 |
| inception 27:5 | information 35:2 | 165:8 | 149:14 | 168:9 169:25 |
| 33:20 38:23 | 51:22 72:20 | institution 92:22 | intervention | 170:19 171:20 |
| 52:23 75:10 | 84:20 132:13 | Instituto 104:23 | 150:2,3 | 172:23 173:15 |
| 171:17 172:21 | 132:13 164:17 | 104:23 | interview 168:14 | 176:8 182:9 |
| inchoate 61:21 | 165:24 169:1 | instruction 41:3 | interviews | 183:10 185:4 |
| incident 192:17 | 171:5 172:12 | instructions | 141:18 155:2 | 186:20 188:1 |
| include 113:6 | 177:12 205:24 | 84:19 | introduce 166:20 | 192:15,21 |
| 138:19 156:10 | 206:3 | instructive 38:21 | 166:21 | 193:2 198:22 |
| included 9:9 | informed 128:5 | 39:24 | introducing | 200:22 |
| 134:10 136:13 | informing 32:14 | instructor | 181:4 | in/you're 137:15 |
| including 7:14 | infrastructure | 165:12 | inventor 37:6 | IRIS 1:14 |
| 100:8 154:2 | 13:10 | instrument 21:20 | invest 82:12 | Irrespective |
| 179:22 183:8 | initial 17:12,25 | insufficient 9:1 | 83:20 | 170:16 |
| incompetence | 24:10 25:2 | insurance 14:1 | investigation | Irving 17:21,22 |
| 10:3 | 31:21 61:9 | 61:17 183:9 | 163:14,14 | 39:14 62:9 76:5 |
| inconsistencies | 64:22 | integrity 154:5 | 168:16 | 76:6 115:1,9,11 |
| 203:24 204:7 | initially 24:23 | 154:19 | investigators | 149:10,12,14 |
| inconsistent | 27:11 34:1 71:7 | intend 180:7 | 169:14 | 149:16,24 |
| 84:22 | 140:17 197:7 | intended 118:3 | investing 108:17 | 155:12 166:2 |
| incorrect 55:15 | initiated 152:17 | 120:23 | investment 93:13 | 166:15,18,23 |
| 84:21 168:21 | initiative 5:5 | intensely 96:17 | 93:13 | 166:23,25,25 |
| 168:23 | 14:14 21:11 | intensity 96:16 | invited 140:9 | 167:4,10,10,18 |
| increase 13:22 | 33:22,23 34:13 | intent 205:18 | 141:2 172:1,3 | 168:14 172:13 |
| 23:19 25:10,12 | 34:14,15 35:1 | intention 64:16 | inviting 5:2 | 178:17 180:3,9 |
| , | 1 | l | I | ĺ |
| | | | | |

| | I | | I | I |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 180:12 | 75:1 79:14,19 | Jim 1:15 126:1 | K 4:12 167:2 | King 167:1 173:7 |
| Irving's 179:2 | 79:21 84:20 | job 10:23 12:8 | keep 43:3 46:17 | 178:6,8,8,10,12 |
| issue 5:18 6:1,19 | 85:5 128:12 | 13:19 18:17 | 54:20 63:12 | 178:16,19 |
| 40:17,23 46:7 | 129:1 140:15 | 19:7 72:7 77:24 | 78:3 86:17 | 179:4 |
| 49:19 61:13,14 | 143:17,18 | 78:9 90:3,5,6,7 | 103:19 112:9 | knee-jerk 154:21 |
| 65:2 78:15,22 | 144:7,7,15 | 90:9,10 104:9 | 112:20 117:1 | 154:22 |
| 81:6,16 92:18 | 145:16 146:23 | 105:22 112:3 | 146:11 147:21 | knew 23:17,18 |
| 95:5 97:6 | 147:8 148:19 | 122:5,6,8,23 | 190:9,14,14 | 23:19 25:11 |
| 104:12,12 | 148:22 150:9 | 153:24 156:4 | 206:11 | 49:16 51:8,10 |
| 108:7 109:7 | 157:17 158:13 | 161:12 164:6 | keeping 164:20 | 53:4 119:25 |
| 111:7,14,14,23 | 158:21 183:1 | 183:15 184:5 | kept 15:4 38:3 | knocking 154:11 |
| 111:23 112:6 | 189:22 196:4 | 184:15 187:10 | 110:14 | know 8:8,13 |
| 115:24 118:21 | IVPA's 39:13 | 188:16 189:13 | key 45:1 98:15 | 10:14 15:3,15 |
| 151:4 158:24 | 149:5 | 189:19 190:3,4 | kid 10:2 | 15:20 16:1,5,14 |
| 185:2 201:24 | I-Save 147:17 | jobs 5:25 7:18,23 | kids 10:4 70:20 | 17:1,7,8,23 |
| 202:21 | т | 7:24 8:19 10:21 | 81:4 82:5 96:11 | 18:1 21:14 22:5 |
| issues 7:9 19:4 | J | 10:24 21:9 | 100:4 104:24 | 23:16 24:3 |
| 39:14 40:21 | J 1:11 4:12 167:2 | 38:13 39:18 | 105:5,22,23 | 25:21 26:4,7,17 |
| 43:8 47:15 | Jack 4:5,6,12,19 | 46:5 47:16 | 118:1 119:9 | 27:21 28:6,7,10 |
| 52:11,13,14 | 9:19 83:5 | 49:22 51:9 52:1 | 120:11 190:14 | 28:17 29:2,11 |
| 61:12 62:10,11 | 109:25 111:9 | 52:9 53:17 55:8 | 190:14 | 29:12,16,17,25 |
| 65:10 68:23 | 114:16 146:14 | 56:22 68:23 | killed 5:21 15:16 | 30:14,16 31:10 |
| 69:8 75:19 | 146:15,15 | 77:25 78:2,3,21 | 16:2 96:12 | 31:11,22 32:9 |
| 77:22 82:16 | 175:9 194:3,16 | 87:14 95:5,24 | 100:16 120:23 | 32:12 33:1 35:5 |
| 83:10 84:14 | 194:18,23 | 107:24 189:18 | killing 6:7,13 | 35:11 36:25 |
| 86:6,16,17,20 | 197:8 200:11 | 190:10,12 | 9:11 49:18 | 37:12 38:8,14 |
| 87:20 92:16 | Jackson 45:12 | Joey 50:1 | 53:20 54:15 | 38:25 39:4 41:6 |
| 95:6 108:3 | 174:9 | join 4:6 166:17 | 66:25 139:14 | 41:18,21 42:3 |
| 111:16 113:5 | Jack's 193:23 | 180:23 | killings 67:4 | 42:24 43:1,6,7 |
| 187:12 205:5 | 194:2 | joined 4:5 181:16 | 78:19 | 43:10,19 48:24 |
| issuing 203:2 | jail 121:6 159:16 | joining 166:1,2 | kind 10:19 18:21 | 50:17 54:24,24 |
| items 206:5 | Jane 1:22 2:4,9 | 166:10 167:7 | 18:25 19:7,18 | 57:11,22,23 |
| itinerary 17:1 | 115:4 | 180:5 181:12 | 28:14 32:12 | 58:2,6,8 59:11 |
| IVP 160:9 | January 66:13 | 182:4 | 34:5 36:2 39:17 | 59:14,15,16,17 |
| IVPA 21:19 | 83:4 111:20,20 | jointly 197:13 | 47:13 76:13 | 60:23 61:2,3,12 |
| 22:13,19,24 | 140:20 149:1 | jokingly 89:17 | 89:16 91:23,23 | 61:13,15,24 |
| 24:5,8,16,18,19 | Jason 1:10 48:21 | Joliet 11:9 | 92:20 94:5 97:1 | 62:10,17 63:6 |
| 25:4,15 27:4 | 50:13,14,15,16 | Jonathan 167:1 | 104:17 105:18 | 63:18,24 65:1,6 |
| 28:23 29:18 | 201:25 202:6 | July 15:15,24 | 106:1,5,8 | 65:17 66:7,8,8 |
| 33:22 34:1,6,9 | 206:11 | 67:10 100:14 | 123:11 125:15 | 66:10,14,19,19 |
| 34:13,23,25 | Jerry 83:2,4,8,22 | 100:15 | 128:8 134:11 | 66:22 67:3,3,7 |
| 35:5,10 37:17 | 85:8 86:10 88:7 | June 100:14,14 | 135:20 144:18 | 68:14,20,20,24 |
| 50:22,25 51:4 | 90:19,19 | Justice 127:15 | 146:10 166:4 | 69:7,19,25 |
| 51:11,15 57:13 | 111:10 | 164:16 165:24 | 173:14 188:25 | 70:19 71:1 |
| 59:22 60:3 63:5 | Jerry's 85:10 | 205:23 206:3 | 189:7 202:1 | 72:11,12 74:10 |
| 65:20 66:10 | 88:13 | | 203:11 | 74:14,24 77:23 |
| 68:19 74:17,18 | Jesse 174:9 | K | kinds 91:22 | 78:3 80:6,20,22 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | ī | ī | ī | 1 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 81:19 82:9 | 175:21 176:4,6 | landed 116:1 | Lawndale's | 58:23 |
| 83:14 85:11,22 | 176:12,15,19 | Laramie 105:3,4 | 129:16 | legislators 116:7 |
| 88:4,7 92:24 | 182:17,21,24 | large 12:24 25:9 | lawyer 58:11,12 | legislature 87:12 |
| 93:19 94:10 | 182:25 183:14 | 25:12 30:10 | 58:14 191:7,16 | 113:16 116:5 |
| 95:2 96:25 | 183:19,23,24 | 160:8 | 191:19 | 152:15 160:18 |
| 97:19,20 98:13 | 183:24 184:3,6 | larger 170:4 | lay 128:24 129:6 | 203:11 |
| 98:22 99:5 | 184:10,13,20 | LaSalle 1:5 | lead 59:19,21 | legitimate 40:2 |
| 100:12 101:2,3 | 184:21,22 | lastly 162:20 | 60:10,16,21,24 | length 118:2,3 |
| 102:14 106:21 | 185:5,6,13,15 | late 15:24 37:4 | 60:25 61:1 74:6 | lent 42:22 |
| 106:21 107:8,9 | 186:12 187:2,4 | 46:1 50:5 | 74:22 75:2 | letter 123:25 |
| 107:12,15 | 188:14,24 | Latin 104:24 | 128:21 129:9 | 124:6,11,12 |
| 108:8,18,19,21 | 189:13 190:16 | Latino 84:9,12 | 129:15,22 | 191:7 |
| 109:10,12,16 | 191:10,11 | 85:18 96:5 98:8 | 139:19 144:6,9 | letting 57:11 |
| 109:23,24,25 | 192:8,24 193:1 | 165:8 | 144:9,10,12 | let's 37:21,22 |
| 110:5,12 111:2 | 194:22,22 | Latino-related | 148:8,9 171:20 | 63:11,12 |
| 111:18,18,21 | 195:21 196:4 | 84:14 | 171:23 192:22 | 123:15 126:25 |
| 112:5,10,12,14 | 196:11 197:20 | launched 184:7 | leader 95:8,8 | 127:1 130:2 |
| 112:19,22 | 197:23 198:19 | Lavin 4:5,12,12 | leadership 6:3 | 142:13 171:18 |
| 114:5,22 | 198:20 199:2 | 4:24 5:1 9:7,11 | 46:12 48:5 | 194:16 195:5 |
| 116:18 117:5 | 201:14 202:5 | 9:19,24 11:5 | 52:14 55:19 | 196:6 200:7 |
| 117:20 118:2 | 202:24 204:5 | 15:7 26:16 33:2 | 57:5,12 95:4 | level 5:24 22:4 |
| 118:25 119:2 | 204:16 205:6 | 33:9 37:2,11 | 96:20 98:24 | 76:21,22 125:8 |
| 119:16,24 | knowing 102:23 | 38:20 43:15 | 101:8 | 147:20 |
| 120:5,8,21 | 157:9 | 48:8 53:6 56:13 | leading 95:12 | levels 14:8 30:2 |
| 126:16 128:13 | knowledge 25:19 | 68:3 79:7,23 | 162:6 199:7 | 136:15 |
| 128:14 130:9 | 69:5 162:2 | 80:4 83:3,5 | leads 144:24 | Leyden 148:24 |
| 131:21,21 | 171:21 187:16 | 86:25 89:9 | 160:2,22 | 149:7,9,18,25 |
| 133:8,10,22 | 189:20 193:3 | 99:19 106:2 | learn 82:17 | 150:5 151:10 |
| 135:3,9,22,24 | known 5:23 | 113:2 114:17 | 109:11,12 | liaison 140:15 |
| 135:25 137:17 | 14:14 69:20 | 114:20 117:15 | 112:13 113:20 | licensed 178:14 |
| 137:18,20 | 168:7 | 128:6 130:2 | 113:21 | lies 82:20 156:23 |
| 138:16 139:1,1 | knows 106:7 | 132:15 134:21 | learned 65:24 | life 8:13,17 38:1 |
| 140:5,5,8 142:9 | Korea 13:16 | 137:8 141:6 | 153:3,4 203:5 | 62:17 82:14 |
| 142:9,20,21 | Krista 6:5 | 146:15 148:7 | learning 158:3 | 93:19 106:1,24 |
| 143:25 148:25 | KWAME 1:16 | 155:16 156:16 | 161:3 | lifetime 146:25 |
| 149:5 150:23 | | 166:9 175:10 | leave 73:12 | light 14:22 |
| 151:1,10 | <u>L</u> | 175:17,21 | 205:18 | lightning 38:16 |
| 152:12 153:2 | L 4:13 181:8 | 185:25 194:3 | led 188:9 203:22 | limited 102:16 |
| 154:1,22 | La 16:15 | 194:16 196:25 | left 13:25 48:10 | 122:21 123:3 |
| 157:14 158:25 | lab 12:13 | 197:9,10,12,15 | 80:10 129:5 | Lindsay 83:5 |
| 159:18,21 | Labor 6:10 12:18 | 200:11 | 142:19 201:14 | line 36:14 49:5 |
| 160:23 164:2 | 15:13,13 53:22 | law 164:18 | legal 162:23,24 | 57:3 104:1 |
| 165:14 166:7 | LAC 191:6 | 202:11 | 163:8,10 | 106:25 114:16 |
| 169:3,9 170:2,4 | lack 5:25 7:18 | lawmaker | legislation 77:19 | 145:1 195:11 |
| 170:21 171:2 | 8:19 10:21 | 154:25 155:4 | 162:13,18 | lines 6:24 7:2 |
| 171:25 172:2 | 132:6 175:16 | lawn 94:5 | Legislative 1:1,3 | 92:13,14 97:13 |
| 172:15 173:2,3 | Ladies 111:15 | Lawndale 129:14 | 2:2 5:1 43:9 | 97:18,21,24 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 125:13,18 | loan 183:15 | 206:16 207:14 | lots 35:11 | manner 146:4 |
| 138:7 164:4 | 184:5,8,11 | looked 18:23 | lottery 180:4 | map 138:3,6,7 |
| linked 186:4 | 189:14 198:8 | 19:5,5 39:15 | Louis 136:19 | 142:24 |
| links 99:9 | 198:16,23 | 49:14 87:19 | 138:24 | maps 138:20 |
| list 70:9 73:17 | loans 10:24 | 90:14,15 128:9 | low 96:6 | March 110:15,16 |
| 75:18 102:14 | 18:18,18 19:7 | 129:3 135:10 | lump 6:17 71:10 | Mark 49:23,24 |
| 102:15 103:2 | 72:6,7 190:12 | 135:14 138:3 | 71:11,15,21 | marker 35:19 |
| 128:20 129:18 | local 6:25 14:9 | 140:5 148:10 | 160:7,11,15,24 | market 60:2 |
| 129:22 130:16 | 28:19 34:6 | looking 18:24 | 161:17 | Martinez 1:14 |
| 130:21 131:12 | 90:24 103:18 | 39:14 43:24 | lunch 166:4 | 2:17,18 56:5 |
| 131:18 133:25 | 125:8,13 | 52:17 71:2 77:6 | 180:12,14 | 106:10,11,15 |
| 135:21,22,23 | 139:25 193:24 | 77:25 78:1,13 | | Master's 13:13 |
| 136:3,5,13,20 | located 205:25 | 80:21,21 90:16 | M | 13:14 |
| 137:12,14 | lock-in 207:7 | 103:14 104:7 | M 4:17 | material 84:11 |
| 138:10,21,24 | log 178:22 | 129:17 137:12 | Mack 50:1 | 84:13 |
| 140:21 170:2,5 | long 5:11 10:19 | 162:17 164:10 | main 38:14 76:22 | materials 48:22 |
| 170:22,24 | 27:3 38:5 39:12 | 168:12 169:12 | major 90:21 | 84:25 172:20 |
| 171:2 172:5 | 77:16,20 | 192:7 194:24 | majority 71:4 | Materre 174:19 |
| listed 140:22 | 159:13 162:15 | looks 50:4,8 51:5 | 156:2 | math 159:25 |
| 172:10 | 202:10 | 51:19 141:6 | makers 161:9 | 165:10 |
| listen 33:14,16 | longer 88:21 | 144:18,18 | making 10:13 | Matsoff 44:8,19 |
| 80:13 103:9 | 120:14 121:4 | loop 149:11 | 57:1 108:14 | 200:11 |
| listened 99:22 | 122:6,7 | loose 19:18 | 109:15 152:23 | matter 73:5 |
| 117:14,15 | longest 111:12 | lose 159:19 | 153:8 156:19 | 81:15 82:1,1 |
| listening 58:3,5 | long-term 7:5 | loses 78:9 | Malcolm 17:14 | 83:6 87:3 |
| 94:7 190:2 | 10:17 18:21 | lost 22:10 | 18:25 19:11 | 114:21 116:10 |
| little 11:15 27:9 | 38:13 77:22 | lot 10:8,9 12:2,11 | 20:5 52:23 | Matthews 174:20 |
| 44:15 74:6 | 119:2,13 120:5 | 13:9,21 14:6,7 | 57:18,25 72:24 | Mautino 1:11 2:1 |
| 75:13 91:13,20 | 121:8,11 | 14:10 19:3 20:1 | 76:4,7,11 | 2:7,25 3:1,10 |
| 96:17 105:2,18 | 122:11,12 | 23:1,1 32:16 | 142:10 172:16 | 3:21,25 4:2,14 |
| 106:13,25 | look 10:19,19 | 41:23 45:8,11 | man 101:14,16 | 4:18,21,25 9:7 |
| 113:9 122:6,6,7 | 18:20 19:9 | 47:5 65:10 67:4 | 110:15 | 9:13 11:1 33:6 |
| 158:24 165:14 | 43:11 53:11 | 67:24 70:8,12 | manage 13:6 | 56:2,16 79:24 |
| 178:10,11 | 62:20 63:14 | 70:16,20 75:19 | 24:9 70:25 | 89:4 99:15 |
| 182:8 184:1,8 | 64:2,23 68:3 | 76:9 77:18 | managed 61:5 | 103:23 106:3 |
| 186:6,16 | 73:7 80:7,11 | 79:16 86:6,14 | 189:19 | 106:12 112:16 |
| 187:20 188:19 | 81:11 87:17 | 87:1 88:4 89:19 | management 5:7 | 114:8,14 115:2 |
| 188:20 | 90:14 97:12 | 93:23 104:7 | 87:22 105:10 | 115:16 119:7 |
| live 54:5 81:24 | 105:24 107:22 | 105:14 110:17 | 106:8 113:13 | 152:9,10 155:8 |
| 82:4,6 97:1 | 116:8 135:1 | 112:22 121:7 | 122:21 123:3 | 163:24 164:2 |
| 139:5 | 141:5,21 | 124:18 126:15 | 154:6,7 164:9 | 166:13,24 |
| lives 8:6,8,11,18 | 144:17 146:20 | 140:22 157:16 | 164:14 | 167:3,6,15 |
| 8:21 9:4 81:3 | 147:16 148:8 | 157:19,20 | manager 44:10 | 179:7,12,18,23 |
| 127:4 159:3 | 155:2 189:10 | 165:20 168:23 | managing 23:10 | 180:1,3,10,13 |
| 190:11 | 191:22 193:4 | 175:14 176:24 | 61:15 | 180:19 181:9 |
| living 103:11 | 193:10,10 | 187:11,11 | Manar 1:13 2:15 | 181:12,16 |
| 153:15 | 194:5 204:15 | 189:4 | 2:16 136:18 | 190:23 201:7,9 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | I | l |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 201:13,20 | 175:14 | 172:19,25 | 26:21 38:18 | 23:9 24:17,18 |
| 204:25 207:22 | meaningful | 173:3,4 174:12 | 42:15 47:4 | 24:18,24,24,25 |
| Mayberry | 152:4 153:22 | 174:15 206:14 | 74:15 75:18,22 | 25:15,23 26:1 |
| 207:12 | means 150:3 | 206:15 207:24 | 79:9 83:18 98:4 | 30:3 38:19 41:5 |
| mayor 40:18 | 161:18 | 208:11,15 | 101:17,23 | 62:22 71:24,24 |
| 41:1 150:1 | meant 114:3 | meetings 3:17 | 119:7 144:22 | 72:1,2,9,12,14 |
| Mayor's 113:4 | measurables | 24:2 32:3,4,10 | 145:1 175:15 | 72:17,20,21 |
| Maywood 149:4 | 64:16 | 34:6,7 35:6 | mentioning | 82:23 203:23 |
| 149:8,23 | measure 90:9 | 36:21 42:25 | 128:18 | millions 88:4 |
| 151:10 | 93:19 119:20 | 43:4 103:3 | mentoring 7:23 | 125:7 152:19 |
| MAZIARZ | measured 116:19 | 109:25 120:6 | 19:2 39:17 51:9 | 189:8 |
| 128:1 131:9,17 | measurement | 132:16,21,23 | 78:5 119:7,10 | mind 33:24 44:6 |
| 134:5,19 | 38:8 63:25 | 132:25 140:3,6 | 120:11,17,17 | 74:18 |
| 135:13 136:3 | measurements | 140:9 142:7,12 | 121:20 207:8 | minimum 23:23 |
| 136:17 140:10 | 38:9 64:8,11,13 | 142:22 143:7,8 | mentors 105:3,20 | 24:6 |
| 141:3 143:21 | 64:14 90:9 | 205:15 | 105:23 | minister 97:15 |
| 144:17 147:23 | measures 115:24 | meets 35:10 | mere 53:7 | ministers 16:1,1 |
| ma'am 173:1 | measuring 63:2 | Meister 185:25 | merit 113:10 | 16:8,10,22 17:4 |
| MBA 13:14 87:9 | meat 11:14 | 193:11 197:8 | messages 46:6 | 31:10,11 34:7 |
| mean 16:11 24:8 | mechanism | 200:11 | 49:21 52:1,9 | 34:19 35:12,24 |
| 26:20 28:4,8 | 113:3 | member 15:22 | 53:11 | 39:5 40:8 101:6 |
| 30:13 35:24 | media 65:24 | 36:4 105:12 | messaging 46:14 | 101:18 102:3 |
| 38:25 47:25 | 68:25 | 117:18 165:5 | 47:17 55:1,8,9 | 110:3 173:4 |
| 48:9 61:6,6,11 | Medicaid 13:25 | members 5:1 | 55:10 98:18 | 174:11,13,17 |
| 61:15 63:3 | 61:18 65:8 | 7:12,13 15:18 | met 15:25 31:3 | 174:20 |
| 64:24 65:5,15 | MEE 84:10,10 | 15:21 81:5 | 34:18 37:15 | minute 4:16 |
| 67:3,22 68:20 | 84:12,13 | 95:15 99:7 | 41:20,25 101:4 | 42:15,16 |
| 76:18 77:18,21 | Meeks 174:10 | 104:11 109:23 | 101:5,6 174:20 | 115:12 183:4 |
| 78:14 82:8,8 | meet 34:24 35:8 | 113:14 114:22 | methodology | minutes 3:16 4:3 |
| 87:2 90:13 92:6 | 48:23 101:18 | 116:18 125:3 | 131:6 | 57:13 114:9,12 |
| 93:17 94:25 | 135:3 195:7 | 125:23 140:7 | metrics 171:4 | 115:13 140:12 |
| 101:7 105:5,25 | meeting 16:8,21 | 150:15 153:5,7 | metropolitan | mirrors 38:23 |
| 119:12 121:15 | 17:4,6,6,12,25 | 154:14 156:23 | 195:14 | misapprehensi |
| 123:5 130:9 | 18:9 19:13 | 156:25 166:4 | Mica 44:8,19 | 177:7 |
| 133:6 134:16 | 22:15,17,18,18 | 175:22 176:1 | 200:11 | misdealt 161:13 |
| 137:18 139:7 | 31:21,22,24,25 | 179:9 181:20 | micro 18:18 72:7 | mismanaged |
| 141:8,18 | 34:19,23,25 | 202:9 204:25 | 183:15 184:4,8 | 85:24 86:12 |
| 142:15 143:20 | 35:2,2,4,12,13 | memo 53:9 55:17 | 184:11 189:14 | 87:4 |
| 145:9,13 148:7 | 35:24 36:23,23 | 56:19 169:12 | 198:8,16,23 | mismanagement |
| 148:8 149:1 | 37:16 57:24 | Memorial 6:9 | mid 16:24 35:18 | 84:5,6 85:16 |
| 158:20 160:20 | 58:2 74:4 | 15:13 53:22 | 37:4 | 86:14,19 88:1,1 |
| 162:3 163:6,20 | 124:24 125:12 | memory 123:11 | midst 56:1 | 164:22 |
| 186:20 187:4 | 140:11,12,19 | 182:20,24 | mike 126:21 | missed 203:25 |
| 188:13 190:18 | 140:25 144:11 | men 126:10 | 127:10,25 | mission 11:7 |
| 192:5,6,7,16 | 144:12 170:4,4 | mention 70:19 | 166:24 | 64:12 188:14 |
| 201:1 203:17 | 171:25 172:7 | 89:15 90:18 | million 20:18,20 | mistake 196:1,9 |
| meaning 153:18 | 172:13,14,18 | mentioned 22:14 | 20:24,25 21:17 | 196:19 197:15 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| mistaken 177:11 | 138:1,6,18 | 4:16 54:1 | 35:21 49:9,15 | 130:12,12,21 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Mistakes 8:19 | 142:23 | 100:19 166:20 | 49:16 50:12 | 136:8 137:18 |
| mix 18:5 134:18 | morning 2:9 6:13 | 166:22,23 | 52:13 54:16,17 | 145:5 161:4,23 |
| 137:24 | 33:9,10 54:8 | 167:10 181:3,3 | 62:12 65:8 | 177:5 187:7 |
| Mm-hmm | 115:11 128:7 | 181:5 187:13 | 91:20 98:17 | new 43:7,12 |
| 199:21 200:1 | 175:9 179:22 | 187:15 | 123:21 150:19 | 47:21,22 65:7 |
| mobilize 186:1 | mothers 54:13 | named 6:5 | 151:23 163:8 | 76:2 79:5 88:22 |
| mode 45:5 | motion 3:16,19 | names 15:20 | 164:15 165:25 | 91:10,12,17 |
| model 177:8 | 116:13 202:5 | 16:12 | needs 78:7,8 | 104:6 118:16 |
| moment 41:10 | 207:19,22 | narrative 56:8 | 104:19 105:7 | 119:22 172:1 |
| 116:17 | motivate 101:8 | national 5:19 7:4 | 106:14 113:25 | 189:7 |
| Monday 100:21 | motive 80:17 | 12:13,17 16:13 | 121:25 126:6 | news 6:12 15:12 |
| money 20:15 | 85:23 | 54:12 | 152:22 195:7 | 16:12 54:7 |
| 21:3,4 24:19 | mouth 120:10 | natural 40:4 41:2 | negatives 10:9,10 | 97:13 101:1 |
| 25:17 27:6,23 | 142:19 | nature 38:7 | neglect 160:10 | 179:14 |
| 30:10,19 38:13 | move 19:15,24 | 66:25 106:20 | 162:6 | newspaper 54:8 |
| 45:8 71:5,10,12 | 25:1 30:19 | 118:14 | negligent 164:20 | newspapers 6:13 |
| 72:7 75:6 83:1 | 36:20 53:9 | near 139:5 | negotiations 14:2 | night 6:13 50:5,6 |
| 83:20,20 87:14 | 56:21 59:3 | nearly 100:5 | 61:18 | 54:7 82:5 97:13 |
| 90:24 93:10 | 68:19 124:6,13 | neatly 68:6 | neighbor 101:11 | 115:8 147:11 |
| 105:14 133:3 | 127:22 169:5 | necessarily 76:23 | 101:13 | 177:25 |
| 150:8,19 151:6 | moved 3:20 | 79:10 | neighborhood | nights 43:10 |
| 152:18,22 | 65:19 177:1 | necessary 33:4 | 5:4 7:21 14:14 | 177:24 |
| 154:12 161:12 | moves 3:22 27:2 | 116:23 206:18 | 21:6,6,10 35:1 | Nodding 44:24 |
| 170:22 187:24 | moving 25:19 | necessity 117:12 | 37:13 40:12 | 164:1 |
| 189:11 203:9 | 30:10 31:12,16 | need 2:7 3:15 | 46:4 47:10 | non-for-profit |
| 204:19 | 32:11 124:19 | 9:14 25:13 | 48:20 50:7 | 90:21 |
| monies 170:1,20 | 146:21 | 37:17 48:19,23 | 51:13,25 58:24 | non-for-profits |
| 171:24 181:18 | mower 94:6 | 50:6 67:10 | 83:12,16 100:1 | 90:23 92:2 |
| 184:24 185:9 | multiple 28:23 | 68:21,22 71:18 | 100:20 108:20 | 109:14 |
| 185:12 | 55:25 | 77:5 80:25 | 129:16 153:16 | North 1:5 129:14 |
| monitored 5:10 | multiplied 86:23 | 85:25 88:6 91:6 | 174:25 176:9 | 129:16 |
| 77:15 162:14 | mural 6:8 | 91:12 92:1,14 | 195:8,12 | note 112:15 |
| monitoring 9:2 | murder 67:6 | 93:6 99:1,1,23 | 200:17 | 173:6 |
| 23:23 68:13 | 97:14 | 99:23 103:5,6,9 | neighborhoods | noted 129:24 |
| 91:14,22 | murdered 5:15 | 103:12,23 | 27:18 59:5 | notes 208:13 |
| 105:17 155:25 | 110:13 | 104:12 105:19 | 78:20 82:7 | notice 155:11 |
| 156:3 | murders 20:2 | 106:7 113:1 | 108:17 109:9 | notified 3:11 |
| month 15:8 20:8 | 26:25 53:21 | 122:23 125:12 | networks 39:19 | 144:7 |
| 32:5 46:25 55:6 | mystery 130:4 | 133:4 146:11 | 72:11 131:23 | notion 124:21 |
| 79:5 | 133:24,25 | 147:21 151:20 | 136:4,9,12 | not-for-profit |
| Monthly 32:6 | | 163:16 164:21 | Networks-iden | 84:8 85:18 |
| months 30:8 41:4 | <u>N</u> | 165:16 173:25 | 137:1 | 92:21 |
| 53:7,8 75:16 | N 4:13 166:25 | 174:3 188:11 | never 8:8 35:3 | not-for-profits |
| 88:14 | 167:1,2,2,2 | 202:5 204:3,4,6 | 63:22 88:25 | 85:13 88:17 |
| | 181:6,8 | 1 160070 | 00.1 121.10 | 01 (16 |
| Moore 4:16,17 | name 4:10,11,12 | needed 6:2,3 7:3 | 90:1 121:10 | 91:6,16 |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| 206:14 NRI 4:22 14:18 | 14:4 15:25 20:2 20:18,20 24:25 | occurring 151:8 | 41:14,19 69:20 | 142:14 170:10 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| NRI 4:22 14:18 | | O-4-11-6 | | |
| | 25 2 6 5 4 6 | October 1:6 | 73:15 75:25 | 175:8 179:22 |
| | 25:2,6,7,16 | 13:21 32:21,24 | 87:16 96:11 | online 115:6,9,12 |
| 14:20,22,23,25 | 26:16 47:15 | 38:17 47:1,2 | 162:16 181:1 | 115:14 182:23 |
| 18:12,15,16,17 | 77:1 102:8,18 | 148:11 171:22 | 186:21 | 183:24 |
| 18:17 19:18 | 104:16 111:17 | 173:6,16 189:6 | officers 5:21 7:14 | open 29:6 201:14 |
| 27:5 31:13 | 111:18 132:1 | odd 104:20 | 18:3 101:6 | 205:18,21 |
| 33:21 36:12,17 | 143:12,24 | odds 204:1 | 174:21 | 206:11 |
| 38:24 59:4,13 | 183:7 | offended 106:19 | official 42:19,21 | opening 4:23,24 |
| 60:11,16 64:9 nu | ımbers 8:4 | office 11:21 12:9 | 57:1 98:19 | 9:12 11:5 62:16 |
| 65:14,25 66:18 | 103:1 145:11 | 17:7 19:9,20 | officials 6:25 | 68:10 77:11 |
| 68:9 69:3,6 | | 20:21,22 29:18 | 28:20 34:7 | 86:25 99:25 |
| 71:4 73:18 | 0 | 31:8 33:22,25 | 102:4,25 | 113:2 123:12 |
| | 4:17,17 14:19 | 34:3,4,8,10,14 | 125:14 129:1 | 123:16,17 |
| 10.23 17.0 | 166:25 167:2 | 34:16 35:21,24 | 132:22 139:25 | 124:3 167:8 |
| 00.10 01.17 | 181:6 | 37:15,16 41:23 | 152:25 153:21 | 181:13 |
| 05.5 74.10,10 | th 195:22 | 44:18 49:25 | oh 50:9 58:15 | operate 25:5 |
| JU.T 107.12 | 208:5 | 50:3,15,23 | 69:22 77:11 | 162:2 |
| 111.5 125.25 | bama 13:6 | 57:18 62:8,12 | 95:21 133:24 | Operating 5:16 |
| 127.10 127.10 | 45:13 | 62:12 72:22,25 | okay 22:5 27:2 | 13:3 14:15,21 |
| 131,22 130,10 | berweis 1:15 | 74:16 75:23 | 36:14 40:25 | 41:14,18 69:20 |
| 137.2 113.0 | 2:19 3:12 9:15 | 76:8 87:18 | 41:11 42:7,15 | 73:15 75:24 |
| 1 13.17 133.3 | 9:17,23 180:21 | 95:17 113:4 | 50:13 51:17,23 | 181:1 186:21 |
| 130.20 107.11 | 181:22 182:3 | 120:2,4 124:25 | 52:10 56:12 | operation 37:9 |
| 100.2,0,10 | 198:7 | 134:8 135:9 | 58:15 60:4 62:5 | 156:1 |
| 107:0,7,20 | ject 8:18 | 136:25 137:4 | 63:10 64:2 | operational 69:5 |
| 1,0.1,20,22 | jected 87:25 | 137:24,25 | 70:12 89:3 | 69:6 157:22 |
| 1,1,10,10,2 | ojections 3:14 | 138:8,12,14,19 | 124:15 128:1 | 176:21 |
| I | oviously 14:6 | 138:23 139:1,7 | 129:9 130:21 | Operationally |
| 1/3.10,1/,23 | 16:18 18:2 | 143:17 146:20 | 130:24 131:4 | 67:24 |
| 171.5175.1,0 | 19:14 20:1 | 147:4,12,16 | 142:2 145:7 | operations 76:19 |
| 173.23 170.21 | 22:24 33:19 | 148:15 150:3 | 147:20 157:24 | opine 76:20 |
| 177.0,10,20 | 36:4 37:25 | 150:11 156:22 | 164:2 170:6 | 88:15,17 |
| 102.7,10,20,21 | 43:12 62:14 | 157:8,12 158:7 | 174:23 178:6,9 | opinion 66:17 |
| 103.11,10 | 64:15 85:9 | 158:10,12 | 179:7 180:10 | 82:1 86:9 157:5 |
| 101.12,23 | 86:20 171:15 | 159:10,11,17 | 180:21 181:16 | 161:8,9 189:15 |
| 103.17 100.3 | 175:25 177:10 | 169:10,13,19 | 185:17 186:16 | opinions 145:22 |
| 107.21 100.10 | 182:22 199:6 | 169:25 170:8 | 191:24 197:15 | opportunities |
| 100.12 107.23 | 200:22 | 170:19 175:12 | 199:21 200:22 | 190:3,4 |
| 171.12 172.13 | casio 17:17 | 175:16,22 | 207:22 | opportunity 12:1 |
| 1/2.22 1/3.2 | 53:4 81:3,23 | 176:20 178:5,7 | once 42:25 43:1 | 12:22 39:22 |
| 170.1170.7,17 | 106:19,22 | 178:20 183:7 | 61:10 128:12 | 95:19 202:15 |
| 170.27 202.17 | 107:5 | 187:17 192:21 | 133:2 143:22 | 204:10 |
| 201.12 | casion 98:9 | 193:1 195:19 | 170:23 179:15 | opposed 3:25 |
| Tuckels TT. 1,5 | ccur 64:11 | 202:14 205:14 | 180:1 184:6 | 104:20 |
| 177.5 | ccurred 8:24 | officer 5:16 13:4 | ones 91:20 92:4 | optics 141:5,24 |
| number 12:13,20 | 132:17 204:17 | 14:15,21 15:16 | 104:6 108:25 | 141:24 142:17 |
| | | | | |

| | | I | I | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| option 165:4 | 85:16 | part 14:25 22:12 | 162:13 | 129:7,13 |
| order 2:3 110:9 | ownership 37:7 | 31:1,4 36:22 | passes 207:23 | 141:19 145:22 |
| 114:15 125:16 | 52:22 | 59:11,13 73:25 | passing 160:11 | 150:13,16 |
| 166:14 180:22 | owns 79:11,12 | 74:1 78:4,12 | passionate | 152:25 153:14 |
| 185:6 190:6 | o'clock 2:1 | 83:24 84:6 | 104:11 | 153:20 157:16 |
| 191:19 198:10 | | 94:21 99:4 | Pat 11:21 45:15 | 157:25 159:2,7 |
| 205:20 | P | 100:23 101:10 | 45:19 167:12 | 160:23 162:2 |
| organization | package 172:11 | 101:19,20 | patience 202:3 | 162:10,23 |
| 75:24 144:9 | packet 172:20 | 103:6 111:6 | 207:18 | 164:22 167:25 |
| 145:2,5 154:14 | 197:1 | 112:12 121:19 | pause 2:6 199:14 | 171:7 174:21 |
| 156:7,20 177:3 | packets 3:17 | 122:10 125:14 | paying 70:5 99:3 | 175:14 190:2,4 |
| organizationally | page 43:14,15 | 125:15,18 | 150:14 | 205:1 207:2 |
| 175:13 | 83:7,8,25,25 | 133:11 143:6 | peer 154:14 | people/constitu |
| organizations | 84:17 128:24 | 154:18 157:2 | Peggy 208:5,19 | 95:2 |
| 6:25 60:21 92:7 | 129:6,7,10,12 | 157:22,24 | pending 53:7 | Peoria 136:19 |
| 97:20 102:24 | 131:17 144:3 | 158:23 160:11 | pension 70:12,13 | 138:25 139:4,5 |
| 109:15 144:5 | 185:24 193:5 | 164:8 172:11 | 70:15 | 139:7 |
| 159:4 172:8 | 199:18,18 | 175:15 178:20 | people 6:23,24 | percent 9:25 |
| 186:4 | pages 43:10 | 184:11,12,25 | 7:7 8:2,4 10:11 | 176:5 |
| organization-t | 115:10 200:7,9 | 189:6 193:10 | 10:12,22,23 | perfect 93:22,24 |
| 19:21 | paid 77:2 | 193:17 195:24 | 12:19 16:2,2,10 | perform 116:9 |
| organizing 18:11 | pains 84:2 | 196:3 205:24 | 16:12 17:7,8,9 | 116:13 126:15 |
| 142:6,12 | pair 186:3 | 205:25 | 18:24 23:1 | 145:3 154:17 |
| origin 132:10 | panel 9:25 | partially 68:15 | 25:16 28:23 | performance 5:3 |
| original 20:20 | 113:14 117:18 | participant | 29:6 34:3,8 | 66:16,18,20,22 |
| originally 20:23 | paper 67:14 | 24:13 | 36:5 37:15,15 | 164:14 181:17 |
| 34:13,14 | 194:24 | participate 65:4 | 38:3 39:14,21 | performed 155:2 |
| 178:20 | papers 129:5 | 173:11 | 40:7 43:5 45:13 | 202:11,11 |
| origination 169:5 | 143:21 | participated | 45:14,14,19 | performing |
| ostensibly 43:16 | paperwork 29:5 | 140:14 | 48:3 52:21 | 158:17 |
| ought 89:24 | 68:5 109:3 | participation | 55:13 58:8,13 | period 20:3 |
| 124:21 161:2 | paragraph 51:24 | 172:18,22 | 62:18 76:1,2,25 | 102:19 173:14 |
| outcome 155:6 | 57:17 168:14 | particular 15:8 | 77:25 78:2 80:7 | 188:22 192:9 |
| outcomes 9:4 | 185:23 195:16 | 18:12,14 20:14 | 81:10 82:3,13 | 192:12 |
| outlets 65:24 | parameters | 22:15 29:17 | 82:18 86:18,19 | periodic 42:25 |
| outlining 102:15 | 37:19 174:23 | 54:14 | 86:22,24 88:12 | periodically |
| outreach 84:24 | paraphrase | particularly | 88:19 93:8,17 | 32:10 64:25 |
| outside 80:7 | 135:8 | 22:16 45:24 | 93:18,20,24 | permission |
| 90:16 92:21 | Pardon 69:17 | 57:2 78:20 92:3 | 98:12 99:2,2,5 | 198:11,15,19 |
| 109:8 136:14 | 177:25 | 95:6 159:6,17 | 101:3 105:5 | Perry 100:17 |
| 174:23 | parent 78:5 | parties 116:2 | 107:3,18 108:2 | person 18:12,14 |
| outstanding | 120:17 | parts 18:22 78:16 | 109:8 110:1,25 | 22:21 28:1 37:8 |
| 205:17 | parenting 7:24 | pass 13:7 182:19 | 111:1,6,11,18 | 37:11,12,25 |
| overhead 84:16 | parents 7:25 | 186:11 | 112:11 119:4 | 68:2 79:8 85:19 |
| oversaw 187:10 | 54:12 101:4 | passed 13:22 | 120:24 124:25 | 90:16 111:8 |
| oversee 14:2 | 107:25 | 77:19 86:4 | 125:13,17 | 118:7 119:25 |
| oversight 84:4 | Park 6:8 145:4 | 87:11 88:22 | 126:14,17 | 146:13 150:1,1 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 1 | ı |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| 159:25 182:10 | 109:21 111:25 | pointed 86:15,16 | posted 182:23 | prevent 5:12 8:7 |
| 182:13 196:21 | 127:12 145:16 | 86:21 118:14 | 183:23 | 40:19 77:16,20 |
| personal 23:11 | 172:25 175:13 | 129:19 | potatoes 11:14 | 89:1 122:1 |
| 43:2,3 44:2 | placed 105:14 | pointing 112:1 | potential 186:2 | 123:7 158:1 |
| 46:16,17 94:15 | 124:17 | points 29:10 | potentially | 161:1 162:8 |
| 94:15 153:17 | places 39:16 | 67:25 86:22 | 142:17 199:5 | 177:10 204:20 |
| 173:15 191:11 | 207:12 | 88:5 | pots 189:11 | preventative |
| personally 29:16 | plan 12:5 13:6 | police 5:21 7:14 | pour 155:1 | 38:7,14 |
| 36:11 153:4 | 85:2 94:21 | 15:16 18:3,5 | poverty 26:21 | prevented 8:12 |
| personnel 92:5,6 | 111:14 112:11 | 38:12 40:12,14 | 128:18 131:20 | preventing |
| perspective 6:6 | 174:16 175:3 | 40:17,20,21,23 | Power 161:23 | 122:25 151:7 |
| 68:14 81:9,17 | 175:18,20 | 79:4 101:6 | powers 159:10 | 154:24 |
| 82:1 97:6 105:2 | 190:10 195:12 | 121:5 131:15 | PQ 45:14 | prevention 5:4 |
| 116:1 131:5,5 | 200:17 | 132:2,12 | practice 39:23 | 6:20 7:8,16,22 |
| 144:17 149:5 | planned 94:19 | 174:21 | 205:9 | 15:1 18:16 19:1 |
| 158:22 | planning 113:13 | policing 40:15 | practices 7:7 | 22:3,23 23:2 |
| persuaded 83:15 | 128:21 132:16 | policy 6:20,24 | 39:16 62:25 | 27:24 28:3,8 |
| pertains 18:15 | 143:7 164:23 | 7:6,19 8:25 | 73:8 74:8,13 | 29:15 31:7 |
| 72:3 | plans 94:11,12 | 22:6 50:18,19 | preparation | 40:15 53:2,3 |
| perused 76:15 | plate 71:3 | 50:20 51:20 | 84:21 205:14 | 98:5 100:3 |
| petitions 95:18 | play 61:4 164:7 | 55:4 94:2 98:4 | prepare 78:2 | 103:12 107:21 |
| 95:19 | pleas 152:1 | 98:5 | prepared 9:16 | 108:3 110:2,22 |
| philosophies | please 4:6,14,19 | policy-related | 10:22 84:12 | 111:12 113:11 |
| 10:15 | 48:18 51:23 | 46:7 | 124:4 | 117:25 125:4,5 |
| phone 42:5,8,9 | 53:23 56:4 | political 116:2 | preparing | 128:4 145:17 |
| 43:4 132:20 | 124:1 144:11 | 159:12,18 | 178:22 182:22 | 151:22 156:5,9 |
| photo 6:8 | 166:16,19 | 185:19 186:2 | present 3:3,10 | 156:18 157:21 |
| Ph.D 19:4 | 181:2,9 193:16 | 187:5 | 111:17 140:9 | 168:6,6 173:25 |
| pick 180:4 | 195:16 | politicians 48:11 | presented 3:19 | 173:25 175:18 |
| picked 26:12 | plenty 67:11 | politics 94:1,3 | 118:17 131:14 | 175:20,23 |
| 73:6 97:14 | plus 39:18 51:9 | 96:2 115:23 | 133:10 | 177:15,22 |
| 171:18 | 138:2 | polls 55:13 | presided 12:23 | 181:19 183:2 |
| picking 60:10 | point 10:13,25 | poor 92:4 105:10 | press 32:24,25 | 188:2 195:13 |
| picture 6:11 65:6 | 15:7,8 16:5 | 141:24 153:24 | 173:6,9,10,11 | 200:18 |
| pictures 53:24 | 23:20 28:8 | poorly 154:15 | 173:16,21 | previous 3:16 |
| piece 3:14 39:13 | 36:16,17,19,25 | portion 20:22 | 193:24 200:23 | 16:7 86:1 |
| 51:16 67:14 | 41:3 47:7 63:17 | position 25:5 | 200:23,24 | primarily 18:8 |
| 72:3,5,6,11,13 | 63:24 65:18,21 | 87:16 167:11 | pressure 88:5 | 24:20 42:6,10 |
| 123:16 194:24 | 68:18,25 83:2,7 | 183:5 206:10 | presume 112:21 | primary 13:5 |
| 204:15 | 85:25 88:15 | positive 17:20 | 123:18 124:4 | principal 37:10 |
| pieces 39:8 47:11 | 97:1 109:23 | 45:13 | 150:3 | 37:12 |
| 51:14,15 | 113:2 124:1,17 | possibility | pretty 28:25 50:5 | principally 37:8 |
| 105:15 | 129:13 132:5 | 201:14 | 103:10 104:9 | prior 16:10 35:19 |
| pinpoint 182:25 | 134:1,16,17 | possible 53:18 | 132:11 155:21 | 35:24 167:11 |
| place 9:9 24:7,11 | 140:5 157:5 | 119:1 | 172:10 173:15 | 168:22 174:2 |
| 30:14,16 | 169:4 174:13 | possibly 10:2 | prevailing 73:17 | 188:12 |
| 108:21 109:6 | 191:17 192:4 | 49:13 | prevalent 151:4 | priorities 70:25 |
| | l | l | l ———————————————————————————————————— | I |

| | | | · | . 1 |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| prioritize 45:2 | 166:18 208:10 | profound 54:2 | 120:11 121:3 | 120:17,17 |
| priority 99:23 | 208:14 | profoundly 36:5 | 121:19 122:14 | 153:15 165:2,9 |
| 188:16 | process 12:24 | program 5:7,9 | 122:18,24 | 165:16,17,25 |
| Pritchard 16:16 | 25:22 27:9 | 5:13 6:21 7:6 | 123:14,23 | 188:25 189:13 |
| private 92:20 | 28:21,24,25 | 7:15,21 8:6,6 | 124:16,19 | 190:17 199:2 |
| 177:3,16 | 29:3,15 31:19 | 8:18,20,25 9:2 | 125:6 127:16 | 207:6,7,8 |
| privately 177:16 | 32:20 34:5 | 9:5 10:4,5,12 | 131:7,23 133:1 | program's 64:12 |
| 177:19 | 59:22 60:3,5,20 | 11:15 12:16 | 134:2,13,23 | progress 31:23 |
| privilege 178:21 | 60:20 62:15 | 16:6 18:6 19:16 | 141:8 143:6 | project 6:7 84:7 |
| 178:22 | 84:2,21 87:8 | 20:17 21:5,9,21 | 144:5 145:3,19 | 84:16,18 111:3 |
| privileged | 89:16 91:13 | 22:22 24:10,16 | 147:17,18 | 198:16 199:10 |
| 178:24 | 94:19 96:2 99:8 | 24:21,23 25:17 | 149:2 150:14 | 205:25 |
| probably 17:12 | 104:3 119:2 | 27:2 28:9,12,13 | 150:17 152:17 | proper 148:1,1 |
| 21:12 32:19,24 | 125:25 127:9 | 32:23 36:7,12 | 152:17 153:9 | 164:9 |
| 69:13 88:6 96:7 | 128:21 135:14 | 37:4,7 38:10,16 | 153:18,25 | properly 165:25 |
| 103:1 106:13 | 140:1 141:25 | 38:19 39:8 41:4 | 154:4 156:1,1 | proposal 60:1 |
| 126:11 142:19 | 142:16 143:6,7 | 49:14,17,20 | 158:14 160:8 | 143:5 149:18 |
| 157:3 158:5 | 143:9,13 | 50:12 52:6,23 | 160:16,21,21 | proposals 36:7 |
| 159:6 160:3 | 144:19 145:10 | 53:17 55:7,10 | 162:6 168:2,6,6 | propriety 62:21 |
| 163:3 202:5 | 145:13,23 | 55:21 56:22 | 168:10,15 | 63:2 65:14,15 |
| problem 69:10 | 146:6,8 148:2 | 61:4,10 64:22 | 169:6,7 172:2 | prosecutors |
| 69:10 71:1 72:4 | 148:12,14 | 65:5,5,8,22 | 173:23 174:1,2 | 168:17 |
| 73:16,17 77:24 | 152:21 160:6 | 66:2,3,16,18 | 175:23 183:15 | protect 10:2 |
| 82:20,25 86:2,3 | 185:14 187:21 | 67:15,23 68:21 | 183:15 184:5,5 | 164:21 |
| 100:3,24 | 195:24 202:4 | 68:22 69:10 | 184:7,9,11,18 | Protection |
| 110:19 119:24 | 203:8 205:2 | 71:24 75:12,15 | 185:1,10 | 155:23 |
| 127:13 129:24 | processes 24:7,11 | 76:19,24 77:9 | 187:22 188:11 | proved 206:7 |
| 135:15,19 | 30:13,16,17 | 77:14 78:2,12 | 189:8,14,18 | provide 7:5 |
| 158:23 174:5 | 31:14 105:9 | 78:12 79:6,15 | 192:22 193:2 | 25:14 84:11 |
| 206:1,2 207:14 | 110:5 147:5 | 80:21,22 83:21 | 202:18 203:6 | 143:5,16 146:3 |
| problems 5:12 | produce 88:11 | 84:10 85:4,5,9 | 203:16,18 | 166:4 |
| 65:25 67:25 | 133:15 135:18 | 85:24 86:1,23 | 207:4 | provided 6:17 |
| 68:9,11 69:2,2 | 180:7 192:12 | 87:4 88:8,14,16 | programmatic | 7:22 84:20 |
| 69:5,11,13 70:3 | 203:3 | 88:20,21,21,23 | 68:9 69:2 | 98:24 143:22 |
| 71:2,3 75:4 | produced 136:1 | 90:11,13 91:18 | programming | 181:18 190:5 |
| 77:16,20 78:10 | 178:25 180:7 | 93:18 98:11,14 | 25:10,13,19 | 191:6 |
| 86:15,21 87:1 | 186:12 192:13 | 99:24 100:3 | 162:17 174:1 | provider 85:19 |
| 87:19,23 88:20 | 192:13 197:1 | 103:4,5 104:19 | programs 7:9 | providers 108:1 |
| 89:1,1 90:2 | 199:17 202:18 | 104:25 105:14 | 14:8 19:2 21:7 | 124:22 150:20 |
| 92:16 93:6,11 | Productions | 108:4,21 109:5 | 23:4 38:6 39:9 | 151:6 154:1,10 |
| 121:8 127:20 | 84:11,12,13 | 109:20 110:22 | 39:11 43:7 | providing 128:17 |
| 157:20 158:8 | professional | 111:24 112:4 | 83:13 87:5 | 151:22 |
| 161:1 207:11 | 23:11 30:9 | 113:13 114:2 | 88:10 89:19 | Proviso 84:23 |
| 207:13 | 66:21 74:19 | 116:3,6,8,20,23 | 90:6 104:22 | 148:24 149:7,9 |
| proceed 3:15 | 85:7 86:9 89:17 | 117:12,22,25 | 105:6 106:6 | 149:18,25 |
| 56:16 114:22 | 90:8 | 118:4,8,16,19 | 108:5 111:12 | 150:4 151:10 |
| proceedings 1:3 | profile 144:6 | 119:3,22 | 119:5,7,8,14 | proximity 102:1 |
| | ı | ı | I | 1 |

| | ı | ı | 1 | ı |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| prudent 152:13 | quarterly 30:17 | 201:7,10 | rate 67:6 | 97:23 98:2 |
| public 7:18 8:25 | 34:23,24 35:8 | 202:16 203:4 | rates 96:6,6 | 107:12 108:5 |
| 11:18 110:10 | 91:14,21 156:3 | 204:2 207:1 | 116:20,22 | 111:3,18,18 |
| 152:22,25 | 157:20 158:17 | quick 28:25 38:6 | 128:14,17,18 | 122:23 150:24 |
| 153:21 176:18 | quasi 177:8 | 111:24 | 131:12,18,20 | 151:11,16 |
| 177:21 204:19 | quell 38:12 174:4 | quickly 27:8 | 131:25 | 153:2,17 |
| pulled 149:11 | question 36:9,16 | 38:15 53:18 | RDR 208:19 | 160:12 188:22 |
| pulling 51:14 | 56:1,8 67:20 | 54:17 67:11,12 | reach 118:1 | Realtime 208:7 |
| pumped 101:14 | 68:15,15 76:14 | 110:20 119:1 | 140:24 146:7,9 | reason 10:2 67:8 |
| purpose 45:17 | 79:7 97:8 103:8 | 158:20 177:7 | reached 108:4 | 125:15 138:15 |
| purposes 166:22 | 103:8,8,19,20 | Quinn 4:7 11:22 | reacted 110:20 | 153:9 154:18 |
| pursuant 64:11 | 103:20 118:4,6 | 13:4 42:23 44:8 | reaction 57:17,21 | 160:2,4 161:20 |
| 146:9 | 126:24 133:2,5 | 44:23 45:15 | 154:21 | reasonable 10:6 |
| pursued 28:19 | 133:7,9,23 | 58:19 111:10 | reactions 154:22 | 55:16 |
| Push 178:18 | 139:20 146:8 | 153:8 154:23 | read 5:6 30:6,8 | reasons 7:19 8:25 |
| put 6:6,14 13:7 | 146:21 155:8 | 166:16 167:12 | 32:24 45:18 | reassurances |
| 24:16 38:12,16 | 158:20 161:7 | 180:25 185:18 | 48:17 57:16 | 145:15 |
| 39:22 53:6 | 169:20 174:7 | 186:1 | 59:10 61:2 | Reboletti 1:18 |
| 78:14 79:6 81:8 | 182:11,14 | Quinn's 45:20 | 76:14 81:9 | 3:2,3 11:1,3 |
| 81:22 86:4 | 188:19 189:18 | 184:25 202:16 | 83:24 84:3 | 36:15 47:6 59:4 |
| 98:11,17 102:5 | 192:20,24 | 203:2 | 85:15 86:11 | 71:23 73:22 |
| 107:6,16 | 198:13 | quite 15:25 | 96:12 99:25 | recall 20:5,20 |
| 108:11,20 | questioned 154:5 | 133:19 145:14 | 100:15 103:10 | 21:12 22:15 |
| 109:5 111:1,13 | questioner | 164:3 188:21 | 104:3,4,6 | 24:25 25:11 |
| 111:25 120:10 | 167:16 | 192:2 | 105:10 117:3 | 26:13 27:15 |
| 125:7 129:10 | questioning | quorum 3:10 | 154:14,25 | 31:8,9 32:1,22 |
| 133:3 168:5,7 | 73:22 89:11 | quote 117:23 | 159:19 168:13 | 40:7 41:19,24 |
| 169:4 174:22 | 93:9 113:10,25 | quoted 65:23 | 168:21 169:2 | 59:9 65:2,17 |
| 193:22 | 114:16,17 | quotes 129:11 | 170:6,17 176:2 | 66:13 73:19,20 |
| Puts 6:14 | questions 8:9,10 | quote-unquote | 191:17 193:15 | 125:11 130:7 |
| putting 30:13 | 9:6,16 47:5 | 174:14 | 195:16,23 | 131:1 137:12 |
| 103:4 | 55:23,25 56:11 | | 199:24 | 150:22 152:7 |
| p.m 43:17 50:5 | 56:13 79:24 | R | reading 55:16 | 162:23 173:20 |
| 207:25 | 89:4,13,14 98:3 | R 4:17 167:1 | 104:20,21 | 186:8,9 192:6 |
| | 99:15,22,22 | 181:6,6,8 | 154:5 201:4 | 196:7 199:1 |
| Q | 103:15 104:2 | radio 45:12 94:8 | ready 180:20 | receive 115:15 |
| qualified 31:4 | 104:16 107:2 | raise 4:14,19 | 206:17 | 169:19 170:1 |
| 135:10,15,22 | 107:11 112:10 | 54:6 82:4 167:4 | real 81:6 97:6 | 170:20,22 |
| 137:5 138:5,11 | 112:22 114:10 | 181:9 | 127:14 186:14 | 202:22 205:19 |
| 138:14,16 | 123:13 137:19 | raised 142:16 | 207:13 | 205:20 |
| 149:19,22 | 153:13 155:10 | 145:14 | realize 158:25 | received 84:24 |
| 150:20 151:7 | 164:4 167:14 | ran 8:14 79:15 | really 21:14 | 86:10 97:9 |
| qualifying 139:4 | 169:15,18,22 | 172:14 | 31:10 38:7 | 114:23 115:5,8 |
| quantifiable | 177:23 179:8,9 | Raoul 1:16 2:21 | 52:22 56:11 | 115:10,14,17 |
| 122:16 | 179:24 180:11 | 3:12 55:23 89:5 | 59:19 60:5 | 137:6 143:5 |
| quarrel 127:23 | 181:20 182:7 | 89:6,8 103:25 | 62:17,24 84:3 | 149:3 172:20 |
| quarreled 127:24 | 190:24 198:8 | rapidity 38:22 | 85:16 87:4,7 | 178:3 179:17 |
| | ı | ı | ı | <u> </u> |

| 179:21,22 | | • | • | | • |
|--|------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 196:14 202:23 123:20 124:9 129:9,22 145:2 129:9,22 145:2 169:21 129:9,22 145:2 169:13 124:6 | 179:21,22 | 7:9 28:23 74:12 | 197:6 | rely 132:7 153:14 | 176:14 186:3 |
| recession 5:25 148:2 195:17 reform 13:25 remains 206:1 79:22 166:19 98:13 recipient 142:18 124:6 6:118 70:13,13 remains 206:1 79:22 166:19 198:1 106:17 107:6 6:118 70:13,13 remedies 204:20 reporting 30:17 30:18 records 12:11 16:18 70:12 19:21 22:16,17 23:20 reporting 30:17 reporting 30:17 30:18 reporting 30:17 reporting 30:17 30:18 reporting 30:17 30:18 reporting 30:17 16:18 7:22 reporting 30:17 30:18 reporting 30:17 16:12 127:16 110:12 127:16 1 | 196:14 202:23 | 123:20 124:9 | reflective 174:17 | _ | 189:21,24 |
| recession 5:25 148:2 195:17 reform 13:25 remains 206:1 79:22 166:19 98:13 124:6 70:16 remains 206:1 79:22 166:19 98:13 record 9:8 70:16 remains 206:1 79:22 166:19 198:1 106:27 107:6 6:18 70:13,13 remedies 204:20 reperting 16:1 198:1 17:22 3 17:22 3 18:25 19:12 4 19:24 22:16,17 22:16,17 30:18 reporting 30:17 26:24 27:1,13 19:21 20:14 17:91:10 19:22 16:18 70:13,13 17:12 19:22 17:11 | 203:8,19 206:6 | | refocussed 12:15 | • 0 | • |
| 98:13 124:6 record 9:8 record 9:8 61:18 70:13,13 remedies 204:20 remember 15:11 reporter 4:8 56:3 154:20 197:21 106:17 107:6 107:22,23 131:8 179:19 191:24 192:14 15:11 16:9:11 16:22 180:20 90:23 131:8 179:19 records 127:18 127:20 146:10 refreshed 192:4 22:16.17 23:20 reporting 30:17 recollection 126:14 12:6 194:25 31:5 353:25 56:5 91:14,21 92:22 28:12.18 31:1,9 47:10 48:20 regard 149:9 64:18 72:25 155:21 158:18 74:15 124:12 37:13 46:5 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 represent 100:11 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 195:12 200:17 196:4 147:18 148:25 regroin 12:5 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 represent 100:11 148:18 170:18 186:15 191:24 190:6 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 represent 100:11 122:8 190:6 reducions 83:13 reclection 42:23 relection 42:23 relection 42:23 relection 42:3 reger 10:13 reg | recession 5:25 | 148:2 195:17 | reform 13:25 | remains 206:1 | _ |
| 98:13 124:6 record 9:8 record 9:8 61:18 70:13,13 remedies 204:20 remember 15:11 reporter 4:8 56:3 154:20 197:21 106:17 107:6 107:22,23 131:8 179:19 refresh 123:11 15:11 16:91,14 16:22 180:20 90:23 recipients 52:18 131:8 179:19 records 127:18 127:20 146:10 refreshed 192:4 26:24 27:1,16 reporting 30:17 recollection 126:14:14 21:6 recovery 5:5 7:21 regard 149:9 64:18 72:25 reports 69:1,9 28:12.18 31:1,9 37:13 46:5 21:7,11 35:1 16:21 127:16 117:9 120:6 158:18 28:12.18 31:1,9 58:24 83:12.16 70:15 20:17 regard 149:9 64:18 72:25 155:21 158:18 74:15 124:12 30:11 108:20 196:4 134:21,24 101:12 101:12 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 195:12 200:17 196:4 147:18 148:25 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:6 150:23 152:4 121:17,18,19 166:18 70:20 170:15,16 171:11,12,11 171:12 100:16 130:12 13:14 172:15 158:18 < | 10:22 53:16 | recommending | 14:1,1 61:17,17 | remarks 87:1 | 208:10 |
| recipient 142:18 154:20 197:21 record 9:8 106:17 107:6 198:1 refresh 123:11 152:23 127:2 remember 15:11 16:9,14 166:22 180:20 180:20 180:20 181:3 20:64.78 recipients 52:18 131:8 179:19 records 127:18 125:23 127:2 191:24 192:14 26:24 27:1,16 26:22 27:1,16 26:22 180:20 191:24 192:14 26:24 27:1,16 26:22 180:20 191:24 192:14 26:24 27:1,16 26:22 180:20 191:24 192:14 26:24 27:1,16 26:22 180:20 191:25 regard 149:9 191:25 194:25 13:5 53:25 64:5 191:42 192:22 180:20 191:4,21 92:22 180: | 98:13 | \mathcal{C} | , | remedies 204:20 | reporter 4:8 56:3 |
| 154:20 197:21 | recipient 142:18 | record 9:8 | 70:16 | remember 15:11 | 106:13 166:19 |
| recipients 52:18 90:23 131:8 179:19 records 127:18 127:20 146:10 191:24 192:14 refreshed 192:4 22:16,17 23:20 26:24 27:1,16 23:15 reporting 30:17 30:18 recognize 126:17 146:11 194:25 15 refreshed 192:4 29:12 30:21 20:21 reports 69:1,9 91:14,21 92:22 156:3,3 157:20 131:5 53:25 64:5 90:23 recollection 146:11 194:25 13:15 53:25 64:5 29:12 30:21 30:21 31:5 53:25 64:5 90:14,21 92:22 156:3,3 157:20 15 | _ | 106:17 107:6 | refresh 123:11 | 15:11 16:9,14 | 166:22 180:20 |
| 90:23 reciting 172:13 recognize 126:17 records 127:18 127:20 146:10 refreshing recognize 126:17 refreshed 192:4 refreshing refreshing recognize 126:17 26:24 27:1,16 30:18 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 recognize 126:17 recollection 2:13 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 recovery 5:5 7:21 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 175:9 113:1 115:25 regard 157:20 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 reference 100:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 reference 100:1 regard 129:1 reference 100:2 regard 12:2 regard 129:2 regard 12:2 regard 129:2 regard 12:2 | 198:1 | 107:22,23 | 125:23 127:2 | 18:15 21:15 | 181:3 208:6,7,8 |
| 90:23 reciting 172:13 recognize 126:17 records 127:18 127:20 146:10 refreshing recognize 126:17 refreshed 192:4 refreshing refreshing recognize 126:17 26:24 27:1,16 30:18 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 reports 69:1,9 recognize 126:17 recollection 2:13 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 recovery 5:5 7:21 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 175:9 113:1 115:25 regard 157:20 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 reference 100:1 regard 149:9 regard 127:1 reference 100:1 regard 129:1 reference 100:2 regard 12:2 regard 129:2 regard 12:2 regard 129:2 regard 12:2 | recipients 52:18 | 131:8 179:19 | 191:24 192:14 | 22:16,17 23:20 | reporting 30:17 |
| recognize 126:17 146:11 194:25 31:5 53:25 64:5 91:14,21 92:22 recollection 13:6 14:14 21:6 regard 149:9 64:18 72:25 156:3,3 157:20 157:21 158:18 21:3 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 116:21 127:16 117:9 120:6 158:18 26:22 27:13,25 37:13 46:5 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 158:18 29:7 60:17 50:7 51:25 regards 127:7 135:6 144:24 170:12 200:17 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 196:4 147:18 148:21 156:3 18:1 130:12 133:14 100:1 108:20 195:12 200:17 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 133:4 137:10 176:10 181:19 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:20,21,21,2 1:11,17,18,19 141:14 143:10 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 regular 43:21 172:9 173:19 3:25 4:2,14,18 180:15 191:24 196:6 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 resi:119 3:4,5 remembers 3:8.36:15 47:5 201:3 recommend recenter 39:18 reletce 101:23 reference 101: | _ | records 127:18 | refreshed 192:4 | 26:24 27:1,16 | 30:18 |
| 152:15 recolection 13:6 14:14 21:6 regard 149:9 64:18 72:25 156:3,3 157:20 21:13 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 116:21 127:16 1179:120:6 157:21 158:18 26:22 27:13,25 37:13 46:5 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 157:21 158:18 28:12,18 31:1,9 47:10 48:20 203:4 134:21,24 represent 100:11 79:75 73:10 50:7 51:25 196:4 147:18 148:25 111,17,18,19 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 136:1 33:7 129:16 174:25 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 137:14 139:23 176:10 181:19 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 recular 43:21 76:9 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 192:4 194:25 190:6 reducins 83:13 regular 43:21 176:9 176:9 183:19 184:19 185:19 9:55 11;1,1,3 192:4 194:25 190:6 reducins 83:13 recelection 42:23 Reis 1:19 3:4,5 184:19 185:2 9:55 11;1,1,3 122:8 recommend rec | reciting 172:13 | 127:20 146:10 | refreshing | 29:12 30:21 | reports 69:1,9 |
| recollection 13:6 14:14 21:6 regarding 75:9 113:1 115:25 157:21 158:18 21:13 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 158:18 26:22 27:13,25 37:13 46:5 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 158:18 28:12,18 31:1,9 47:10 48:20 203:4 134:21,24 regresent 100:11 71:25 73:10 58:24 83:12,16 196:4 147:18 148:25 157:21 158:18 71:25 73:10 58:24 83:12,16 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 169:20,22,24 Representative 13:11 14:18 143:10 176:10 181:19 regionalized 12:4 169:20,22,24 168:18,19 1:20,21 2:1,7 13:4:13 19:23 195:12 200:17 rectify 16:1 rectify 16:1 regular 43:21 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 14:13 19:24 116:5 118:1 regular 43:21 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 190:6 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 resis 1:19 3:45 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 195:21 198:6 recelection 42:23 recelection 42:23 147:11 205:10 remids 54:11 remove 160:18 | recognize 126:17 | 146:11 | 194:25 | 31:5 53:25 64:5 | 91:14,21 92:22 |
| 21:13 25:2 26:6 21:7,11 35:1 37:13 46:5 26:22 27:13,25 28:12,18 31:1,9 59:7 60:17 59:7 51:25 58:24 83:12,16 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 129:16 174:25 130:6 133:7 134:25 137:10 137:14 139:23 141:14 143:10 148:18 170:18 186:15 191:24 190:6 reducing 190:8 195:12 200:17 190:6 190:21 198:6 201:3 recommendation 127:13,14,21 172:8 recommendation 127:13,14,21 127:16 100:25 recommendation 127:13,14,21 139:24 143:23 146:2,5 recommendation 127:12 139:24 139:24 143:3 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 16:19 0:4 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relation 136:25 159:23 76:9 16:14 18:24 reject 20:3 16:21 159:23 14:22 139:24 170:15 156:21 159:23 14:23 146:17 references 197:9 references 20:20 reliable 132:12 replical 136:25 region 12:5 reliable 132:12 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3, 7 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3, 7 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3, 7 reliable 132:12 reliable 136:25 reliable 132:12 reliable 132:12 reliable 132:12 reliable 132:12 reliable 132:12 reliable 136:25 reliable 132:12 reliable 136:25 reliable 132:12 reliable 136:25 reliable 13 | 152:15 | recovery 5:5 7:21 | regard 149:9 | 64:18 72:25 | 156:3,3 157:20 |
| 26:22 27:13,25 37:13 46:5 47:10 48:20 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 represent 100:11 59:7 60:17 50:7 51:25 regards 127:7 134:21,24 Representative 71:25 73:10 58:24 83:12,16 196:4 147:18 148:25 1:11,17,18,19 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 129:16 174:25 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 137:14 139:23 176:10 181:19 195:12 200:17 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 148:18 170:18 148:18 170:18 166:5 191:24 190:6 Registered 208:7 170:15,16 3:20,21,2,122 195:12 198:6 reduce 8:16,18 116:5 118:1 regulations 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,3 190:6 reducting 190:8 reductions 83:13 reductions 83:13 147:11 205:10 remembers 148:23 54:9 56:2,7,14 120:3 recondmendation 120:17 reference 101:23 regerence 101:24 rejations 13:14 repair 114:1 | recollection | | C | 113:1 115:25 | 157:21 158:18 |
| 26:22 27:13,25 37:13 46:5 47:10 48:20 170:11 200:16 130:12 133:14 represent 100:11 59:7 60:17 50:7 51:25 regards 127:7 135:6 144:24 135:6 144:24 101:22 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 196:4 147:18 148:25 1:11,17,18,19 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 137:14 139:23 176:10 181:19 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,56,8,9,10 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 regular 43:21 76:9 176:6 183:10 3:20,21,21,22 141:14 143:10 reduce 8:16,18 116:5 118:1 regular 43:21 176:9 183:10 3:25 4:2,14,18 186:15 191:24 190:6 reducing 190:8 regulations 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,3 195:21 198:6 recollections recelection 42:23 45:20 remembers 3:22 66:15 172:8 recommend reenter 39:18 reiterate 113:8 rederence 101:23 reject 92:7 related 19:4 40:3 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 28:19,21 60:18 references 197 | 21:13 25:2 26:6 | 21:7,11 35:1 | | 117:9 120:6 | 158:18 |
| 59:7 60:17 50:7 51:25 regards 127:7 135:6 144:24 Representative 71:25 73:10 58:24 83:12,16 196:4 147:18 148:25 1:11,17,18,19 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 regionalized 12:4 168:18,19 2:23,25 3:1,2,3 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 regionalized 12:4 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 141:14 143:10 reduce 8:16,18 195:12 200:17 regular 43:21 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 148:18 170:18 116:5 118:1 regular 43:21 170:66 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 190:6 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 resis 1:19 3:4,5 remmbers 33:8 36:15 47:5 201:3 recollections 147:11 205:10 remmbers 33:8 36:15 47:5 148:23 54:19 56:2,7,14 recommend 122:1 resier at 13:8 recterate 134:17 region 12:5 repair 14:1 93:9 99:15,16 recommendation reference 10:23 reference 10:23 reference 10:23 | 26:22 27:13,25 | 37:13 46:5 | 170:11 200:16 | 130:12 133:14 | represent 100:11 |
| 59:7 60:17 50:7 51:25 regards 127:7 135:6 144:24 Representative 71:25 73:10 58:24 83:12,16 196:4 147:18 148:25 1:11,17,18,19 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 regionalized 12:4 168:18,19 2:23,25 3:1,2,3 134:25 137:10 176:10 181:19 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 rectify 16:11 regular 43:21 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 14:14 143:10 148:18 170:18 16:5 118:1 regular 43:21 172:9 173:19 3:25 4:2,14,18 186:15 191:24 190:6 reducing 190:8 resi:11 59:23 192:9 199:9 16:14,16 33:6,6 195:21 198:6 201:3 reducing 190:8 resi:19 3:4,5 resi:19 3:4,5 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 7ecommend 172:8 recommendation resierate 113:8 reiterate 113:8 reiterate 134:17 reject 92:7 repair 14:1 99:19 99:15,16 28:19,21 60:18 referenced 100:6 | 28:12,18 31:1,9 | 47:10 48:20 | 203:4 | 134:21,24 | |
| 74:15 124:12 100:1 108:20 region 12:5 150:23 152:6 1:20,21 2:1,7 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 regions 12:6 168:18,19 2:23,25 3:1,2,3 134:25 137:10 176:10 181:19 195:12 200:17 recions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 recitify 161:1 regions 12:6 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 148:18 170:18 reduce 8:16,18 76:9 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 186:15 191:24 116:5 118:1 regular 43:21 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 190:6 190:6 156:21 159:23 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,1,3 195:21 198:6 reducing 190:8 reductions 83:13 reelection 42:23 45:20 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 127:8 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reierate 113:8 reierate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendati 28:19,21 60:18 reference 101:23 62:11 183:1,25 199:1 15:17 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 references 197:9 references 197:9 | 59:7 60:17 | 50:7 51:25 | regards 127:7 | 135:6 144:24 | Representative |
| 130:6 133:7 129:16 174:25 regionalized 12:4 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 3:20,21,21,22 141:14 143:10 148:18 170:18 116:5 118:1 reduce 8:16,18 190:6 156:21 159:23 190:6 156:21 159:23 190:6 reducing 190:8 reductions 83:13 recollections 141:23 192:15 recommend ation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reference 101:23 referenced 100:6 references 197:9 referenced 100:25 129:24 139:24 143:3 143:23 144:23 144:13 143:10 143:10 143:10 143:10 143:10 143:10 143:10 143:23 144:25 referendums 143:10,11,12 143:23 146:17 reference 101:23 reference 101:24 relative 118:24 relative 14:25 reference 101:25 reference 101:25 reference 101:25 | 71:25 73:10 | 58:24 83:12,16 | 196:4 | 147:18 148:25 | 1:11,17,18,19 |
| 134:25 137:10 176:10 181:19 regions 12:6 169:20,22,24 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 rectify 161:1 recular 43:21 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 148:18 170:18 186:15 191:24 116:5 118:1 116:5 118:1 176:9 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 192:4 194:25 190:6 reducing 190:8 reductions 98:13 156:21 159:23 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,1,3 201:3 reclection 42:23 45:20 reciter 39:18 reciterate 113:8 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 recommend 172:8 recnemend 39:18 reciterate 113:8 reciterate 113:8 reciterate 113:8 reciterate 134:17 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 199:1 151:17 106:3,12 127:12 139:21 100:25 referendums 100:25 relations 13:14 repeated 108:25 103:23,24 149:24 143:3 160:15:18 relative 118:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 | 74:15 124:12 | 100:1 108:20 | region 12:5 | 150:23 152:6 | 1:20,21 2:1,7 |
| 137:14 139:23 195:12 200:17 Registered 208:7 170:15,16 3:20,21,21,22 141:14 143:10 148:18 170:18 16:5 191:24 176:9 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 186:15 191:24 190:6 156:21 159:23 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,1,3 192:4 194:25 190:6 156:21 159:23 192:9 199:9 16:14,16 33:6,6 201:3 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:4 147:11 205:10 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 201:3 recollections 141:23 192:15 45:20 Reis's 116:12 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 72:8 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reiterate 113:8 reciterate 134:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:25, reference 101:23 reference 101:23 62:11 183:1,25 repair 114:1 99:18,20 103:6 127:12 139:21 100:25 related 19:4 40:3 repair 114:1 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 143:10,11,12 46:10 | 130:6 133:7 | 129:16 174:25 | regionalized 12:4 | 168:18,19 | 2:23,25 3:1,2,3 |
| 141:14 143:10 rectify 161:1 regular 43:21 172:9 173:19 3:25 4:2,14,18 148:18 170:18 186:15 191:24 116:5 118:1 16:9 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 192:4 194:25 190:6 156:21 159:23 192:9 199:9 16:14,16 33:6,6 195:21 198:6 201:3 reducing 190:8 reductions 83:13 3:22 66:15 148:23 54:19 56:2,7,14 recollections 141:23 192:15 45:20 Reis's 116:12 remmembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reference 101:23 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendati 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 repeat 127:20 peated 108:25 127:12 139:21 139:24 143:3 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 relative 118:24 replies 198:3 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 refering 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 156:21 189:23 report 1:3 26 | 134:25 137:10 | 176:10 181:19 | regions 12:6 | 169:20,22,24 | 3:4,5,6,8,9,10 |
| 148:18 170:18 reduce 8:16,18 76:9 176:6 183:10 4:21,25 9:7,13 186:15 191:24 116:5 118:1 regulations 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,1,3 192:4 194:25 190:6 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reductions 83:13 192:9 199:9 16:14,16 33:6,6 201:3 reductions 83:13 reelection 42:23 reelection 42:23 147:11 205:10 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 201:3 45:20 Reis's 116:12 remove 160:18 57:4 59:4 70:7 recommend reenter 39:18 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 127:13,14,21 120:17 reference 101:23 references 197:9 related 19:4 40:3 repeal 127:20 99:18,20 103:6 86:8 98:1 127:5 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 referendums relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 | 137:14 139:23 | 195:12 200:17 | Registered 208:7 | 170:15,16 | 3:20,21,21,22 |
| 186:15 191:24 116:5 118:1 regulations 184:19 185:12 9:15 11:1,1,3 192:4 194:25 190:6 reducing 190:8 reducing 190:8 reductions 83:13 reductions 83:13 redection 42:23 redection 42:23 reductions 83:13 recollections 45:20 redection 42:23 reminds 54:11 56:15,16,17 recommend recommend 172:8 recommend 18:2 recommend 19:2 recommend 19:2< | 141:14 143:10 | rectify 161:1 | regular 43:21 | 172:9 173:19 | 3:25 4:2,14,18 |
| 192:4 194:25 190:6 156:21 159:23 192:9 199:9 16:14,16 33:6,6 195:21 198:6 reducing 190:8 Reis 1:19 3:4,5 33:8 36:15 47:5 201:3 reductions 83:13 3:22 66:15 148:23 54:19 56:2,7,14 recollections 45:20 reelection 42:23 reminds 54:11 56:15,16,17 recommend reenter 39:18 reenter 39:18 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 70:19 71:23 recommendation 120:17 reject 92:7 related 19:4 40:3 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 127:13,14,21 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 referenced 19:4 40:3 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 123:14:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 repoit 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 reliable 136:25 | 148:18 170:18 | reduce 8:16,18 | 76:9 | 176:6 183:10 | 4:21,25 9:7,13 |
| 195:21 198:6 reducing 190:8 Reis 1:19 3:4,5 remembers 33:8 36:15 47:5 201:3 reductions 83:13 3:22 66:15 148:23 54:19 56:2,7,14 recollections 45:20 reelection 42:23 147:11 205:10 reminds 54:11 56:15,16,17 recommend reenter 39:18 reentering 19:2 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendation 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 referenced 100:6 62:11 183:1,25 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 86:8 98:1 127:5 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 referendums relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 relative 118:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 repoit 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 186:15 191:24 | 116:5 118:1 | regulations | 184:19 185:12 | 9:15 11:1,1,3 |
| 201:3 reductions 83:13 3:22 66:15 148:23 54:19 56:2,7,14 recollections 141:23 192:15 45:20 Reis's 116:12 reminds 54:11 56:15,16,17 recommend reenter 39:18 reenter 39:18 reiterate 113:8 rendition 170:18 70:19 71:23 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 related 19:4 40:3 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 recommendati reference 101:23 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 referendums relations 13:14 repeatedly 112:16 114:8 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 rejort 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 192:4 194:25 | 190:6 | 156:21 159:23 | 192:9 199:9 | 16:14,16 33:6,6 |
| recollections reelection 42:23 147:11 205:10 reminds 54:11 56:15,16,17 141:23 192:15 45:20 Reis's 116:12 206:18 remove 160:18 57:4 59:4 70:7 recommend reenter 39:18 reentering 19:2 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 referenced 19:4 40:3 repeated 19:4 40:3 repeated 108:25 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 106:3,12 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 repeatedly 115:16 116:12 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 relevant 206:23 repoit 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 195:21 198:6 | reducing 190:8 | Reis 1:19 3:4,5 | remembers | 33:8 36:15 47:5 |
| 141:23 192:15 45:20 Reis's 116:12 remove 160:18 57:4 59:4 70:7 recommend reenter 39:18 reenter 39:18 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 70:19 71:23 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 recommendati 28:19,21 60:18 reference 101:23 references 197:9 62:11 183:1,25 repeated 108:25 103:23,24 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 112:16 114:8 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 relative 118:24 repeatedly 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 release 32:24 replies 198:3 19:7 128:13 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 201:3 | reductions 83:13 | 3:22 66:15 | 148:23 | 54:19 56:2,7,14 |
| recommend reenter 39:18 206:18 rendition 170:18 70:19 71:23 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 recommendati reference 101:23 referenced 100:6 62:11 183:1,25 repeated 108:25 103:23,24 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 repeatedly 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 release 32:24 replies 198:3 19:7 128:13 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | recollections | reelection 42:23 | 147:11 205:10 | reminds 54:11 | 56:15,16,17 |
| 172:8 reentering 19:2 reiterate 113:8 reorganized 12:2 73:21 79:24,25 recommendation 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 referenced 19:4 40:3 repeal 127:20 99:18,20 103:6 recommendati 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 repeated 108:25 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 112:16 114:8 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 repeatedly 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 release 32:24 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 141:23 192:15 | 45:20 | Reis's 116:12 | remove 160:18 | 57:4 59:4 70:7 |
| recommendation reentry 8:1 51:10 reiterated 134:17 rep 106:18 80:1,3 89:4,5 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 related 19:4 40:3 repeal 127:20 99:18,20 103:6 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 46:10 55:18 relative 118:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | recommend | reenter 39:18 | 206:18 | rendition 170:18 | 70:19 71:23 |
| 127:13,14,21 120:17 reject 92:7 repair 114:1 93:9 99:15,16 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 referenced 19:4 40:3 repeal 127:20 99:18,20 103:6 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 62:11 183:1,25 repeated 108:25 103:23,24 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 relies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 172:8 | reentering 19:2 | reiterate 113:8 | reorganized 12:2 | 73:21 79:24,25 |
| 143:23 146:2,5 reference 101:23 related 19:4 40:3 repeal 127:20 99:18,20 103:6 recommendati 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | recommendation | reentry 8:1 51:10 | reiterated 134:17 | rep 106:18 | 80:1,3 89:4,5 |
| recommendati referenced 100:6 62:11 183:1,25 repeated 108:25 103:23,24 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 127:13,14,21 | | reject 92:7 | repair 114:1 | 93:9 99:15,16 |
| 28:19,21 60:18 references 197:9 184:4 191:11 109:1 151:17 106:3,12 86:8 98:1 127:5 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 143:23 146:2,5 | reference 101:23 | related 19:4 40:3 | repeal 127:20 | 99:18,20 103:6 |
| 86:8 98:1 127:5 referencing 202:16 153:18 112:16 114:8 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 referendums 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | recommendati | referenced 100:6 | 62:11 183:1,25 | repeated 108:25 | 103:23,24 |
| 127:12 139:21 100:25 relations 13:14 repeatedly 114:14 115:2 139:24 143:3 referendums 46:10 55:18 relative 118:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | 28:19,21 60:18 | references 197:9 | 184:4 191:11 | 109:1 151:17 | 106:3,12 |
| 139:24 143:3 referendums relative 118:24 145:20 115:16 116:12 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | | \mathcal{C} | | | 112:16 114:8 |
| 143:10,11,12 46:10 55:18 release 32:24 replies 198:3 119:7 128:13 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | | | | | |
| 144:23 146:17 57:5 95:1 relevant 206:23 report 1:3 26:10 147:11 149:4,6 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | | | | | |
| 147:24 173:5 referring 63:20 reliable 132:12 26:13 62:3,7 152:9,10 155:8 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | | | | _ | |
| 175:5,7,7 66:11 90:4 relied 136:25 69:1 84:6 86:11 163:24 164:2 | | | | _ | |
| | 147:24 173:5 | 0 | | | |
| recommended 130:16 178:17 relief 39:4 47:22 135:17 176:2 166:13,24 | | | | | |
| | recommended | 130:16 178:17 | relief 39:4 47:22 | 135:17 176:2 | 166:13,24 |
| | | | | <u> </u> | |

| | | | | _ |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 167:3,6,15,16 | 34:21 38:13 | 111:5 156:19 | 59:22 60:3,5,6 | 181:10 184:3 |
| 167:22 178:9 | 39:10 40:7 | 203:22 | 60:19,20 | 185:5 191:8,13 |
| 178:13,18 | 78:14 92:12 | responsive 178:1 | 129:20,20,21 | 192:1 193:8,15 |
| 179:1,5,7,8,12 | 107:19 108:11 | 191:13 199:17 | 140:1 143:13 | 193:22 194:2,8 |
| 179:18,23 | 133:4 151:24 | rest 21:3 24:19 | 144:2,18 148:4 | 194:10,12,24 |
| 180:1,3,10,13 | 159:20 | 57:20 72:8 | 148:11,12,14 | 195:9,15,20,22 |
| 180:19 181:9 | respect 35:20 | 169:3 197:25 | RFPs 59:18 74:7 | 197:11 200:2,4 |
| 181:12,16 | 47:9 58:23 | restore 154:18 | 74:7,9,11 86:3 | 200:5,16,20 |
| 190:23,23,25 | 60:10,15 63:1 | result 64:16 | 144:14,25 | 201:2,4 |
| 191:2 195:6 | 69:25 75:2,4 | 116:3,6 120:1 | ribbon 48:10 | rights 160:19 |
| 199:15 201:7,9 | 76:17 107:3 | 121:21,21 | Ribley 20:12 | ring 187:13 |
| 201:13,20 | 113:23 116:14 | 122:3,4,16 | 184:20 185:2 | 198:1 |
| 204:25 205:10 | 171:22 172:24 | 123:24 147:8,9 | 185:16 188:6 | risk 118:1 |
| 206:18 207:21 | 173:11 174:5 | 154:4 167:11 | Rich 134:10 | Rita 1:20 3:6,12 |
| 207:22 | 174:25 177:18 | 202:19 | Richard 181:8 | 89:5 99:16,18 |
| Representatives | 179:1 200:24 | results 88:11 | rid 89:24 | 99:20 103:24 |
| 3:11 | respected 107:4 | 119:2,4,9,11,13 | Riddled 118:14 | 128:13 |
| representing | 107:5 195:17 | 119:15,18,20 | riding 5:23 | roads 47:21 |
| 102:23 | 195:17,18 | 120:13,13,18 | right 4:14 7:18 | Rob 193:22 |
| represents 28:2 | respective 96:5 | 121:7,14,15 | 8:25 14:11 | Robert 1:20 |
| Republicans | respond 81:13 | 122:9,11,12,16 | 25:25 27:12 | 100:19 174:8 |
| 113:14 | 196:9 200:19 | 145:22 164:11 | 28:5 29:1 31:18 | robocalls 45:11 |
| reputation 90:22 | responding | 164:12 | 33:3 35:7 37:23 | Rockford 138:25 |
| request 3:18 60:1 | 192:6 | retail 96:2 | 38:11 41:6,8,10 | role 6:3 31:14 |
| 66:18 134:25 | response 2:20,22 | retained 90:7 | 43:23 44:10,25 | 32:7 42:19,21 |
| 143:5 204:15 | 2:24 3:7 4:1 | retaliation | 45:6,15,17 | 60:10,14 61:4 |
| requested 164:13 | 93:14 94:17 | 101:13 | 48:10,17 52:2 | 61:11,14 63:3 |
| 174:13 | 114:25 118:7 | retirement 129:5 | 53:5,13 55:14 | 124:19 125:24 |
| requests 138:22 | 123:12 133:12 | return 114:9,10 | 56:9 57:3 59:23 | 127:8 139:22 |
| required 144:11 | 139:1 152:1,6 | returning 8:2 | 63:18 66:1,9 | 158:10 171:15 |
| 202:11 | 179:11,25 | revelations | 67:5,5,22 70:6 | 171:19,23 |
| requirement | 180:2 201:8 | 203:10 | 71:16 74:7 76:1 | roles 195:6 |
| 127:18 | responses 29:14 | Reverend 174:9 | 77:9,24 78:24 | roll 2:5,8,10 9:14 |
| requirements | 60:7 129:23 | 174:10 | 80:18 85:21 | 32:20 103:24 |
| 135:3 159:13 | 200:2 | review 4:22 73:5 | 97:2 105:24 | 116:10 126:18 |
| reserve 33:3 | responsibilities | 135:12,14 | 112:4 115:18 | rolled 34:13 55:6 |
| resident 110:17 | 13:5 61:8 82:21 | 204:4,11 | 122:8 125:6 | 55:11 136:10 |
| Residents 110:17 | responsibility | reviewed 60:8 | 130:25 133:11 | 165:13 |
| resolution 61:14 | 62:7 69:14 70:1 | 128:10 149:17 | 133:19,23 | rollout 33:21 |
| 66:14 205:10 | 79:13,17,18,20 | 182:22 192:13 | 135:4,11,20 | 38:23 59:4 |
| 206:13,17 | 110:22 124:18 | reviewing 155:5 | 136:13 137:15 | 64:12 |
| resolve 118:22 | 156:7,23,25 | 178:22 183:23 | 140:10 142:3,4 | RON 1:21 |
| 127:19 | 157:16,24 | 199:6 | 146:18 149:15 | room 1:5 53:4 |
| resolved 65:3 | 158:15,21 | revisions 3:18 | 149:21 155:24 | 106:19 107:1,1 |
| resources 7:3 | 203:16,18,20 | RFP 28:21,24,25 | 159:22 160:17 | 107:15 110:25 |
| 17:24 19:10 | responsible 37:8 | 29:3,6,13,13 | 160:18 167:4 | 111:13 |
| 20:22 23:11 | 37:12 79:8 | 34:5 47:12 | 172:14 177:6 | root 10:20,20 |
| | 1 | ' | · | 1 |

| 18:20 21:8 | 131:23 136:4,9 | 150:11 163:10 | 97:13,15,15,16 | 143:4 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 37:21 77:23 | 136:11 137:1 | 185:24 189:4 | 108:9 119:5,14 | self-imposed |
| roots 97:25 | Saldinger 181:7 | 195:9 199:24 | 119:15 120:13 | 70:6 |
| 125:16,16 | 181:8 | 200:18 201:1 | 120:18,24,25 | sell 49:8 |
| Roseland 40:9 | Sandack 1:21 3:8 | 208:6 | 121:20,21 | semantics 87:3 |
| 100:10,20 | 3:9,20,21 33:6 | scale 170:10 | 130:5 135:15 | Senate 159:17 |
| 101:18 102:1 | 33:8 56:7,15,17 | 207:16 | 145:10 189:15 | senator 1:10,12 |
| 102:19 110:16 | 167:16,22 | scathing 113:12 | 190:18 198:3 | 1:13,14,15,16 |
| 174:9 | 178:9,13,18 | Schaumburg | seeing 3:17 11:8 | 2:11,12,13,14 |
| Rosewood 35:12 | 179:1,5,8 | 82:22 | 15:12 37:3 | 2:15,16,17,18 |
| Ross 17:22 19:5 | 190:23,25 | schedule 35:5 | 53:25 64:5 | 2:19,21 9:14,17 |
| 44:8,14 76:5,7 | 191:2 199:15 | school 26:21 | 119:1,4 121:13 | 9:23 10:7 55:23 |
| 180:23,24 | 207:21 | 100:9 104:25 | 130:7 151:13 | 56:5,7 89:5,6,8 |
| 181:2,5,5,7,15 | sat 68:2 99:21 | 119:9 120:12 | 180:10 201:9 | 96:15 103:24 |
| 181:16,24 | 105:3,20 | 165:14 | seeking 201:15 | 106:9,11,15,18 |
| 182:4 185:20 | 108:22 109:25 | schools 47:21 | seen 17:9 57:13 | 112:19 114:11 |
| 191:3 193:6 | 112:11 120:5 | 105:1 | 59:8 67:22 90:1 | 114:19 115:15 |
| 194:9 196:18 | 169:14,23 | school-based | 104:7 105:10 | 115:19 116:25 |
| 198:7 199:16 | 172:6 207:7 | 39:18 51:10 | 105:13 109:21 | 125:20 126:23 |
| 201:10,12 | save 8:8,16,18,21 | 78:4 121:24,25 | 113:15 136:8 | 126:25 130:1 |
| Ross's 185:24 | saved 8:11 10:5,5 | science 13:12 | 162:22 164:6 | 131:16 132:14 |
| roughly 7:25 | 14:3 62:17 | 165:11 | 165:20,21 | 134:15,20 |
| rules 156:21 | 93:20 190:11 | scope 206:24 | 179:17 189:21 | 135:4,20 |
| 157:25 159:23 | saw 18:21 26:20 | scoring 129:20 | 189:24 190:17 | 136:13,18,21 |
| run 7:21 21:21 | 58:20 59:10 | 129:24 144:20 | 206:2 207:5,6 | 138:5,10,22 |
| 145:5 177:14 | 63:22 64:19 | 144:20,25 | selected 26:2,5 | 141:1,4 143:2 |
| running 19:18 | 110:18 130:21 | 148:4 | 27:3,20 29:16 | 143:19 144:16 |
| 23:4 49:20 | 137:18 162:5 | season 6:7,13 | 31:19 59:5,17 | 145:12 146:1 |
| 53:18 61:10 | 165:8 170:2,22 | 9:11 49:18 | 127:8 129:8,23 | 146:18 148:6 |
| 75:12 79:16 | 170:24 175:11 | 53:20 54:15 | 130:25 135:18 | 152:11 155:9 |
| 95:17 119:1,3 | saying 38:3,10 | 66:25 96:23 | 136:24 143:25 | 155:13,15 |
| 120:16 121:13 | 40:7,23 50:10 | 139:14 | 144:15 148:17 | 156:14 157:1 |
| 150:1 158:15 | 65:24 66:6 68:3 | second 41:22 | 170:10,12,21 | 164:1 174:10 |
| rush 45:12 | 83:8,23 87:2 | 57:16 82:14 | 170:22 175:8 | 180:13 181:22 |
| 188:21 189:3,7 | 94:9 100:23 | 83:7,8 84:17 | selecting 74:21 | 182:3 189:10 |
| rushed 118:16 | 119:12 120:7,9 | 107:7 126:3 | 170:19 187:21 | 198:7 201:21 |
| 189:15 203:7 | 124:1 129:13 | 168:14 194:5 | selection 59:3,19 | 202:8 |
| Rx 147:17 | 130:13,15 | 199:12 | 124:22 125:25 | send 99:8 148:4 |
| | 131:2 137:12 | seconded 3:22 | 127:9 128:3,21 | 152:22 155:11 |
| <u>S</u> | 187:9,9 196:3,9 | sector 92:20 | 139:19 143:14 | sending 144:20 |
| S 4:16 181:6,6,8 | 198:4 | see 8:17 31:23 | 143:23 145:23 | senior 105:11 |
| safe 101:25 102:3 | says 35:8,10 | 32:10 43:17 | 148:9,10 | 125:3 132:21 |
| 121:1 146:8 | 44:25 50:6 | 45:2 51:2 67:14 | 149:16 170:8 | 166:15 |
| 159:5 195:8 | 55:12 67:15 | 67:19 69:9 | 171:5,12,19 | sense 39:6 80:13 |
| 202:10 | 84:7 111:22 | 78:18 80:6 | 172:22 | 117:19 157:8 |
| safety 39:19 | 117:25 118:20 | 81:11,22 82:5 | selections 59:25 | 190:7 |
| 72:11 110:10 | 135:22 150:9 | 82:11,13 85:23 | 74:17 139:21 | sent 29:13 43:16 |
| | I | I | I | I |

| 44:2,6,7 60:6 | serving 114:24 | 74:18 99:25 | 63:14 95:3 | sit 92:22 105:23 |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 63:17 94:14 | session 66:12 | 147:8 | 130:11 | 167:25 195:22 |
| 144:7,14 175:5 | 68:18 206:15 | shed 14:22 | shows 41:4 | site 6:11 9:10 |
| 193:13,16 | set 24:11 30:17 | sheet 140:13,18 | 140:24 | sitting 110:2 |
| 194:8,21 | 47:11 49:15 | 144:6 | shut 65:25 66:3,6 | 111:13 162:20 |
| 195:25 196:1,6 | 64:14 91:13 | sheets 154:7 | 77:9 112:4 | situation 11:13 |
| 196:22,25 | 141:6 148:25 | shift 13:23 | 122:14,17 | 29:4 35:9 111:4 |
| 197:7,12 | sets 40:6 | shoes 81:23 | 123:6 | 150:22,23 |
| 199:19 | setting 61:10 | shooting 97:14 | side 45:7 91:23 | 151:1,9,11 |
| sentence 45:3 | seventh 182:10 | 100:16 110:15 | 98:6,10,16,17 | 152:16 186:15 |
| 46:3,3 50:10 | 182:13 | 117:24 118:9 | 98:20,21 99:8 | 188:9,12 |
| 51:24 57:16 | shake 135:6 | 121:25 | 187:5,5,7 | situations 110:10 |
| 169:2 | shape 62:8 75:20 | shootings 8:7,11 | 188:24 189:3 | six-year 102:19 |
| Seoul 13:16 | 198:9,14 | 15:15 26:17 | 189:22 190:8 | size 105:1 |
| separate 43:3 | share 24:1 | 54:8,9 82:6 | sides 117:8 | skills 7:24 106:1 |
| 46:18 79:14 | 163:12,17 | 102:16 111:21 | 129:12 | skipped 133:5,7 |
| 175:3 177:16 | Sharron 174:20 | 112:7 139:15 | signals 56:3 | sky 101:24 |
| September 31:23 | 175:5 | short 20:3 106:16 | signed 65:20 | slain 101:6 |
| 34:23 35:4,10 | Shaw 7:8 17:13 | 112:17 114:13 | 66:12 105:20 | small 10:23 |
| 43:16,19 46:22 | 20:6 21:19 | 166:12 180:18 | significance | 12:24 18:18 |
| 47:6 48:14 50:4 | 22:20,21 24:22 | 188:21 | 117:11 | 19:6 24:21 69:9 |
| 51:6 52:16,16 | 26:9,15 32:3 | shorter 56:11 | significant 43:8 | 72:6,10 145:5 |
| 53:10 56:18 | 37:3,6,17,24 | shorthand 208:6 | 68:11 69:8,12 | smaller 22:4 |
| 57:14 67:10 | 39:13 40:1,14 | 208:10,13 | 86:17 116:10 | 23:18 |
| 110:12 140:16 | 51:2 53:1 57:25 | shortly 3:13 | 119:18 127:3 | snap 38:4 |
| 140:20 144:4,7 | 62:3 73:11 | 112:21 | 149:6 158:10 | social 111:23 |
| 144:10 | 74:17 75:1,9,13 | short-term 121:6 | significantly | Sohar 48:21 |
| sequence 173:2 | 110:25 117:20 | 121:11 122:9 | 75:17 | 50:13,15,20 |
| series 140:6 | 117:22,23 | shot 5:22,22 | sign-in 140:13,18 | soldiers 101:4 |
| serious 5:7,13,18 | 118:24 119:12 | 15:16 16:3 | similar 22:14 | solely 44:21,23 |
| 100:2,3,24 | 124:18 128:5 | 96:11,12 100:5 | 32:2 39:20 | 157:17 |
| serve 91:4 92:19 | 128:12 129:3 | 100:19,20,21 | 128:17 131:11 | solution 7:5 |
| 92:20 | 131:19 132:22 | 100:21,22 | 173:4 | 10:17 18:21 |
| served 8:5 9:4 | 133:19,23 | 103:12 110:16 | simple 127:14 | 119:24 122:17 |
| 11:8 12:23 | 134:15 135:4,7 | 120:22 174:8 | simply 7:3 47:17 | 123:6 |
| 15:19 108:2 | 137:4,19 139:4 | show 43:13 47:7 | 68:13 95:13 | solutions 111:7 |
| 191:14 | 142:10 143:24 | 48:8 67:11 73:6 | 105:8 155:3 | 122:25 |
| serves 81:20 | 146:23 149:3,9 | 80:17 83:2,16 | 163:3 203:10 | solve 207:14 |
| service 85:3 | 149:17 155:17 | 104:13,14 | single 108:9,25 | somebody 32:11 |
| 129:5 143:16 | 156:16 157:3 | 128:16 145:6 | 154:11 | 78:9 122:2 |
| 149:23 151:23 | 157:10 162:3 | 164:6 185:18 | sir 4:25 33:24 | 126:6 129:9 |
| 153:25 154:10 | 163:5 168:3 | 185:25 | 45:3 46:22 | 134:12 135:6 |
| services 7:22,25 | 169:7 172:16 | showed 133:13 | 49:11,12 50:8 | somebody's |
| 8:1 84:8 117:25 | 174:24 176:13 | showing 48:13 | 55:13 89:3 | 97:14 120:21 |
| 132:8 143:5 | 176:14,19 | 105:23 | 166:9 181:14 | somewhat |
| 146:3,24 154:9 | Shawn 16:15 | shown 46:12 | 193:5 195:3 | 156:17 |
| 171:8 | Shaw's 33:11 | 55:19 57:11 | 198:13 | soon 54:23,23 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | 1 | I | 1 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 119:3,14 | specifically 15:11 | 41:19 44:17 | 16:4,16 18:5 | statistics 26:6,7 |
| sooner 120:15,16 | 19:17 41:24 | 49:24 61:11,15 | 22:2 32:17 | 26:14,23,25 |
| 121:12,13 | 142:14 164:13 | 62:10 64:25 | 38:21 39:5 40:6 | 27:25 29:22 |
| sorry 13:2 36:9 | specificity 76:22 | 66:1,9,17 69:21 | 40:9,11,15,20 | 31:3 59:6,8,13 |
| 41:15,17 60:13 | specifics 65:2 | 70:1,8,23 75:17 | 42:17 43:3,6,8 | 73:4 74:5,25 |
| 60:24 69:24 | 73:19,20 | 75:25 79:21 | 44:3,12,14 | 128:6 130:10 |
| 83:25 182:12 | 125:11 | 84:20 92:5,6 | 46:16 47:25 | 130:14,16,19 |
| 193:19 197:4,5 | speculate 74:14 | 125:3 128:10 | 48:1,13 52:12 | 131:1,3,15 |
| sort 66:4 92:19 | 74:20 136:1 | 156:10 158:19 | 54:20,20 65:11 | 133:8 135:2 |
| 102:4,9 123:15 | 151:2,9 157:10 | 158:20 166:5 | 75:5,19,20 | 137:11,14 |
| 174:16 | 163:19,21 | 166:16 167:12 | 78:14,16,17 | 141:13 |
| sought 97:10 | 186:19 | 176:1,2,13 | 79:3,15 80:10 | stats 73:8 |
| sound 61:20 | speed 38:16,22 | 180:24 183:6 | 84:16 86:2 87:1 | status 65:11 |
| 135:11 170:13 | spell 4:10,11 | 185:16 186:23 | 87:5 88:22 | 115:1,4 179:14 |
| 189:7 | 166:20,21 | 186:24 188:6 | 89:19 90:23,24 | statute 7:17 22:2 |
| sounds 114:24 | 181:3 | 193:22 202:16 | 95:8 96:15 98:9 | 79:15 |
| 196:19 | spend 152:14 | 202:20 205:12 | 98:16,21 | statutes 88:22 |
| source 73:6 | spending 62:21 | staffs 115:16 | 109:15 112:25 | 156:21 |
| 132:12 | 146:24 190:1 | 205:1 | 113:19 116:16 | stellar 90:22 |
| south 13:16 27:9 | 204:12 | stand 105:3 | 122:25 123:6 | stem 18:2 |
| 30:23 31:2,5,10 | spends 20:17 | standing 75:21 | 126:10,14 | step 20:1 128:1 |
| 73:22 74:3 | spent 7:8 13:15 | 97:16,17 | 127:18,20 | 128:20 146:2 |
| 101:22,23,25 | 20:15 45:8 | standpoint 22:6 | 129:5 131:17 | 203:1 |
| 102:8,11,22,25 | 70:15 71:21 | 22:6 128:15 | 133:1 136:17 | steps 202:2 |
| 128:12 134:2,8 | 80:14 83:1 | stark 33:20 | 146:10 152:16 | Stermer 83:3,5,8 |
| 134:9,13,18,22 | 93:10 127:3 | start 37:2 83:9 | 154:2,9,24 | 83:22 86:10 |
| 135:9,10 | 152:18 161:22 | 83:11 85:4 | 155:7 159:1,13 | 88:7 90:19 |
| 137:24 138:2 | 162:21 | 119:1,4 120:11 | 161:17 162:3 | 111:10 |
| 138:12 | spinoff 145:4 | 121:13 123:15 | 174:14 181:1,3 | Stermer's 85:8 |
| Spanish 84:11,14 | spirit 206:8 | 182:7 193:19 | 181:18 187:5 | 90:19 |
| 85:20 | split 150:4 | 206:15 | 195:6 202:21 | stewards 204:19 |
| speak 23:7 36:3 | splitting 134:12 | started 11:16 | 207:2 208:1,9 | stick 10:18,18 |
| 141:20 169:11 | spoken 35:3 97:7 | 32:3,3 65:6 | stated 84:9,24 | stimulus 13:6 |
| 177:13 185:11 | sponsor 66:15 | 88:14 104:25 | 128:8 144:3 | stood 15:2 |
| 188:13 197:17 | sprang 132:9 | 108:16 124:5 | statement 4:24 | 142:17 |
| 197:23 | spring 68:18 | 182:10,17 | 9:12 10:9 11:6 | stop 25:25 37:23 |
| special 29:3 | 106:4 165:15 | 189:5 | 57:21,23 68:11 | 45:17 96:22 |
| 34:24 35:2 | 205:21 | starting 43:14 | 77:11 99:25 | 112:14 117:24 |
| specific 16:12 | Springfield 80:7 | 128:23 | 100:1 123:16 | 118:9 173:14 |
| 26:13 27:14 | 206:14 | starts 119:3 | 123:17 124:3 | 173:22 |
| 28:11 64:13 | spun 145:7 | 121:3 199:19 | 126:3,7 167:9 | storm 39:1 |
| 65:17 126:23 | SS 208:2 | state 4:9 5:17,20 | 181:13 193:23 | story 81:2 150:10 |
| 169:22 174:1 | St 136:19 138:24 | 7:17 8:15,24 | 194:13,17 | 193:25 |
| 182:24 183:1 | stable 78:3 | 11:16,20,21,21 | 195:5 200:23 | strategy 107:16 |
| 183:12 184:20 | staff 4:7 8:23 | 12:5,6,12,25 | statewide 113:5,5 | street 1:5 38:12 |
| 192:10,16,17 | 13:20 14:5 24:9 | 13:4,8,17,19,23 | 122:24 165:4 | 62:23 100:17 |
| 198:20 199:1 | 36:22 41:12,16 | 14:3,6,9,15 | State's 11:8 | 101:15 119:6 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | • | <u> </u> | • | • |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 120:22 | subpoenaed | 160:7,12,15,24 | 123:19 127:11 | 94:2,8 95:10,11 |
| streets 6:22 | 163:1,4,5,9 | 161:17 | 131:9 133:18 | 98:20,23 99:2,7 |
| 58:20 118:9 | subpoenaes | summarize 58:8 | 134:19 136:17 | 105:23,25 |
| 151:19 153:22 | 203:2 | summer 6:1,10 | 137:9 142:11 | 107:18,21,23 |
| 159:3,5 | subsequent 5:8 | 6:14 14:16 15:3 | 154:20 156:19 | 107:25 108:1,2 |
| Stricklin 1:22 | 77:12 174:19 | 54:15 100:7 | 158:16,17 | 108:19 109:10 |
| 2:10,11,13,15 | 203:5 | 101:21 132:17 | 172:10 177:14 | 109:20 110:2 |
| 2:17,19,21,23 | subset 178:20 | Sun 8:14 78:18 | 178:19 181:5 | 110:12 113:18 |
| 2:25 3:2,4,6,8 | suburb 134:9 | 168:13,18 | 182:18 183:6 | 123:8 126:21 |
| 115:4,8 179:15 | suburban 27:10 | 185:18 | 185:11 199:13 | 127:6 130:2 |
| 179:20 201:18 | 27:15,18 30:23 | Sunday 43:20,21 | 202:8 203:11 | 132:25 141:18 |
| 201:19 | 31:5,10 47:24 | 47:6 94:14 | 204:16 | 152:12 153:2 |
| strict 55:4 | 73:22 | 100:22 | surprised 5:6 | 157:18 158:24 |
| struck 37:24 | suburbia 47:24 | superseded | 53:4 | 171:18 173:8 |
| 38:10 66:24 | suburbs 31:2 | 157:13 161:24 | suspect 61:23 | 206:19 |
| 175:11 190:1 | 74:3 101:22,23 | supervisor 62:5 | swear 178:12,13 | talked 18:2,25 |
| structure 104:5 | 101:25 102:8 | support 73:3 | sworn 4:19,20 | 20:2,6,11 44:15 |
| 105:15 164:9 | 102:11,22,25 | 104:9,10 | 9:21 167:5,20 | 47:20 58:18 |
| 165:1 | 128:12 134:2,8 | 129:17 171:4 | 181:10,11 | 59:4 64:10 74:6 |
| struggle 117:4 | 134:14,18,22 | supposed 20:4 | 182:1 208:5 | 94:23 98:14 |
| student 100:9 | 135:9,10 | 24:23 64:11 | | 105:4,21 107:8 |
| 110:13 121:24 | 137:24 138:3 | 94:4 103:14 | <u>T</u> | 109:13 117:16 |
| studied 162:7 | 138:13 | 135:1 | T 166:25 167:2 | 123:11 128:6 |
| study 8:9 128:5 | success 77:3 | supposedly | table 4:6 166:17 | 128:13 135:4 |
| 128:19 130:11 | successes 79:9 | 133:16 | 180:23 | 136:4,7 138:6 |
| 130:13,23,24 | 126:20 | sure 8:9 11:19 | take 2:4,5,7,10 | 139:19 148:23 |
| 131:2,2 132:9 | successful 83:14 | 16:20 17:18 | 6:3 27:3 29:4 | 172:21 |
| 133:19,25 | sudden 101:24 | 19:14 23:3 | 37:7 39:12 | talking 17:14 |
| 135:17 136:8 | suddenly 34:9 | 24:22 25:10 | 48:11 56:6 | 31:17 38:24 |
| 137:18 141:12 | suggest 115:3 | 27:11,19 32:9,9 | 83:20 87:2 | 40:9,14 45:7 |
| studying 13:15 | 141:24 145:23 | 32:9 33:25 34:3 | 101:8 106:13 | 52:24 57:10 |
| stuff 19:1,2 35:11 | suggested 7:5 | 34:10 38:2 | 112:17 114:8 | 80:15 82:25 |
| 77:18 121:10 | 60:23,25 | 40:18 41:9 | 121:3 122:7 | 83:10,11 94:4,8 |
| 182:23 198:1 | 117:15 123:18 | 45:21 46:10 | 124:1,7,11 | 94:16,22 98:7 |
| style 106:8 | 129:7 134:16 | 48:16 51:5,21 | 146:1 155:11 | 99:9 107:10 |
| subagencies 75:3 | suggesting 94:23 | 54:1 57:1 65:18 | 157:9 161:9 | 108:4 110:9 |
| 193:2 | 196:2 | 65:21 72:8 | 165:7 166:3,7 | 111:15,16,19 |
| subject 81:15 | suggestion 150:6 | 77:18 80:11,19 | 177:17 190:18 | 117:2 119:13 |
| 83:6 89:11 | 150:7 | 82:24 85:10,14 | 193:4 203:1,16 | 121:10 124:25 |
| 157:5,7 | suggestions | 88:15 91:2,8 | taken 5:8 6:2 | 137:17 165:11 |
| submit 15:7 | 59:20 146:22 | 101:9 106:2 | 7:11 77:12 | 172:14 175:25 |
| submitted 83:3 | 148:20 151:17 | 107:2 108:13 | 109:2 123:22 158:5 208:13 | 197:7 201:14 |
| 144:5 | 153:18 | 108:14 109:15 | takes 38:5 | talks 67:9 |
| subpoena 114:25 | suggests 45:23 | 109:18 110:18 | takes 38:5 talk 24:6 34:19 | tanks 48:25 |
| 117:5 163:3,6,6 | suited 21:24 25:5 | 110:23 112:8 | 58:15 64:6 66:7 | target 120:23 |
| 178:2 191:14 | sum 6:17 71:10 | 112:15 113:9 | 81:10 91:4 94:1 | 169:19 |
| 199:17 | 71:11,15,21 | 113:22 119:12 | 01.10 31.4 34.1 | targeted 195:13 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | I |

| task 18:13 | 182:25 183:1 | 201:10,12 | 165:21 175:11 | 122:21,22 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| tasked 19:18 | 183:12 187:22 | 202:3 204:23 | 190:5 206:9 | 123:3,10 |
| 21:16 23:8,10 | 187:25 188:2 | 204:24,25 | 207:5 | 125:21 126:17 |
| 34:1,2 119:25 | 192:16 | 207:17,23 | think 8:10 9:25 | 130:8 133:6 |
| 154:23 | Terribly 147:9 | thanked 89:10 | 10:9,10 11:6 | 134:17,24 |
| taste 142:19 | territory 197:18 | thanks 48:24 | 15:15,16,24 | 135:2,5,5,7 |
| tax 13:22 61:16 | testified 9:21 | 114:7 166:7 | 16:10 17:12,13 | 136:6,22 |
| 62:20 65:7 | 26:15 34:11 | 181:15 182:4 | 17:15,15,19 | 139:11 140:5 |
| 71:19 75:5 | 52:22 73:11 | 200:19 202:8 | 18:8,14 20:5,19 | 141:19 142:10 |
| taxpayer 62:22 | 77:8,10 147:19 | theirs 154:15 | 22:1,8,17 23:5 | 142:10,18 |
| 153:1 203:12 | 167:20 182:1 | theory 53:2 | 23:6 24:2,14,15 | 145:21 146:22 |
| 203:23 204:21 | testify 73:21 | thing 19:7,21 | 24:20 27:7,8,8 | 147:6,21 |
| taxpayers 82:21 | 167:13 194:23 | 22:2 27:16 | 27:17 29:10,21 | 149:20 151:13 |
| 88:3 150:14 | testifying 175:10 | 28:14 32:12 | 31:21 32:2,2,10 | 151:19 152:24 |
| 152:13 153:19 | 195:22 | 34:5 36:2 39:25 | 35:12 36:20 | 153:12,16,25 |
| team 125:23 | testimony 24:17 | 40:5 47:13 55:6 | 37:14 38:18,23 | 154:17 155:18 |
| 127:3 175:16 | 24:22 29:2 | 55:11 62:18,19 | 39:15 40:2 41:7 | 155:21 156:1,9 |
| teen 100:19 | 33:11,17,21 | 70:16 85:18 | 41:10 43:14 | 157:15 158:8 |
| telephone 149:3 | 58:3,16,22 | 87:2,6 107:11 | 53:24 59:5,10 | 158:13,25 |
| tell 8:9 11:10,15 | 80:25 82:17 | 112:13 113:1 | 60:1 63:17,17 | 159:8,12 160:1 |
| 23:25 33:20 | 94:14 99:13,21 | 118:16,25 | 63:19 66:6,12 | 160:2,7,10,12 |
| 37:2,10 88:11 | 104:8 117:14 | 121:4,16,18 | 66:13 67:6 | 160:15,17,18 |
| 88:18,19 126:9 | 124:18 129:1 | 123:5 159:6 | 68:25 69:7,12 | 160:23,25 |
| 126:13 131:16 | 129:19 141:18 | 163:12 173:5 | 69:14,15 71:24 | 161:5,11,15,18 |
| 132:24 138:19 | 142:23 155:16 | things 6:14,15 | 72:9,16 73:11 | 161:23,24 |
| 153:3,12 155:4 | 168:22 180:5 | 12:2,11,12 | 73:21 76:23 | 162:9,12,14,15 |
| 182:8 183:4 | 189:4 192:14 | 13:21 14:5 | 77:2,8,10 78:1 | 162:16,19 |
| 184:1,8,24 | 203:5,15 204:6 | 18:19 19:6 | 78:11,22 79:12 | 163:1,4,8,9 |
| 186:6,16 | 205:12 | 31:20 32:11,14 | 79:13,16,17 | 164:6 165:14 |
| 187:20 202:1,1 | Texas 12:12 | 32:16,17 48:2,9 | 80:22 81:14,14 | 168:12 169:14 |
| telling 49:4 73:10 | text 42:5,13 | 48:9,11 58:9 | 81:24 82:15,25 | 169:15 174:24 |
| Telpochcalli 84:7 | thank 4:4,18,25 | 61:12,16,19,20 | 83:3,22 84:3 | 177:1 178:23 |
| ten 8:12 12:5 | 5:2 8:22 9:5 | 61:22 63:15,16 | 85:24 86:6,13 | 184:13 185:23 |
| 13:8 43:10 | 11:4,4 33:2 | 68:5 70:12,21 | 86:16,19,20,22 | 188:9,10,24 |
| 109:22 | 79:23 80:1,12 | 76:12 77:3,6 | 87:3,20,22,24 | 189:2,10,16,17 |
| tend 92:23,24 | 89:3,9,9 99:13 | 78:1,11 81:8,10 | 88:18 90:10,20 | 189:18 190:16 |
| 93:7 | 99:14 103:21 | 81:11 82:10,12 | 91:11,25 93:20 | 190:18 193:5 |
| tendered 169:15 | 103:22 106:11 | 82:18 83:18 | 93:21,25 94:25 | 199:3,18 200:6 |
| 191:16 | 106:15 114:19 | 91:22,25 93:5 | 96:20,25 97:5 | 202:10,15,18 |
| tends 46:1 94:2 | 114:20 155:7 | 93:21 104:13 | 97:12 101:20 | 203:10,14 |
| tens 98:12 125:7 | 155:13 163:23 | 104:20 109:11 | 103:12 107:4 | 204:3,6,6,13 |
| tenure 87:10 | 166:1,9,10 | 117:17 121:2,3 | 107:12,15 | 205:6,11 |
| term 10:19 21:10 | 167:3,6,7,15 | 130:3 141:15 | 112:22 113:2 | 206:23 207:4 |
| 47:4 65:7 | 177:23 179:5 | 153:4 154:3,8 | 116:4,6,8,19 | thinking 39:21 |
| 120:14 121:4 | 180:4 181:9,12 | 157:5 158:3 | 117:17,20 | 54:13 95:12 |
| 122:6,7 175:16 | 182:6 189:16 | 159:14,15,19 | 119:3,20 120:9 | 125:19 145:12 |
| terms 91:3 | 190:21,25 | 161:2 164:21 | 121:21 122:9 | thinks 10:14 |
| | • | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | • |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 157:10 | 33:5 38:5 41:20 | tipping 15:7,8 | 203:2 | tribute 126:9 |
| third 193:5 | 42:11,11,17 | 36:16,17 | topic 83:10 | tried 159:16 |
| 204:11 | 43:2,3,3 44:10 | tireless 202:9 | tornado 39:1 | troopers 8:15 |
| Thomas 4:16 | 44:12,16 46:2 | title 50:17 85:10 | 40:4,13 | 18:5 79:3 |
| 44:23 | 46:16,16 48:23 | today 4:5,8 5:3 | total 207:4 | trouble 55:24 |
| Thornton 134:10 | 49:9 52:16 | 38:24 54:10 | totally 45:5 51:12 | 190:15 |
| thorough 190:17 | 53:23 54:5,20 | 58:16 86:7 | 197:16 | troubled 116:3 |
| 202:12 | 54:21 57:9 | 105:8 107:10 | touched 7:13 8:6 | 117:3 |
| thought 10:16 | 58:18 61:25 | 111:20,21 | 9:5 | troubling 103:7 |
| 22:5,9 23:24 | 63:4,19 64:23 | 114:20,24 | tough 207:11 | 117:18 133:11 |
| 24:3 51:3,3 | 65:1,14,18 | 117:15 118:9 | tour 174:11 | 151:25 |
| 72:17 77:8 94:2 | 66:17 69:21 | 118:17 123:10 | town 46:13 95:3 | true 92:17 126:8 |
| 114:6 132:11 | 70:17 71:17 | 131:8 135:21 | towns 55:20 | 141:23 208:12 |
| thoughts 190:15 | 73:15 85:10 | 152:16 153:11 | township 107:17 | trump 158:12 |
| 205:6 206:5 | 91:19 95:22 | 166:2,5,18 | 134:10,11,11 | try 10:19 17:11 |
| thousand 7:25 | 96:14 103:23 | 167:8 180:4 | townships 6:22 | 37:21 38:12 |
| 8:12 70:23,24 | 105:24 106:24 | 181:13 182:5 | track 107:22,23 | 56:10 77:7 |
| 105:13 | 107:23 108:25 | 191:22 205:15 | 118:1 164:20 | 78:13 91:10,15 |
| thousands 8:6 | 110:6 111:12 | 205:18 207:6 | tracking 64:7 | 91:19 98:1 |
| 9:4 98:12 | 111:17 116:7 | told 7:10 26:14 | traffic 3:12,13 | 104:15 125:8 |
| 102:20,20 | 116:11,20 | 37:5 39:5 54:11 | 183:24 199:7 | 154:18 161:1 |
| 155:1 192:8,8 | 118:25 120:15 | 63:12 72:19 | trail 42:16 61:22 | 177:10 184:14 |
| 192:11,12 | 122:7 136:10 | 117:21,21,21 | 61:25 | 184:16 188:15 |
| three 18:22 30:23 | 137:10,11 | 117:23 122:23 | train 122:5 | 196:6 206:9 |
| 48:14 52:4 | 139:12,13,17 | 123:21 130:9 | trained 108:3 | trying 10:7,23,25 |
| 69:18 70:20 | 152:18 154:7 | 130:10 134:5 | training 10:23 | 18:19 32:7 37:5 |
| 74:3 75:22 | 162:22 163:9 | 134:16,17 | 12:8 18:18 19:7 | 45:1,19 48:2 |
| 88:14 98:25 | 165:7,14 | 138:2 140:13 | 72:7 90:5,7,9 | 49:6 80:13 |
| 134:9,13 | 168:20 171:10 | 140:14 148:18 | 90:10 183:15 | 85:22 97:21,24 |
| 144:23 191:25 | 171:11 177:4 | 172:6,7 173:5 | 184:5 189:13 | 107:9,16 109:6 |
| throwing 121:5 | 177:24 179:6 | 173:15 177:7 | 189:19 | 110:7,18 |
| Thursday 48:15 | 180:8 183:5 | Tom 44:8 | transactions | 111:13,24 |
| 50:5,6 83:4 | 186:23 188:22 | tomorrow 48:22 | 164:21 165:23 | 113:25 119:23 |
| ticket 180:4 | 190:2,22 | 48:24 118:11 | transcript | 136:22 137:6 |
| tie 17:11 50:12 | 192:12 196:24 | 200:19 | 208:13 | 146:23 156:14 |
| 68:6 85:15 | 201:23 204:4 | Toni 17:21,22 | transfers 146:12 | Tuesday 100:21 |
| tighten 5:10 | 205:12 | 18:25 19:3 20:6 | transparency | tugged 70:23 |
| 77:14 | timeframe 79:2 | 39:14 62:9,9,11 | 86:5 164:10 | turn 33:5 162:5 |
| till 13:20 111:20 | timeline 85:4 | 76:5,6 166:15 | 206:8 | 166:24 |
| 111:20 | times 5:22 8:14 | 166:23,23,25 | Treasurer 11:21 | turned 129:4 |
| time 5:16 11:17 | 41:24 60:23,24 | 166:25 167:10 | 11:23 | turns 20:17 |
| 12:21 13:15 | 69:18 78:18 | 167:10,18 | Treasurer's | TV 6:12 82:6 |
| 14:15 16:19 | 98:13 120:22 | 180:9,12 | 11:21 | twice 59:5 180:1 |
| 20:3,14 21:15 | 126:4 168:13 | tool 184:15 | trenches 161:10 | two 6:11 7:14 8:1 |
| 23:20 26:22,23 | 168:18 174:8 | top 41:6 95:4,5,6 | 162:8 | 8:4 11:23,23 |
| 27:5 28:13 29:5 | 185:18 191:25 | 188:16 193:5 | Tribune 78:19 | 15:14 30:15 |
| 30:22 31:16 | timing 63:18 | 193:17 202:16 | 100:15 | 41:4 43:10 |
| | ı | ı | ı | |

| 47:14 53:7,8,21 | 109:4 113:21 | updated 31:14 | 72:23,24 83:5 | 97:22 98:4 |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 63:8 70:15 | 113:24 119:23 | 179:20 | vendors 203:21 | 100:2,8 101:5 |
| 88:14 97:23 | 127:11,13 | updates 32:6,6 | verbiage 143:25 | 103:12 104:12 |
| 98:3 108:19 | 131:4 137:6 | upwards 25:1 | versus 61:22 | 107:21 108:3 |
| 127:19 129:19 | 159:1,12 | up-to-date | vet 143:14 | 108:15 109:7,8 |
| 134:13 150:13 | 167:11 192:2 | 179:16 | 144:22 | 110:1,11,22 |
| 153:11 155:10 | understanding | urban 92:17 | veto 66:12 | 111:12 112:23 |
| 163:13 177:24 | 19:19 22:6 | 165:18 | 206:15 | 112:24 113:10 |
| 200:7,9 202:10 | 25:23 58:1 60:4 | urgency 39:6 | vetted 28:22 60:7 | 113:19,24 |
| 203:25 | 60:14 64:21 | 103:9 | vetting 144:24 | 116:14 122:2 |
| two-month 79:2 | 66:2,4 82:17 | urgently 124:2 | 145:10,13 | 122:25 123:7 |
| two-year 109:20 | 112:12 114:23 | urging 124:11 | 202:12 | 125:3,5,8 128:4 |
| type 38:22 | 139:22 144:1 | use 16:11 62:25 | victim 40:13 78:7 | 131:12,18,25 |
| 102:18 131:13 | 144:13 178:17 | 71:12 74:18 | victims 36:11 | 136:16 139:12 |
| 131:13 146:11 | 204:9 | 75:5,5 148:1 | victory 45:2 | 139:17 145:17 |
| types 87:19 154:8 | understatement | 159:10,17 | video 100:8,9 | 150:17 151:7 |
| typical 45:24 | 142:20 | 161:2 185:6 | view 118:25 | 151:22 153:22 |
| typo 49:1 | undertaken | 198:16 | 121:9 | 154:24 155:23 |
| T-BG 202:21 | 204:20 | useful 119:21 | viewed 121:12 | 156:4,9,18 |
| | undocumented | usual 96:8 | viewpoint 120:15 | 157:21 165:6 |
| U | 35:3 | usually 42:4,25 | vigils 110:17 | 168:5,6 170:11 |
| Uh-huh 191:23 | unemployment | 78:20 | village 149:23 | 173:25,25 |
| 193:7,12 | 14:1 61:17 65:9 | utilize 145:7 | 150:1 | 174:4,4,18 |
| 199:23 200:13 | unfortunate | utilized 59:21 | violating 159:14 | 175:18,20,23 |
| ulterior 80:17 | 89:12 | 128:5 131:14 | violations 158:1 | 177:10,15,21 |
| 85:23 | unfortunately | 171:12 177:9 | violence 5:4,14 | 181:18 183:2 |
| ultimate 60:25 | 126:18 153:12 | utilizing 45:11 | 5:16,24 6:6,18 | 188:2 190:6,8 |
| 156:23 | 154:4 | | 6:20,23 7:2,8 | 190:15 195:13 |
| ultimately 70:2 | unintended | V | 7:13,15,18,22 | 200:17 206:1 |
| 79:8 116:8 | 103:17 | V 4:13 167:1 | 8:16,19 10:16 | violent 6:21 67:1 |
| 127:4 133:25 | uninterrupted | Vaccine 147:18 | 10:20,21 11:9 | 102:15,17 |
| 155:22 156:6 | 55:24 | vacuum 53:5 | 15:1,4,22,23 | 116:20 132:3 |
| 156:19 | unison 207:21,22 | Valley 106:4 | 18:3,10,16,20 | 136:15 153:16 |
| umbrella 21:6,7 | United 84:15 | 165:15 | 19:1,1 20:1 | 170:9 203:8 |
| unacceptable | universal 177:22 | valuable 159:20 | 21:8 22:3,23 | visits 39:2,3 |
| 5:24 6:4 | university 13:13 | 193:24 | 23:1 25:18 | Voice 194:1 |
| unanswered | 13:15,16 47:22 | van 94:5 | 26:25 27:24 | Voices 90:20 |
| 153:13 204:3 | 64:17 87:9 | variety 90:22 | 28:3,8 29:15 | vote 28:9 49:10 |
| unclear 203:21 | unpaid 152:19 | 91:1,2 167:25 | 31:7 34:16,20 | 116:8 117:6 |
| underlying 97:6 | unrelated 126:4 | various 6:22 | 35:22,22 36:1,6 | voted 28:10,12 |
| 149:25 | unresolved | 46:14 60:6 | 36:11,24 37:18 | votes 49:8 116:12 |
| understand | 139:20 | 95:10 96:3 97:4 | 38:1,12 40:19 | 117:6 |
| 11:11 33:21 | unusual 96:14 | 154:7 | 49:17 53:3,15 | *** |
| 60:9 80:15 | 178:11 186:17 | varying 91:6 | 68:23 73:16,17 | W |
| 81:12 82:24 | upcoming 94:9 | 186:1 | 77:4,5,21,24 | W 181:6 |
| 84:1 92:13 | update 32:5 | vast 85:12 | 78:4 93:14,23 | wait 4:16 20:21 |
| 98:17 105:19 | 179:13 | Vaught 19:12 | 93:23 97:19,19 | 82:13 |
| | | I | I | |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| walk 14:23 | 190:7 | 142:10 172:16 | 38:19 63:10 | 110:21 |
| walked 105:4,21 | waste 30:11 | welcome 4:4,21 | 68:4 95:16 | wonder 10:4 |
| walking 121:1 | 203:12,23 | 167:7 180:21 | 109:18 114:23 | 125:22 150:17 |
| wallet 166:6 | wasted 203:10 | 181:2 | 115:14 130:3 | wonderful 62:18 |
| want 10:1 19:14 | watch 33:11,16 | well-intended | 136:8 139:11 | 62:19 154:3 |
| 20:16 30:25 | 94:4 97:13 | 67:23 | 141:11 147:15 | word 87:21,22 |
| 34:8,12 35:7,17 | watched 101:13 | well-managed | 147:15 148:20 | 141:10 151:15 |
| 44:5 46:4 48:11 | 104:2 105:22 | 190:10 | 149:13 150:10 | words 120:7,10 |
| 62:25 67:25 | watching 103:11 | well-meaning | 161:21 163:1 | 125:6 170:13 |
| 70:8,10 71:19 | way 5:10,11 7:23 | 36:10 | 164:6 179:20 | 170:15,17 |
| 83:7 94:1,9 | 10:16 22:13 | well-run 67:23 | 189:4 202:4 | 200:20 |
| 95:2 96:17,18 | 23:25 24:4 | 88:8 | whatsoever | work 8:23 12:15 |
| 101:12 105:18 | 41:13 44:6 57:1 | went 13:23 14:19 | 29:24 195:1 | 40:20,22 43:21 |
| 106:17 107:5,7 | 62:8 77:14,16 | 15:25 20:6 25:3 | Wicker 6:8 | 48:22 50:15 |
| 112:8,19 113:8 | 77:20,22 87:25 | 25:22 26:16 | wife 54:11 70:20 | 62:11 63:11,21 |
| 113:18 114:5 | 88:7 92:14 94:7 | 29:21 32:25 | WILLIAM 1:23 | 63:24 83:21 |
| 120:10,24,25 | 104:20 113:21 | 60:2 63:13 | willingness 123:9 | 84:12 90:25 |
| 123:8 126:1,21 | 116:19,23 | 71:24 72:2,13 | wisely 83:1 | 91:15,19 92:3,7 |
| 127:11 141:20 | 117:5 142:3,13 | 73:23 74:11 | 152:14 | 92:10 98:12 |
| 152:2 157:17 | 156:20 160:7 | 96:10 104:21 | wish 185:10 | 105:24 108:5 |
| 165:12,16 | 162:5,15 185:6 | 104:22 105:2 | 207:17 | 118:21 125:17 |
| 174:6 182:15 | 189:1 196:6 | 118:2,3 129:21 | witch 89:14 | 126:14,17 |
| 192:3 193:19 | 198:9,14,22 | 132:11 138:20 | 163:20 | 143:21 150:4 |
| 204:23 | ways 90:16 91:1 | 139:3,6 143:24 | witness 4:4,20 | 154:13,13,16 |
| wanted 21:2 | 112:5 113:18 | 144:2 145:9 | 9:20 33:4 55:25 | 155:3 156:1 |
| 36:20 53:9,10 | 127:19 162:11 | 165:9 187:24 | 103:10 107:3 | 187:10 199:3 |
| 53:14,17 55:12 | WBEZ-FM | weren't 10:5 | 114:16,25 | 202:4,9,19 |
| 118:9,18,18 | 186:3 | 50:24 59:19 | 116:17 133:12 | 204:11,24 |
| 120:2,4 138:12 | wearing 70:5,7 | 74:8 87:17 | 139:10 166:15 | 205:13 206:22 |
| 144:5 149:23 | weather 40:3 | 118:2 132:3 | 167:5,19 | 207:2 |
| wanting 149:4 | website 35:8 | 142:18 147:25 | 179:24 180:16 | worked 11:20 |
| wants 53:10 | 179:16,20,22 | 188:7 | 181:11,25 | 12:6,7,16 13:8 |
| 59:17 81:13 | week 43:1,6 | we're 38:24 | witnessed 121:25 | 19:22 48:7 62:9 |
| 151:3 | 100:20 | 43:24 54:14 | witnesses 15:6 | 63:9,12,15,21 |
| Warren 17:16 | weekend 48:23 | 93:22 99:3 | 76:13 80:15 | 63:24 64:1 |
| 20:11 48:24 | 49:3 53:10 | 105:8 106:6,8 | 89:10 116:18 | 65:16 76:7 |
| 51:17 199:1 | 112:7 | 108:4 109:6 | 117:5,11 | 141:12 142:24 |
| wasn't 17:13 | weekly 32:6,10 | 111:15,16,19 | 145:15 148:21 | 183:7 184:19 |
| 19:17 26:17 | 36:22 193:25 | 111:24 112:4 | 153:12 163:2 | 187:11 190:7 |
| 47:4 53:12 | weeks 46:24 | 113:11,11,25 | 201:17,23 | 196:23 |
| 54:25 62:24 | 58:20 | 115:9 117:9 | 203:18,25 | workers 61:17 |
| 63:14 64:1,3 | Weems 17:14 | 141:21 147:6 | 204:1 205:13 | 65:9 |
| 67:22 76:21 | 34:22 36:4 | 151:13 158:8 | wives 7:14 101:5 | workforce 10:22 |
| 107:1 109:8 | 38:10 40:1 | 160:3,4 161:9 | woman 6:5 54:3 | 12:10 |
| 132:10 145:9 | 52:23 72:23,24 | 172:14 202:1 | women 45:25 | working 13:4 |
| 161:7 170:24 | 76:4 81:1,23 | 202:24 204:19 | 47:24 126:10 | 14:8 50:22,23 |
| 174:5 185:13 | 83:18 87:25 | we've 24:17 | won 12:11,17 | 50:24 51:3,21 |
| | ı | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| 62:18 64:1,3,4 | 87:10 109:10 | 144:22 148:24 | 1100 107:25 | 2,000 8:4 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 75:25 76:4,6,6 | 121:21,23 | 157:4 171:9 | 1110 131:10 | 2:34 166:13 |
| 82:13 88:17 | 122:6 124:10 | 178:3 185:3 | 115th 100:17 | 2:53 43:17 |
| 91:18 102:23 | 133:24 146:5 | yesterday's | 118 116:12 | 20 25:2 71:24 |
| 103:17 111:7 | 194:22 199:21 | 33:17 58:3 | 12 80:15 99:21 | 72:2,8,13,15 |
| 111:11 115:10 | 200:6,10 201:1 | 155:16 | 108:22 116:4 | 108:16 123:7 |
| 194:16 204:10 | year 6:16 7:24,25 | Yonsei 13:16 | 12th 140:16 | 134:6,7 135:18 |
| 204:14,18,19 | 8:3 52:24 63:8 | young 6:5 8:2 | 12-year-old | 136:5,6 195:14 |
| workmen's 13:25 | 66:3 71:5,5 | 96:11 101:16 | 165:12,15 | 20th 110:16 |
| works 12:17 | 85:9 86:5 96:9 | 110:13 118:1 | 12:18 114:14 | 20-minute 166:3 |
| 81:21 142:3 | 96:24 145:2 | yours/maybe | 13th 144:4,8 | 200 114:24 |
| 204:13 | 146:20 204:12 | 177:9 | 13-year-old 5:22 | 202:23 |
| world 110:18 | years 7:20 8:1,4 | youth 7:23 8:1 | 100:16 101:15 | 2003 11:25 |
| 119:16 | 11:23,24 13:8 | 108:2,4 119:6 | 103:11 120:21 | 2005 132:1 |
| worry 68:5 | 23:4 39:15,15 | 174:18 | 14,000 8:5 9:4 | 2009 12:22 13:3 |
| worse 161:23 | 63:5,8 70:15 | youths 121:20 | 10:11,12 76:25 | 110:9,9,11,12 |
| worst 113:15 | 87:15 90:6 | | 82:3 86:17,19 | 110:14 180:25 |
| Wortendyke 6:6 | 95:24 98:25,25 | \$ | 86:22 88:19 | 2010 5:15,21 6:2 |
| worth 8:13 82:15 | 106:23 108:16 | \$10 13:24 24:24 | 93:17,18,20,24 | 6:10,14 13:20 |
| Wortham 96:11 | 108:20,22 | 65:7 71:18 | 14-year-old | 14:16 15:3 |
| wouldn't 16:11 | 109:22 118:24 | \$11 23:9 | 100:22 | 16:17 20:9 |
| 28:1 33:24 | 123:7 134:13 | \$20 20:24 24:24 | 15 114:9,12 | 31:18 42:23 |
| 40:12,12 42:3 | 164:10 182:21 | \$30 24:25 25:15 | 15th 110:15 | 43:17 47:6 |
| 76:9 108:16 | 184:21 186:14 | 25:25 | 15-year-old | 57:14 67:10,18 |
| 131:21,21 | 191:25 192:2,3 | \$37,000 159:25 | 100:21 | 95:7 96:9 98:14 |
| 160:12 163:4 | 195:4 205:8,11 | \$50 21:17 24:17 | 155 108:1 | 100:4,15 |
| 164:18 189:3 | 205:22 | 38:19 41:5 72:1 | 16th 144:10 | 101:21 110:14 |
| wrap 152:8 | Yep 186:25 193:9 | 82:23 | 160 1:5 | 111:16,20 |
| write 48:19 50:6 | yesterday 2:8 | \$50,000 145:2 | 1600 7:24 | 132:1,17,18 |
| 50:9 123:25 | 14:19 24:23 | \$54 20:18 | 17-year-old | 144:4 151:4 |
| writing 55:1 | 26:15,20 29:2 | \$55 62:22 | 100:21 | 152:17 171:11 |
| 112:14 | 33:12 34:22 | | 1700 7:23 | 171:22,22 |
| written 49:16 | 52:21 57:24 | 1 | 172 6:9 15:12 | 2010-2011 183:5 |
| 84:19 201:2 | 73:11 75:1 | 1 6:1,19 47:15 | 18 27:17,18,20 | 2011 6:16 7:20 |
| wrong 93:1 | 76:13 80:24 | 49:19 52:11 | 18,000 108:4 | 65:6 71:5 149:1 |
| 94:22 98:19 | 81:8 83:19 84:4 | 121:22 127:20 | 19 104:10 111:19 | 180:25 185:19 |
| 144:16 159:19 | 96:10 107:10 | 170:10 | 161:21 162:11 | 186:3 |
| 195:23 | 109:13 113:9 | 1st 111:20 | 206:4,7 | 2012 7:20 66:6 |
| wrote 124:4,6,11 | 114:24 115:5 | 10 36:14 38:17 | 19th 206:14 | 66:12 68:18 |
| | 116:18 117:11 | 72:20 170:10 | 1991 11:20 | 140:16 169:12 |
| Y | 117:14,23 | 10s 170:11 | | 2013 13:21 |
| Y 1:14 | 118:17 119:17 | 10th 35:18,20 | 2 | 2014 1:6 140:21 |
| Yarbrough | 119:19 120:8 | 36:24 111:20 | 2 47:15 48:14 | 168:12 |
| 149:4,6 | 123:4 128:4,8 | 206:16 | 50:4 51:6 52:16 | 210 129:10 |
| yeah 22:25 29:10 | 134:15 135:5 | 100 9:25 116:11 | 53:10 121:22 | 22 5:22 101:16 |
| 49:1 64:24 | 135:12 136:5,7 | 165:21 | 127:21 | 120:22 174:8 |
| 69:23 70:14,18 | 136:18 142:24 | 11 23:8 169:12 | 2nd 52:4 | 23 25:24 26:1 |
| | | | l | l |
| | | | | |

| | | | • |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 27:3,4,7,12 | 56:18 | | |
| 30:3 112:7 | 5th 52:5 140:21 | | |
| 128:22 131:21 | 50 20:20 24:18 | | |
| 148:8,9 | 71:24 72:12 | | |
| 24 110:12 115:22 | 121:20 165:21 | | |
| 202:24 | 500 12:7,14 | | |
| 24/7 96:24 | 105:10 | | |
| 25 115:10 | 51 108:2 | | |
| 27th 83:4 | 54 38:19 | | |
| | | | |
| 29 83:25 | 55 203:23 | | |
| 3 | 6 | | |
| 3 121:22 205:11 | 6th 47:1 | | |
| 205:22 | 6-20-11 84:24 | | |
| 3rd 140:20 | 60 188:22 189:6 | | |
| 3:22 180:19 | 600 8:2 | | |
| 3:23 180:21 | 66 100:5 | | |
| 3:58 207:25 | | | |
| 30 20:25 24:18 | 7 | | |
| 25:3,23 30:3 | 7s 170:12 | | |
| 35:4,10 57:14 | 7th 168:24 | | |
| 72:2,13,15,17 | 7,054 102:19 | | |
| 72:21 83:25 | 700 100:5 | | |
| | 72 85:1 | | |
| 106:23 | 73 131:17 | | |
| 3300 107:24 | 13 131.17 | | |
| 34 128:24 | 8 | | |
| 35 129:6,7,10 | 8th 148:11 | | |
| 144:3 159:25 | 168:12 | | |
| 358 129:12 | 8:40 50:5 | | |
| 3600 8:1 | 0.40 30.3 | | |
| 365 96:24 | 9 | | |
| 4 | 923:8 | | |
| | 9s 170:11 | | |
| 4 14:19 55:14 | 9th 1:6 | | |
| 67:18 205:11 | 9,851 111:21 | | |
| 205:22 | 9-3 140:25 | | |
| 40 8:15,16 79:3 | 9:00 1:7 2:1,8 | | |
| 176:5 201:23 | 90s 11:20 | | |
| 430 5:15 | 95 11:20 | | |
| 48 202:24 | | | |
| 485 104:24 119:9 | 9800 108:2 | | |
| 120:11 | | | |
| | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 5 27:17 43:14,16 | | | |
| 43:19 46:22 | | | |
| 47:6 52:16 | | | |
| | I | I | |